

- 1 Take  $x = (1, 3, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $y = (-1, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Then  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  but  $x + y = (0, 3, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $\|x + y\| = 3$  so the Triangle Inequality fails.

2 (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 &= \langle x + y, x + y \rangle + \langle x - y, x - y \rangle \\ &= 2\langle x, x \rangle + 2\langle y, y \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle \\ &= 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) In  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\infty$ -norm let  $x$  and  $y$  be defined by  $x_j = \delta_{1j}$  respectively  $y = \delta_{2j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,  $\|x\|_\infty = \|y\|_\infty = 1$  and  $\|x + y\|_\infty = \|x - y\|_\infty = 1$ . So the Parallelogram Law fails for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and this norm therefore cannot come from an inner product.

- 3 (i) Take  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $y, z \in B_r(x_0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - (\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z)\| &= \|\lambda x_0 - \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x_0 - (1 - \lambda)z\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda(x_0 - y)\| + \|(1 - \lambda)(x_0 - z)\| \\ &= \lambda\|x_0 - y\| + (1 - \lambda)\|x_0 - z\| \\ &< r. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise  $\overline{B}_r(x_0)$  is convex.

- (ii) We can use the characterisations of the closure  $\overline{F}$  of a set  $F$  obtained in metric spaces that  $x \in \overline{F}$  if and only if  $x \in F$  or  $x$  is a limit point, and hence if and only if there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  so that  $x_n \in F$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (in case  $x \in F$  such a sequence can be chosen as  $x_n = x$ , otherwise its existence follows from the definition of limit point). Given  $x \in \overline{B}_r(x_0)$  we can choose  $x_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})(x - x_0) + x_0 \in B_r(x_0)$  to get  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and hence  $\overline{B}_r(x_0) \subset \overline{B_r(x_0)}$ , while conversely if  $(x_n)$  is a sequence such that each  $x_n \in B_r(x_0)$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then

$$\|x - x_0\| \leq \|x - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_0\| < \|x - x_n\| + r.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\|x - x_0\| \leq r$ . Hence  $\overline{B_r(x)} \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_0)$ .

- (iii) In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , consider  $x = (1, 0)$  and  $y = (0, 1)$  so  $\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . The “p-norm” formula with  $p = 1/2$  would give  $\|x + y\| = (2/\sqrt{2})^2 = 2$  so that  $x + y$  would not belong to the closed ball centre 0 and radius 1. But  $x$  and  $y$  do belong to this ball. This contradicts convexity. [A sketch of the set of points  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for which  $|s|^{1/2} + |t|^{1/2} \leq 1$  is instructive.]

4. (i) Linearity of  $T$  implies  $|Tx - Ty| = |T(x - y)|$ . So  $|Tx - Ty| \leq \|x - y\|$ , i.e.  $T$  is Lipschitz continuous and hence of course continuous.

- (ii) Fix  $k$ . Note that for any of  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we have  $|x_k| \leq \|(x_j)\|_p$ . Therefore  $\pi_k$  is norm-reducing and so continuous by (i).

- (iii) We use that the constant function  $g \equiv 1$  is an element of  $L^2([0, 1])$  with  $\|g\|_{L^2([0,1])} = (\int_0^1 1 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ . By Hölder’s inequality we thus get that  $|T(f)| = |\int_0^1 f| \leq \|f\|_{L^1} = \|f \cdot g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \cdot \|g\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$  so continuity follows from (i).

- (iv) Because vector space operations in  $X$  are defined coordinatewise, it follows from the Subspace Test (routine calculations!) that  $Y$  is a subspace.

To see that  $Y$  is closed, there are different arguments possible:

Variant 1: We know that a set  $F \subset X$  is closed if for any sequence  $(f_j)$  with  $f_j \in F$  which converges  $x_j \rightarrow x \in X$ , the limit  $x$  is again an element of  $F$ . Given a sequence

$(x_j^{(k)}) \subset Y$  which converges to some limit  $(x_j^{(k)}) \rightarrow (x_j)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we must have that also the components converge and hence  $x_{2j} = \lim x_{2j}^{(k)} = \lim a_j x_{2j-1}^{(k)} = a_j x_{2j-1}$  so  $(x_j) \in Y$ . Variant 2: We recall that for continuous maps the preimage of any closed set is again closed. For each  $k$  the map  $\rho_k: y \mapsto \pi_{2k}(y) - a_k \pi_{2k-1}(y)$  is continuous, so  $\rho_k^{-1}(\{0\})$  is closed. Then

$$Y = \bigcap_k \rho_k^{-1}(\{0\})$$

is an intersection of closed sets and hence is closed.

5. Suppose that  $Y$  is closed and that there exists  $x_0 \in X \setminus Y$  so that  $\text{dist}(x_0, Y) = 0$ . Then there exists a sequence  $y_n \in Y$  so that  $\|x_0 - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. so that  $y_n \rightarrow x_0$ . But since  $Y$  is closed this implies that  $x_0 \in Y$  leading to a contradiction.

Suppose instead that  $Y$  is a subspace which is not closed. Then there exists an element  $x \in X \setminus Y$  which is a limit point of  $Y$  and hence for which  $\text{dist}(x, Y) = 0$ .