

# C4.3 Functional Analytic Methods for PDEs Lecture 15

Luc Nguyen luc.nguyen@maths

University of Oxford

MT 2021

### • $H^2$ regularity of weak solutions to linear elliptic equations.

- $H^2$  regularity of weak solutions to linear elliptic equations.
- Continuity of weak solutions to linear elliptic equations.

# A priori $H^2$ estimates in the general case

- We prove for  $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  that if  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies  $-\partial_i(a_{ij}\partial_j u) = f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- We now turn to the case where *a* is variable. To better convey central ideas, we will focus in the rest of this course to a priori estimates: We assume that the solution has the right regularity and will be concerned with establishing quantitative estimates.
- More precisely, we suppose that u belongs to <u>H<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>n</sup>)</u> and is a weak solution to Lu = f in R<sup>n</sup>, and would like to bound ||u||<sub>H<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>n</sup>)</sub> in terms of the bounds for the coefficients of L, ||f||<sub>L<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>n</sup>)</sub> and ||u||<sub>H<sup>1</sup>(R<sup>n</sup>)</sub>.
- For simplicity, we will assume that  $b \equiv 0$  and  $c \equiv 0$ . You should check that the methods we use work in the general case.

#### Theorem

Suppose  $a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\nabla a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L = -\partial_i(a_{ij}\partial_j)$ . There exist  $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$  and C > 0 such that if  $||a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \delta_0$ and if  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and satisfies Lu = f in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the weak sense, then

$$||u||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

Proof

Claim: u satisfies

$$-\Delta u = f + (a_{ij} - \delta_{ij})\partial_i\partial_j u + \partial_i a_{ij}\partial_j u =: \tilde{f},$$

that is, for all  $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ f + (a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j u + \partial_i a_{ij} \partial_j u \right] v \, dx.$$

Proof

• Claim: for  $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ f + (a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j u + \partial_i a_{ij} \partial_j u \right] v \, dx.$$

\* We note that  $(a_{ij} - \delta_{ij})v \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence, by definition of weak derivatives,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j u v \, dx &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j u \partial_i [(a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) v] \, dx \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j u [(a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \partial_i v + \partial_i a_{ij} v] \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j u \partial_i v \, dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i a_{ij} v \, dx. \end{split}$$

Proof

• Claim: for 
$$v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ f + (a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j u + \partial_i a_{ij} \partial_j u \right] v \, dx.$$

$$\star \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j uv \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j u \partial_i v \, dx \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i a_{ij} v \, dx.$$

 $\star$  As Lu = f, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j u \partial_i v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, v \, dx.$$

 $\star$  Putting the two identities together, we obtain the claim.

Proof

- We have proved the claim that  $-\Delta u = \tilde{f} = f + (a_{ii} - \delta_{ii})\partial_i\partial_i u + \partial_i a_{ij}\partial_j u.$
- By the lemma on the  $H^2$  regularity for  $-\Delta$ , we have a constant C such that

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla^{2}u\|_{L^{2}} &\leq C\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C\Big[\|f\|_{L^{2}} + \|a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla^{2}u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &+ \|\partial_{i}a_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\Big]. \end{split}$$

• It is readily seen that if  $C \|a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}} < 1$ , then the second term on the right hand side can be absorbed back to the left hand side, giving the conclusion:

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \leq C' \Big[ \|f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \Big].$$

### Theorem

Suppose  $a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\nabla a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L = -\partial_i(a_{ij}\partial_j)$ . There exists C > 0 such that if  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and satisfies Lu = f in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the weak sense, then

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

Proof

- Let  $w = \partial_k u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We would like to bound  $||w||_{H^1}$ .
- Claim: w satisfies

$$Lw = \partial_i h_i$$
 where  $h_i = \partial_k a_{ij} \partial_j u + f \delta_{ik}$ ,

that is, for  $v\in \mathit{C}^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j w \partial_i v \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\partial_k a_{ij} \partial_j u + f \, \delta_{ik}] \partial_i v \, dx.$$

٠

Proof

• Claim: for  $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j w \partial_i v \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\partial_k a_{ij} \partial_j u + f \, \delta_{ik}] \partial_i v \, dx.$$

\* Note that  $a_{ij}\partial_i v \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence, by definition of weak derivatives,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j w \partial_i v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_k \partial_j u (a_{ij} \partial_i v) \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j u \, \partial_k (a_{ij} \partial_i v) \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j u \, \partial_k \partial_i v \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j u \, \partial_k a_{ij} \partial_i v \, dx$$

Proof

• Claim: for  $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j w \partial_i v \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\partial_k a_{ij} \partial_j u + f \, \delta_{ik}] \partial_i v \, dx.$$

\*  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij}\partial_j w \partial_i v \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij}\partial_j u \, \partial_k \partial_i v \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j u \, \partial_k a_{ij}\partial_i v \, dx.$ \* On the other hand, using  $\partial_k v$  as a test function for Lu = f, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j u \, \partial_i \partial_k v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \partial_k v \, dx.$$

 $\star$  Putting the two identities together we get the claim.

Proof

- We have thus shown that  $Lw = \partial_i h_i$  with  $h_i = \partial_k a_{ij} \partial_j u + f \delta_{ik}$ .
- Using w as a test function for this equation, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \partial_j w \partial_i w \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_i \partial_i w \, dx.$$

• Using ellipticity on the left side and Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality on the right side we get

$$\lambda \| 
abla w \|_{L^2}^2 \leq \| h \|_{L^2} \| 
abla w \|_{L^2} \leq rac{\lambda}{2} \| 
abla w \|_{L^2}^2 + rac{1}{2\lambda} \| h \|_{L^2}^2.$$

We thus have

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \leq C \|h\|_{L^2} \leq C \Big[\|f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\Big].$$

Recalling that  $w = \partial_k u$ , we're done.

- Recall the example of the equation -(au')' = f in (-1, 1) with  $a = \chi_{(-1,0)} + 2\chi_{(0,1)}$ .
- If  $f \in L^q$ , then  $au' \in W^{1,q}$  and so u' is presumably discontinuous.
- Nevertheless as u' exists by assumption, u is continuous.
- In higher dimension, the existence of ∇u (in L<sup>2</sup>) doesn't ensure continuity of u. Nevertheless, a major result due to De Giorgi, Moser and Nash around late 50s asserts that u is indeed continuous!

### Theorem (De Giorgi-Moser-Nash's theorem)

Suppose that  $a, b, c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , a is uniformly elliptic, and  $L = -\partial_i(a_{ij}\partial_j) + b_i\partial_i + c$ . If  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  satisfies Lu = f in  $\Omega$  in the weak sense for some  $f \in L^q(\Omega)$  with  $q > \frac{n}{2}$ , then u is locally Hölder continuous, and for any open  $\omega$  such that  $\overline{\omega} \subset \Omega$  we have

$$||u||_{C^{0,\alpha}(\omega)} \leq C(||f||_{L^{q}(\Omega)} + ||u||_{H^{1}(\Omega)})$$

where the constant C depends only on  $n, \Omega, \omega, a, b, c$ , and the Hölder exponent  $\alpha$  depends only on  $n, \Omega, \omega, a$ .

We make some observations:

- In De Giorgi-Moser-Nash's theorem, no continuity is assumed on the coefficients *a<sub>ii</sub>*.
- If  $a_{ij}$  is continuous, one can imagine using the method of freezing coefficients to reduce to the case  $a_{ij}$  is constant. Hence the model equation is  $-\Delta u = f$ .
- In 1*d*, we have -u'' = f. If  $f \in L^q$ , we then have that  $u \in W^{2,q}_{loc}$ .
- It turns out that, in any dimension, if  $-\Delta u = f$  and  $f \in L^q$ , then  $u \in W_{loc}^{2,q}$ . In particular, when n/2 < q < n, by the embedding  $W_{loc}^{2,q} \hookrightarrow W_{loc}^{1,\frac{qn}{n-q}} \hookrightarrow C_{loc}^{0,2-\frac{n}{q}}$ , we have u is Hölder continuous.

To illustrate the method, we will assume for simplicity that  $b \equiv 0$  and  $c \equiv 0$ . We will focus on a priori  $L^{\infty}$  estimates, i.e. we assume that the solution  $u \in L^{\infty}$  and try to establish estimates for  $||u||_{L^{\infty}}$ .

• We assume in addition for now a boundary condition: u = 0 on  $\partial B_1$ .

### Theorem (Global a priori $L^{\infty}$ estimates)

Suppose that  $a \in L^{\infty}(B_1)$ , a is uniformly elliptic,  $b \equiv 0$ ,  $c \equiv 0$  and  $L = -\partial_i(a_{ij}\partial_j)$ . If  $u \in H_0^1(B_1) \cap L^{\infty}(B_1)$  satisfies Lu = f in  $B_1$  in the weak sense and  $f \in L^q(B_1)$  with q > n/2, then

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^q(B_1)} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_1)})$$

where the constant C depends only on n, q, a.

# Truncations and powers of $H^1$ functions

#### Lemma

Suppose that  $u \in H_0^1(B_1) \cap L^{\infty}(B_1)$ . Then, for  $p \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 0$ , one has  $(u_+ + k)^p - k^p \in H_0^1(B_1)$ .

Proof

- As  $u \in L^{\infty}(B_1)$ , we can suppose  $|u| \leq M$  a.e. in  $B_1$ .
- By Sheet 3,  $u_+ \in H^1(B_1)$ .
- Select a function  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g(t) = (t_+ + k)^p k^p$ for  $t \leq M$ , and  $g(t) = (M + k + 1)^p - k^p$  for  $t \geq M + 1$ . Note that  $(u_+ + k)^p - k^p = g(u)$ .
- Then  $|g(t)| + |g'(t)| \le C$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- By the chain rule (Sheet 2), g(u) has weak derivatives  $\nabla g(u) = g'(u) \nabla u \in L^2(B_1)$ . Hence  $g(u) \in H^1(B_1)$ .

### Truncations and powers of $H^1$ functions

Proof

- $g(u) \in H^1(B_1)$ .
- We next show that g(u) ∈ H<sub>0</sub><sup>1</sup>(B<sub>1</sub>). Approximate u by (u<sub>m</sub>) ∈ C<sub>c</sub><sup>∞</sup>(B<sub>1</sub>). The argument above shows that g(u<sub>m</sub>) ∈ H<sup>1</sup>(B<sub>1</sub>). As g(u<sub>m</sub>) is continuous, we have that the its trace on ∂B<sub>1</sub> is zero, hence g(u<sub>m</sub>) ∈ H<sub>0</sub><sup>1</sup>(B<sub>1</sub>).
- We have, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_{B_1} |g(u_m) - g(u)|^2 dx \to 0.$$

So  $g(u_m) \rightarrow g(u)$  in  $L^2$ .

# Truncations and powers of $H^1$ functions

Proof

• Next, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{B} |\nabla g(u_m) - \nabla g(u)|^2 \, dx &= \int_{B} |g'(u_m) \nabla u_m - g'(u) \nabla u|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{B} |g'(u_m) - g'(u)|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B} |g'(u_m)|^2 |\nabla u_m - \nabla u|^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0, \end{split}$$

where we use Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to treat the first integral and the convergence of  $\nabla u_m$  to  $\nabla u$  in  $L^2$  to treat the second integral. Hence  $\nabla g(u_m) \rightarrow \nabla g(u)$  in  $L^2$ .

• We have thus shown that  $g(u_m) \in H^1_0(B)$  and  $g(u_m) \to g(u)$  in  $H^1(B)$ . The conclusion follows.

We now prove the statement that if  $u \in H_0^1(B_1) \cap L^{\infty}(B_1)$  is such that Lu = f in  $B_1$  with  $f \in L^q(B_1)$  for some q > n/2, then

 $||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_1)} \leq C(||f||_{L^q(B_1)} + ||u||_{L^2(B_1)}).$ 

- We use Moser iteration method. We write B = B₁ and fix some k > 0, p ≥ 1.
- Let w = u<sub>+</sub> + k and we use v = w<sup>p</sup> − k<sup>p</sup> as test function. This is possible because we just proved that v ∈ H<sup>1</sup><sub>0</sub>(B<sub>1</sub>). We have

$$\int_{B} f v dx = \int_{B} a_{ij} \partial_{j} u \partial_{i} v dx$$
$$= \int_{B} p w^{p-1} a_{ij} \partial_{j} u \partial_{i} u_{+} dx$$
$$\stackrel{ellipticity}{\geq} \lambda p \int_{B} w^{p-1} |\nabla u_{+}|^{2} dx.$$

Proof

• We thus have

$$\int_{B} |\nabla w^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2 dx \leq Cp \int_{B} |f| |v| dx \leq Cp \int_{B} |f| w^p dx.$$

• By Friedrichs' inequality, this gives

$$\|w^{\frac{p+1}{2}}-k^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|_{H^1}^2\leq Cp\int_B|f|w^p\,dx.$$

• By Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev's inequality, this implies that

$$\|w^{\frac{p+1}{2}}-k^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^{2}\leq Cp\int_{B}|f|w^{p}\,dx.$$

We thus have

$$\|w^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \leq Cp \int_B (\frac{|f|}{k}+1) w^{p+1} dx.$$

Proof

• 
$$\|w^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \leq Cp \int_B (\frac{|f|}{k}+1) w^{p+1} dx.$$

• Using Hölder's inequality, we then arrive at

$$\|w^{p+1}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{p-2}}} \leq Cp(\|\frac{|f|}{k}\|_{L^{q}}+1)\|w^{p+1}\|_{L^{q'}}.$$

• We now choose k to be any number larger than  $\|f\|_{L^q}$  and obtain from the above that

$$\|w\|_{L^{\frac{n(p+1)}{n-2}}}^{p+1} \leq Cp\|w\|_{L^{q'(p+1)}}^{p+1}.$$

Recalling that q > n/2, we have  $q' < \frac{n}{n-2}$ . Thus the above inequality is self-improving: If w has a bound in  $L^{q'(p+1)}$ , then it has a bound in  $L^{\frac{n(p+1)}{n-2}}$ .

Proof

• 
$$\|w\|_{L^{\frac{n(p+1)}{n-2}}}^{p+1} \leq C(p+1)\|w\|_{L^{q'(p+1)}}^{p+1}.$$

• Now let  $\chi = \frac{n}{(n-2)q'} > 1$  and  $t_m = \gamma \chi^m$  for some  $\gamma > 2q'$ , then the above gives

$$\|w\|_{L^{t_{m+1}}} \leq (Ct_m)^{\frac{q'}{t_m}} \|w\|_{L^{t_m}} = (C\gamma)^{q'\gamma^{-1}\chi^{-m}} \chi^{q'\gamma^{-1}m\chi^{-m}} \|w\|_{L^{t_m}}.$$

Hence by induction,

$$\|w\|_{L^{t_{m+1}}} \leq (C\gamma)^{q'\gamma^{-1}\sum_m \chi^{-m}} \chi^{q'\gamma^{-1}\sum_m m\chi^{-m}} \|w\|_{L^{\gamma}} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{\gamma}}.$$

• Sending  $m \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{\gamma}}$$
 provided  $\gamma > 2q'$ .

Proof

- $\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{\gamma}}$  when  $\gamma > 2q'$ .
- We now reduce from  $L^{\gamma}$  to  $L^{2}$ :

$$\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \Big\{ \int_{B} |w|^{\gamma} dx \Big\}^{1/\gamma} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1-\frac{2}{\gamma}} \Big\{ \int_{B} |w|^{2} dx \Big\}^{1/\gamma}$$

This gives

$$\|w\|_{L^{\infty}}\leq C\|w\|_{L^{2}}.$$

• Recalling that  $w = u_+ + k$  and k can be any positive constant larger than  $||f||_{L^q}$ , we have thus shown that

$$||u_+||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C(||u||_{L^2} + ||f||_{L^q})$$

• Applying the same argument to *u*<sub>-</sub>, we get the corresponding bound for *u*<sub>-</sub> and conclude the proof.