

# Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations

*Endre Süli*

Mathematical Institute  
University of Oxford  
2021

Lecture 2

## Elliptic boundary-value problems

A second-order linear PDE for a function  $u = u(x, y)$ :

$$a(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2b(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + c(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + d(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + e(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = f(x, y) \quad \text{is}$$

- ELLIPTIC if  $b^2 - ac < 0$ ;
- PARABOLIC if  $b^2 - ac = 0$ ;
- HYPERBOLIC if  $b^2 - ac > 0$ .

## Elliptic boundary-value problems

A second-order linear PDE for a function  $u = u(x, y)$ :

$$a(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2b(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + c(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + d(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + e(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = f(x, y) \quad \text{is}$$

- ELLIPTIC if  $b^2 - ac < 0$ ;
- PARABOLIC if  $b^2 - ac = 0$ ;
- HYPERBOLIC if  $b^2 - ac > 0$ .

Ellipticity amounts to requiring that  $a$  and  $c$  are of the same sign, say  $a > 0$  and  $c > 0$  (or  $a < 0$  and  $c < 0$ ), and  $ac - b^2 > 0$ , which is equivalent (by Sylvester's criterion) to demanding that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

is a positive definite matrix, i.e.  $\xi^T A \xi > 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

## Example (Elliptic equations)

(a) Laplace's equation:  $\Delta u = 0$ ;

## Example (Elliptic equations)

- (a) Laplace's equation:  $\Delta u = 0$ ;
- (b) Poisson's equation  $-\Delta u = f$ ;

## Example (Elliptic equations)

(a) Laplace's equation:  $\Delta u = 0$ ;

(b) Poisson's equation  $-\Delta u = f$ ;

(c) More generally, let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and consider the (linear) second-order partial differential equation

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where the coefficients  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c$  and  $f$  are such that

$$a_{i,j} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$b_i \in C(\bar{\Omega}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$c \in C(\bar{\Omega}), \quad f \in C(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \tilde{c} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega};$$

here  $\tilde{c}$  is a positive constant independent of  $x$  and  $\xi$ .

An elliptic equation is usually supplemented with one of the following boundary conditions:

(a)  $u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (*Dirichlet boundary condition*);

An elliptic equation is usually supplemented with one of the following boundary conditions:

- (a)  $u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (*Dirichlet boundary condition*);
- (b)  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\nu$  denotes the unit outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  (*Neumann boundary condition*);



An elliptic equation is usually supplemented with one of the following boundary conditions:

- (a)  $u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (*Dirichlet boundary condition*);
- (b)  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\nu$  denotes the unit outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  (*Neumann boundary condition*);
- (c)  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \sigma u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\sigma(x) \geq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (*Robin boundary cond.*);

An elliptic equation is usually supplemented with one of the following boundary conditions:

- (a)  $u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (*Dirichlet boundary condition*);
- (b)  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\nu$  denotes the unit outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  (*Neumann boundary condition*);
- (c)  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \sigma u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\sigma(x) \geq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (*Robin boundary cond.*);
- (d) A more general version of (b) and (c) is

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cos \alpha_j + \sigma(x)u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\alpha_j$  is the angle between the unit outward normal vector  $\nu$  to  $\partial\Omega$  and the  $Ox_j$  axis (*oblique derivative boundary cond.*).

## Classical solutions

Consider the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problem:

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c$  and  $f$  are as stated earlier.

## Classical solutions

Consider the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problem:

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c$  and  $f$  are as stated earlier.

A function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfying (1) and (2) is called a *classical solution* of this problem.

## Classical solutions

Consider the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problem:

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c$  and  $f$  are as stated earlier.

A function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfying (1) and (2) is called a *classical solution* of this problem.

The theory of partial differential equations tells us that (1), (2) has a unique classical solution, provided that  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c$ ,  $f$  and  $\partial\Omega$  are sufficiently smooth.

## Weak solutions

If these smoothness requirements on the coefficients are violated, the classical theory of partial differential equations is inappropriate.

## Weak solutions

If these smoothness requirements on the coefficients are violated, the classical theory of partial differential equations is inappropriate.

### Example

Take, for example, Poisson's equation on the cube  $\Omega = (-1, 1)^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , subject to a zero Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{2} - |x|\right), & x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (*)$$

## Weak solutions

If these smoothness requirements on the coefficients are violated, the classical theory of partial differential equations is inappropriate.

### Example

Take, for example, Poisson's equation on the cube  $\Omega = (-1, 1)^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , subject to a zero Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{2} - |x|\right), & x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (*)$$

This problem has no classical solution,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ , for otherwise  $\Delta u$  would be a continuous function on  $\Omega$ , which is not possible because  $\operatorname{sgn}(1/2 - |x|)$  is not a continuous function on  $\Omega$ .



## Definition (Weak solution)

Let  $a_{i,j} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $b_i \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $c \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ , and let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . A function  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v dx + \int_{\Omega} c(x) uv dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} f(x)v(x) dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

is called a *weak solution* of (1), (2).

## Example

Suppose that  $\Omega = (a, b) \times (c, d) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . We wish to state the weak formulation of the elliptic boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution.** Note that  $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u) v \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by the divergence theorem.

## Example

Suppose that  $\Omega = (a, b) \times (c, d) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . We wish to state the weak formulation of the elliptic boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution.** Note that  $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u) v \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by the divergence theorem.

Hence, the weak formulation of the boundary-value problem is: find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + u v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

## Introduction to the theory of finite difference schemes

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose that we wish to solve the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathcal{B}u &= g && \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear partial differential operator, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear operator which specifies the boundary condition.

## Introduction to the theory of finite difference schemes

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose that we wish to solve the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathcal{B}u &= g && \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear partial differential operator, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear operator which specifies the boundary condition. For example,

$$\mathcal{L}u \equiv - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + cu,$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}u \equiv u \quad (\text{Dirichlet boundary condition}),$$

or

$$\mathcal{B}u \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \quad (\text{Neumann boundary condition}),$$

or some other boundary condition.

In general, it is impossible to determine the solution of the boundary-value problem (3) in exactly.

In general, it is impossible to determine the solution of the boundary-value problem (3) in exactly.

We shall therefore develop a simple and general numerical technique for the approximate solution of (3), called the *finite difference method*.

In general, it is impossible to determine the solution of the boundary-value problem (3) in exactly.

We shall therefore develop a simple and general numerical technique for the approximate solution of (3), called the *finite difference method*.

The construction of a finite difference scheme consists of two steps:

- first, the approximation of the computational domain by a finite set of points; and
- second, the approximation of the derivatives appearing in the differential equation and in the boundary condition by divided differences (difference quotients).



## The first step

Suppose that we have 'approximated'  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$  by a finite set of points

$$\bar{\Omega}_h = \Omega_h \cup \Gamma_h,$$

where  $\Omega_h \subset \Omega$  and  $\Gamma_h \subset \Gamma$ .

## The first step

Suppose that we have ‘approximated’  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$  by a finite set of points

$$\bar{\Omega}_h = \Omega_h \cup \Gamma_h,$$

where  $\Omega_h \subset \Omega$  and  $\Gamma_h \subset \Gamma$ .

- $\bar{\Omega}_h$  is called a mesh;
- $\Omega_h$  is the set of interior mesh-points; and
- $\Gamma_h$  the set boundary mesh-points.

## The first step

Suppose that we have ‘approximated’  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$  by a finite set of points

$$\bar{\Omega}_h = \Omega_h \cup \Gamma_h,$$

where  $\Omega_h \subset \Omega$  and  $\Gamma_h \subset \Gamma$ .

- $\bar{\Omega}_h$  is called a mesh;
- $\Omega_h$  is the set of interior mesh-points; and
- $\Gamma_h$  the set boundary mesh-points.

The parameter  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  measures the ‘fineness’ of the mesh (here  $h_i$  denotes the mesh-size in the coordinate direction  $Ox_i$ ): the smaller  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i$  is, the finer the mesh.

## The second step

Having constructed the mesh, we replace the derivatives in  $\mathcal{L}$  by divided differences, and we approximate the boundary condition in a similar fashion. This yields the finite difference scheme

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_h U(x) &= f_h(x), & x \in \Omega_h, \\ \mathcal{B}_h U(x) &= g_h(x), & x \in \Gamma_h,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where  $f_h$  and  $g_h$  are suitable approximations of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Now (4) is a system of linear algebraic equations involving the values of  $U$  at the mesh-points, and can be solved by Gaussian elimination or an iterative method, provided that it has a unique solution.

Now (4) is a system of linear algebraic equations involving the values of  $U$  at the mesh-points, and can be solved by Gaussian elimination or an iterative method, provided that it has a unique solution.

The sequence

$$\{U(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}_h\}$$

is an approximation to

$$\{u(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}_h\},$$

the values of the exact solution at the mesh-points.

There are two fundamental problems to be considered:

There are two fundamental problems to be considered:

- the first, and most basic, is the problem of approximation, that is, whether (4) approximates the boundary-value problem (3) in some sense, and whether its solution  $\{U(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}_h\}$  approximates  $\{u(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}_h\}$ , the values of the exact solution at the mesh-points.



There are two fundamental problems to be considered:

- the first, and most basic, is the problem of approximation, that is, whether (4) approximates the boundary-value problem (3) in some sense, and whether its solution  $\{U(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}_h\}$  approximates  $\{u(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}_h\}$ , the values of the exact solution at the mesh-points.
- the second concerns the effective solution of the discrete problem (4) using techniques from Numerical Linear Algebra.

There are two fundamental problems to be considered:

- the first, and most basic, is the problem of approximation, that is, whether (4) approximates the boundary-value problem (3) in some sense, and whether its solution  $\{U(x) : x \in \overline{\Omega}_h\}$  approximates  $\{u(x) : x \in \overline{\Omega}_h\}$ , the values of the exact solution at the mesh-points.
- the second concerns the effective solution of the discrete problem (4) using techniques from Numerical Linear Algebra.

Here we shall be primarily concerned with the first of these two problems — the question of approximation — although we shall also briefly consider the question of iterative solution of systems of linear algebraic equations by a simple iterative method.