

C4.3 Functional Analytic Methods for PDEs Lecture 9

Luc Nguyen luc.nguyen@maths

University of Oxford

MT 2021

- Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev's inequality, $1 \le p < n$.
- Trudinger's inequality, p = n.
- Morrey's inequality, n .

- Morrey's inequality, n .
- Friedrichs' inequality.

Theorem (Morrey's inequality)

Assume that $n . Then every <math>u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a $(1 - \frac{n}{p})$ -Hölder continuous representative. Furthermore there exists a constant $C_{n,p}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_{n,p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
 (*)

In particular, $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

An integral mean value inequality

Lemma

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose $u \in C^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) - u(x)| dy \leq \frac{1}{n} r^n \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y - x|^{n-1}} \, dy \text{ for all } B_r(x) \subset \Omega.$$

Proof

- It suffices to consider the case x = 0. We write $y = s\theta$ where $s \in [0, r)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$u(s\theta)-u(0)=\int_0^s \frac{d}{dt}u(t\theta)\,dt=\int_0^s \theta_i\partial_iu(t\theta)\,dt.$$

It follows that $|u(s\theta) - u(0)| \leq \int_0^s |\nabla u(t\theta)| dt$.

An integral mean value inequality

Proof

•
$$|u(s\theta) - u(0)| \leq \int_0^s |\nabla u(t\theta)| dt.$$

 \bullet Integrating over θ and using Tonelli's theorem, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} |u(s\theta) - u(0)| \, d\theta &\leq \int_0^s \int_{\partial B_1(0)} |\nabla u(t\theta)| \, d\theta \, dt \\ &= \int_0^s \int_{\partial B_t(0)} |\nabla u(y)| \, \frac{dS(y)}{t^{n-1}} \, dt \\ &= \int_{B_s(0)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \, dy. \end{split}$$

An integral mean value inequality

Proof

•
$$\int_{\partial B_1(0)} |u(s\theta) - u(0)| \, d\theta \leq \int_{B_s(0)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \, dy.$$

• Multiplying both sides by s^{n-1} and integrating over s, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_r(0)} |u(y) - u(0)| \, dy &= \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_1(0)} |u(s\theta) - u(0)| \, d\theta s^{n-1} ds \\ &\leq \int_{B_r(0)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \, dy \int_0^r s^{n-1} \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{n} r^n \int_{B_r(0)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \, dy. \end{split}$$

This gives the desired integral mean value inequality.

A corollary of the integral mean value inequality

Corollary

Suppose $u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for some p > n. Then

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) - u(x)| \, dy \leq C_{n,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_r(x))} r^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}+1} \text{ for all } B_r(x) \subset \Omega,$$

where the constant $C_{n,p}$ depends only on n and p.

Proof

 As in the previous proof, we assume without loss of generality that x = 0. We start with the integral mean value inequality:

$$\int_{B_r(0)} |u(y) - u(0)| \, dy \leq \frac{r^n}{n} \int_{B_r(0)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \, dy.$$

A corollary of the integral mean value inequality

Proof

• By Hölder's inequality this gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_r(0)} |u(y) - u(0)| \, dy &\leq \frac{r^n}{n} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_r(0))} \Big\{ \int_{B_r(0)} \frac{1}{|y|^{(n-1)p'}} \, dy \Big\}^{1/p'} \\ &= C_n r^n \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_r(0))} \Big\{ \int_0^r s^{-(n-1)(p'-1)} \, ds \Big\}^{1/p'} \end{split}$$

• As p > n, we have that $p' < \frac{n}{n-1}$ and so (n-1)(p'-1) < 1. Hence the integral in the curly braces converges to $C_{n,p}r^{-(n-1)(p'-1)+1}$. After a simplification, this gives

$$\int_{B_r(0)} |u(y) - u(0)| \, dy \leq C_{n,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_r(0))} r^{\frac{n}{p'}+1},$$

which is the conclusion.

Theorem (Morrey's inequality)

Assume that $n . Then every <math>u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a $(1 - \frac{n}{p})$ -Hölder continuous representative. Furthermore there exists a constant $C_{n,p}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
 (*)

In particular, $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

Proof when $p < \infty$. The case $p = \infty$ will be dealt with later.

- Step 1: Reduction to the case $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 - ★ Suppose that (*) holds for functions in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We show that this implies the theorem.

Proof when $p < \infty$.

- Step 1: Reduction to the case $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 - * Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As $p < \infty$, we can find $u_m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_m \to u$ in $W^{1,p}$.
 - * Applying (*) to $u_m u_j$ we have

$$\|u_m-u_j\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C_{n,p}\|u_m-u_j\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\xrightarrow{m,j\to\infty} 0.$$

This means that (u_m) is Cauchy in $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence converges in $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}$ to some $u_* \in C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- ★ On the other hand, as $u_m \rightarrow u$ in L^p , a subsequence of it converges a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n to u.
- ★ It follows that $u = u_*$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. u has a continuous representative.

Proof when $p < \infty$.

- Step 1: Reduction to the case $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 - * We may thus assume henceforth that u is continuous, so that u_m converges to u in both $W^{1,p}$ and $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}$.
 - \star Now, applying (*) to u_m we have

$$\|u_m\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,p}\|u_m\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Sending $m \to \infty$, we hence have

$$||u||_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,p}||u||_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

as wanted.

Proof when $p < \infty$.

• Step 2: Proof of the C^0 bound in (*). We show that, for $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$|u(x)| \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (**)

 \star By triangle inequality, we have

$$|B_1(x)||u(x)| \leq \int_{B_1(x)} |u(y) - u(x)| \, dy + \int_{B_1(x)} |u(y)| dy.$$

- * By Hölder's inequality, the last integral is bounded by $C_{n,p} \|u\|_{L^p(B_1(x))}$.
- * On the other hand, by the corollary to the integral mean value inequality, the first integral on the right hand side is bounded by $C_{n,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_1(x))}$. The inequality (**) follows.

Proof when $p < \infty$.

• Step 3: Proof of the $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}$ semi-norm bound in (*). We show that, for $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le C ||u||_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |x - y|^{1 - rac{n}{p}}$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (***)



* If x = y, there is nothing to show. Suppose henceforth that r = |x - y| > 0and let $W = B_r(x) \cap B_r(y)$.

* Let *a* be the average of *u* in *W*, i.e. $a = \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{W} u(z) dz$. Then

 $|u(x) - u(y)| \le |u(x) - a| + |u(y) - a|.$

Proof when $p < \infty$.

• Step 3: Proof of the $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}$ semi-norm bound in (*).

* We estimate |u(x) - a| as follows:

$$egin{aligned} |u(x)-a| &\leq rac{1}{|W|} \int_W |u(x)-u(z)| dz \ &\leq rac{C_n}{r^n} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(x)-u(z)| dz. \end{aligned}$$

By the corollary to the mean value inequality, the right hand side is bounded by $C_{n,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_r(x))} r^{1-\frac{n}{p}}$. So

$$|u(x) - a| \leq C_{n,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_r(x))} r^{1-\frac{n}{p}}$$

- * Similarly, $|u(y) a| \leq C_{n,p} ||\nabla u||_{L^p(B_r(y))} r^{1-\frac{n}{p}}$.
- * Putting these together and recalling that r = |x y|, we arrive at (***).

Theorem (Morrey's inequality)

Suppose that $n and <math>\Omega$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ has a $(1 - \frac{n}{p})$ -Hölder continuous representative and

$$||u||_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{n,p,\Omega} ||u||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Indeed, let $E: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an extension operator. Then *Eu* has a continuous representative and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Eu\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\Omega)} &\leq \|Eu\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &\leq C_{n,p} \|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C_{n,p,\Omega} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

An improved integral mean value inequality

Lemma

Suppose $u \in C(\overline{B_R(0)}) \cap W^{1,p}(B_R(0))$ for some p > n. Then, for every ball $B_r(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there holds

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) - u(x)| dy \leq \frac{1}{n} r^n \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y - x|^{n-1}} \, dy.$$

Proof

• Replacing p by any $\tilde{p} \in (n, p)$, we may assume that p is finite. Then we can find $u_m \in C^{\infty}(B_R(0)) \cap W^{1,p}(B_R(0))$ such that $u_m \to u$ in $W^{1,p}$. Furthermore, arguing as in Step 1 in the proof of Morrey's inequality, we also have that $u_m \to u$ in $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\overline{B_R(0)})$.

An improved integral mean value inequality

Proof

- $u_m \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(B_R(0))$ and in $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\overline{B_R(0)})$.
- By the integral mean value inequality for C^1 functions, we have

$$\int_{B_{r}(x)} |u_{m}(y) - u_{m}(x)| dy \leq \frac{1}{n} r^{n} \int_{B_{r}(x)} \frac{|\nabla u_{m}(y)|}{|y - x|^{n-1}} dy.$$

- The left hand side converges to $\int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) u(x)| dy$ since $u_m \to u$ uniformly.
- The right hand side converges to $\frac{1}{n}r^n \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y-x|^{n-1}} dy$ since $\nabla u_m \to \nabla u$ in L^p and since the function $y \mapsto \frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-1}}$ belongs to $L^{p'}$ (as noted in the proof of the corollary to the integral mean value inequality). The conclusion follows.

Theorem (Morrey's inequality)

Assume that $n . Then every <math>u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a $(1 - \frac{n}{p})$ -Hölder continuous representative. Furthermore there exists a constant $C_{n,p}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_{n,p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
 (*)

In particular, $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

Note that when $p = \infty$ we can no longer use the previous proof, as $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not dense in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof when $p = \infty$.

- Suppose $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $u \in W^{1,s}(B_R)$ for all $s < \infty$ and all ball B_R . By Morrey's inequality in the case of finite p, we thus have that u has a continuous representative, which we will assume to be u itself.
- By the improved integral mean value inequality, we have

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |u(y)-u(x)| dy \leq \frac{1}{n} r^n \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|y-x|^{n-1}} dy.$$

• Step 2 and Step 3 of the proof in the case $p < \infty$ can now be repeated to get

$$|u(x)| \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (**)

and

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C ||u||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |x - y|$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (***)

Proof when $p = \infty$.

• It follows that

$$||u||_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C ||u||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and we are done.

Morrey's inequality on domains

We make a couple of remarks:

If Ω and p are such that there exists a bounded linear extension operator E : W^{1,p}(Ω) → W^{1,p}(ℝⁿ) (in particular Eu = u a.e. in Ω for all u ∈ W^{1,p}(Ω)), then

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\Omega).$$

- The same proof on the whole space work on balls without establishing the existence of an extension operator. (Check this!)
- For general domains, one only has

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}_{loc}(\Omega).$$

(Revisit the example of the disk in \mathbb{R}^2 with a line segment removed.)

We have the following important theorem for the space $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$:

Theorem Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) = C^{0,1}(\Omega).$

Theorem (Friedrichs' inequality)

Assume that Ω is a bounded open set and $1 \le p < \infty$. Then, there exists $C_{p,\Omega}$ such that

 $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{p,\Omega} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Note that

- Only the derivatives of *u* appear on the right hand side.
- The function u belongs to W₀^{1,p}(Ω). The inequality is false for u ∈ W^{1,p}(Ω).
- By Friedrichs' inequality, when Ω is bounded, if we define $|||u||| = ||\nabla u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$, then $||| \cdot |||$ is a norm on $W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which is equivalent to the norm $|| \cdot ||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$.
- In some text, Friedrichs' inequality is referred to as Poincaré's inequality.

Luc Nguyen (University of Oxford)

Friedrichs' inequality



Proof

- We may assume that Ω is contain in the slab S := {(x', x_n) : 0 < x_n < L}.
- As usual, using the density of C[∞]_c(Ω) is dense in W^{1,p}₀(Ω), it suffices to prove

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{p,\Omega} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for
$$u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
.

 Take an arbitrary u ∈ C[∞]_c(Ω) and extend u by zero outside of Ω so that u ∈ C[∞]_c(S).

Friedrichs' inequality

Proof



• Now, for every fixed x', we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x',x_n)| &\leq \int_0^{x_n} |\partial_n u(x',t)| \, dt \leq \Big\{ \int_0^{x_n} |\partial_n u(x',t)|^p \, dt \Big\}^{1/p} x_n^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \Big\{ \int_0^L |\partial_n u(x',t)|^p \, dt \Big\}^{1/p} x_n^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Friedrichs' inequality

Proof

•
$$|u(x',x_n)| \leq \left\{ \int_0^L |\partial_n u(x',t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} x_n^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

• It follows that

$$\int_0^L |u(x',x_n)|^p dx_n \leq \frac{1}{p} L^p \int_0^L |\partial_n u(x',t)|^p dt.$$

• Integrating over x' then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{L} |u(x', x_{n})|^{p} dx_{n} dx' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} L^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{L} |Du(x', t)|^{p} dt dx' = \frac{1}{p} L^{p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

We are done.