B4.4 Fourier Analysis HT22

Lecture 11: The Paley-Wiener theorem for compactly supported distributions

- 1. The Fourier transform of a compactly supported distribution
- 2. The Fourier-Laplace transform
- 3. A qualitative uncertainty principle
- 4. Nonexistence of compactly supported fundamental solutions
- 5. The Paley-Wiener theorem for distributions
- 6. An application

The material corresponds to pp. 41-45 in the lecture notes and should be covered in Week 6.

Lecture 11 (B4.4)

For $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its Fourier transform is defined as the distribution

$$\langle \widehat{u}, \phi \rangle := \langle u, \widehat{\phi} \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In lecture 9 we saw that \hat{u} is a moderate C^{∞} function given by the formula

$$\widehat{u}(\xi) = \langle u, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi \cdot (\cdot)} \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here the right-hand side means that u acts on the C^{∞} function $x \mapsto e^{-i\xi \cdot x}$. Recall from B4.3 that each compactly supported distribution u admits a unique extension to a linear functional defined on C^{∞} functions and satisfying the boundedness property: for each compact neighbourhood K of $\operatorname{supp}(u)$ there exist $c = c_K \ge 0$, $m = m_K \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \le c \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \sup_{\kappa} |\partial^{\alpha} \phi|$$
(1)

holds for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Evidently the function $x \mapsto e^{-i\zeta \cdot x}$ remains a C^{∞} function for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and we may still consider

$$\widehat{u}(\zeta) = \langle u, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot (\cdot)} \rangle$$

for such $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This extension of the Fourier transform, denoted again by \hat{u} , is also here called the *Fourier-Laplace transform* of u. We will show that it is an entire function. Write $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and consider the Fourier-Laplace transform of u as a function of the 2n real variables (ξ, η) , $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(\xi, \eta) \colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Claim. $\hat{u}(\xi,\eta)$ is a C¹ function of $(\xi,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and we may calculate its partial derivatives by differentiation behind the distribution sign.

To show it we consider \hat{u} as a function of one the variables, say ξ_j , while keeping the other variables fixed. For an increment $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\frac{\widehat{u}(\zeta + he_j) - \widehat{u}(\zeta)}{h} = \left\langle u, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\zeta + he_j) \cdot (\cdot)} - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot (\cdot)}}{h} \right\rangle$$

Here

$$\Delta_h(x):=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\zeta+he_j)\cdot x}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot x}}{h}\to -\mathrm{i}x_j\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot x} \text{ as } h\to 0$$

locally uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Likewise, we have for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ that

$$\partial_x^lpha \Delta_h(x)
ightarrow -\mathrm{i} x_j \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \zeta \cdot x} ig(-\mathrm{i} \zetaig)^lpha$$
 as $h
ightarrow 0$

locally uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In combination with the boundedness property (1) of u this yields

$$rac{\widehat{u}(\zeta+he_j)-\widehat{u}(\zeta)}{h}
ightarrow \left\langle u,rac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot(\cdot)}
ight
angle$$
 as $h
ightarrow 0.$

Using the boundedness property (1) again we see that the partial derivative $\partial_{\xi_j} \hat{u}(\zeta)$ is a continuous function of ζ . The same argument applies to the remaining 2n-1 real variables and so we have established the validity of claim.

We can now check that \hat{u} satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equation with respect to each of the variables ζ_j , where $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\zeta}_j}\widehat{u}(\zeta) = \left\langle u, \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\zeta}_j} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot (\cdot)} \right\rangle = 0,$$

hence \hat{u} is a holomorphic function of ζ_j , and since $1 \leq j \leq n$ was arbitrary we have shown that \hat{u} is an entire function on \mathbb{C}^n . We have shown:

The Fourier transform of a compactly supported distribution $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends to \mathbb{C}^n as an entire function called the Fourier-Laplace transform of u.

This result allows us to give a short proof of a qualitative *uncertainty principle*.

A qualitative uncertainty principle

Proposition If $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widehat{u} \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then u = 0.

Proof. Because u has compact support, the Fourier-Laplace transform $\hat{u} \colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is entire, and because the Fourier transform \hat{u} has compact support we can find r > 0 so $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{u}) \subset [-r, r]^n$. Now fix $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the entire function

 $\mathbb{C} \ni \zeta_n \mapsto \widehat{u}(\xi_0 + \zeta_n e_n) \,, \quad \text{ where } (e_j)_{j=1}^n \text{ is the standard basis for } \mathbb{C}^n.$

This function vanishes when $\zeta_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-r, r]$, and so by the identity theorem for holomorphic functions it must vanish identically:

$$\widehat{u}(\xi_0+\zeta_n e_n)=0$$

for all $\zeta_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Because $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ was arbitrary we have shown that the Fourier transform $\hat{u}(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. But then u = 0 by the Fourier inversion formula in \mathscr{S}' .

Nonexistence of compactly supported fundamental solutions

Recall from B4.3 that a fundamental solution to a linear differential operator with constant coefficients

$$p(\partial) = \sum_{|lpha| \leq m} c_lpha \partial^lpha$$

is any distribution $E \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $p(\partial)E = \delta_0$ in $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A fundamental solution for a differential operator of order at least one is never compactly supported:

We proceed by contradiction and assume $E \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p(\partial)E = \delta_0$. We can then Fourier transform the equation. Using the differentiation rule we get

$$p(\mathrm{i}\xi)\widehat{E}=1$$
 in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Nonexistence of compactly supported fundamental solutions

Here \widehat{E} is a moderate C^{∞} function on \mathbb{R}^n that admits an extension to \mathbb{C}^n as an entire function, so, by the identity theorem for holomorphic functions, we still have

$$p(\mathrm{i}\zeta)\widehat{E}(\zeta)=1$$
 on $\mathbb{C}^n.$

Because $p(i\zeta)$ is a polynomial of degree at least one, we can find $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\mathbb{C} \ni \zeta_j \mapsto p(i(\zeta_0 + \zeta_j e_j))$ is a polynomial of degree at least one. It therefore has a zero in \mathbb{C} and because

$$p(\mathrm{i}(\zeta_0+\zeta_j e_j))\widehat{E}(\zeta_0+\zeta_j e_j)=1 ext{ for all } \zeta_j\in\mathbb{C}$$

it follows that the holomorphic function $\zeta_j \mapsto \widehat{E}(\zeta_0 + \zeta_j e_j)$ must have a singularity in \mathbb{C} . A contradiction proving the claim.

We return to the Fourier-Laplace transform \hat{u} of $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As we did when considering the Fourier transform of a compactly supported test function we now want to derive a boundedness property for the Fourier-Laplace transform.

Take $R \ge 0$ so that u is supported in $B_R(0)$. For the standard mollifier $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ on \mathbb{R}^n we put

$$\chi = \chi_{\varepsilon} := \rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathbf{1}_{B_{R+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})}.$$

Then $\chi = 1$ on $B_R(0)$, it has support $\overline{B_{R+2\varepsilon}(0)}$ and we have

 $u = \chi u$

Using the formula for the Fourier-Laplace transform we then get

$$\widehat{u}(\zeta) = \left\langle u, \chi e^{-i\zeta \cdot (\cdot)} \right\rangle$$

We estimate now the Fourier-Laplace transform using the boundedness property (1) corresponding to the compact set $K = \overline{B_{R+1}(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}(\zeta) &| &= \left| \left\langle u, \chi e^{-i\zeta \cdot (\cdot)} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{B_{R+1}(0)} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \left(\chi e^{-i\zeta \cdot (\cdot)} \right) \right| \\ &\leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \sup_{x \in B_{R+1}(0)} \left| e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} \left(-i\zeta \right)^{\alpha - \gamma} \partial^{\gamma} \chi(x) \right| \\ &\leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \sup_{x \in B_{R+1}(0)} \left| \partial^{\gamma} \chi(x) \right| e^{(R+2\varepsilon)|\eta|} |\zeta^{\alpha - \gamma}| \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\left|\partial^{\gamma}\chi(x)\right| = \varepsilon^{-|\gamma|} \left| \left((\partial^{\gamma}\rho)_{\varepsilon} * \mathbf{1}_{B_{R+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} \right)(x) \right| \le \varepsilon^{-|\gamma|} \|\partial^{\gamma}\rho\|_{1}$$

Therefore we get

$$\left|\widehat{u}(\zeta)\right| \leq c \mathrm{e}^{(R+2\varepsilon)|\eta|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \varepsilon^{-|\gamma|} \|\partial^{\gamma} \rho\|_{1} |\zeta^{\alpha-\gamma}|$$

Put $C:=\max_{|\gamma|\leq m}\|\partial^{\gamma}\rho\|_{1}$, whereby

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{u}(\zeta) \right| &\leq c C \mathrm{e}^{(R+2\varepsilon)|\eta|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \varepsilon^{-|\gamma|} |\zeta^{\alpha-\gamma}| \\ &= c C \mathrm{e}^{(R+2\varepsilon)|\eta|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\varepsilon^{-1} + |\zeta_j|)^{\alpha_j} \\ &\leq c C \mathrm{e}^{(R+2\varepsilon)|\eta|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\varepsilon^{-1} + (1+|\zeta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

Here we take corresponding to $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$arepsilon = \left(1+|\zeta|^2
ight)^{-rac{1}{2}} \in (0,1].$$

 $arepsilon = \left(1+|\zeta|^2
ight)^{-rac{1}{2}} \in (0,1],$

then

lf

$$e^{(R+2\varepsilon)|\eta|} \le e^{R|\eta|+2}$$

and for $|\alpha| \leq m$,

$$ig(arepsilon^{-1}+(1+|\zeta|^2)^{rac{1}{2}}ig)^{|lpha|}\leq 2^mig(1+|\zeta|^2ig)^{rac{m}{2}}.$$

Hereby we arrive at

$$\left|\widehat{u}(\zeta)\right| \leq C_0 \left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $C_0 := cCe^2 2^m \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} 1$. This is the boundedness property for the Fourier-Laplace transform of a distribution supported in $B_R(0)$.

The Paley-Wiener theorem for compactly supported distributions

(1) If $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of order $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subseteq \overline{B_R(0)}$, then the Fourier-Laplace transform \widehat{u} is an entire function on \mathbb{C}^n given by

$$\widehat{u}(\zeta) = \left\langle u, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot (\cdot)} \right\rangle, \, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and satisfying the boundedness condition

$$\left|\widehat{u}(\zeta)\right| \leq c \left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^{rac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $c \ge 0$ is a constant.

(2) If $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function satisfying for some constants $c \ge 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $R \ge 0$ the boundedness condition

$$\left|\Phi(\zeta)\right| \leq c \left(1+|\zeta|^2\right)^{rac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then there exists a unique $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose Fourier-Laplace transform is Φ . Furthermore, u is supported in $\overline{B_R(0)}$ and has order at most m + n + 1.

Lecture 11 (B4.4)

The Paley-Wiener theorem-proof of (2)

We only give the proof for n = 1 [the proof in the case n > 1 is not examinable].

Define $\varphi := \Phi|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and because of the boundedness condition satisfied by Φ we have

$$\left|arphi(\xi)
ight|\leq cig(1+|\xi|^2ig)^{rac{m}{2}}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, so that φ is a tempered L^{∞} function and so in particular $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. We can then by the Fourier inversion formula in \mathscr{S}' define

$$u := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

For the standard mollifier $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ on \mathbb{R} we put $u_{\varepsilon} := \rho_{\varepsilon} * u$. Then u_{ε} is a moderate C^{∞} function and by the convolution and dilation rules,

$$\widehat{u}_{\varepsilon} = \widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon}\widehat{u} = d_{\varepsilon}\widehat{\rho}\widehat{u}.$$

The Paley-Wiener theorem-proof of (2)

Here $d_{\varepsilon}\widehat{\rho}(\xi) = \widehat{\rho}(\varepsilon\xi)$ and $\widehat{\rho}$ can by Paley-Wiener for test functions be extended to \mathbb{C} as an entire function, hence so can $\widehat{u_{\varepsilon}}$:

$$\widehat{u}_{\varepsilon}(\zeta) = \widehat{\rho}(\varepsilon\zeta)\Phi(\zeta).$$

Furthermore, $\hat{\rho}$ satisfies the boundedness condition given in Paley-Wiener for test functions: for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we find a constant $c_{k+m} \ge 0$ such that

$$\left|\widehat{\rho}(\varepsilon\zeta)\right| \leq c_{k+m} (1+|\varepsilon\zeta|^2)^{-rac{k+m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon|\eta|}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Combine this with the boundedness condition we assume for Φ to get for $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\left|\widehat{u_{arepsilon}}(\zeta)
ight|\leq c_{k+m}crac{\left(1+|\zeta|^{2}
ight)^{rac{m}{2}}}{\left(1+|arepsilon\zeta|^{2}
ight)^{rac{k+m}{2}}}\mathrm{e}^{(R+arepsilon)|\eta|}$$

The Paley-Wiener theorem–proof of (2)

Here we have for $arepsilon\in(0,1)$ and $\zeta=\xi+\mathrm{i}\eta\in\mathbb{C}$ that

$$\left(1+|\varepsilon\zeta|^2\right)^{\frac{k+m}{2}} = \varepsilon^{k+m} \left(\varepsilon^{-2}+|\zeta|^2\right)^{\frac{k+m}{2}} \ge \varepsilon^{k+m} \left(1+|\zeta|^2\right)^{\frac{k+m}{2}}$$

and therefore

$$\left|\widehat{u_{arepsilon}}(\zeta)
ight|\leq c_{k+m}carepsilon^{-k-m}ig(1+|\zeta|^2ig)^{-rac{k}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{(R+arepsilon)|\eta|}$$

Note that we have shown validity of this bound for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. But then Paley-Wiener for test functions yields $\phi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[-R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon]$ with Fourier-Laplace transform $\hat{\phi}_{\varepsilon} = \hat{u}_{\varepsilon}$. But then $u_{\varepsilon} = \phi_{\varepsilon}$ follows from the Fourier inversion formula in \mathscr{S}' , that is, we have shown that $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R})$ is supported in $[-R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon]$. Now we have clearly also that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and it is not difficult to see that this implies that u is supported in [-R, R] (check it as an exercise).

Paley-Wiener bounds

We have encountered many different *boundedness conditions* during B4.3 and this course. It is useful to distinguish some of them with special names. Henceforth we will refer to the boundedness conditions in the Paley-Wiener theorems as *Paley-Wiener bounds*. More precisely: Let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function.

 Φ satisfies a strong Paley-Wiener bound provided we can find $R \ge 0$ with the property that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists $c_m \ge 0$ such that

$$\left|\Phi(\zeta)\right| \le c_m \left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|} \tag{2}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

 Φ satisfies a weak Paley-Wiener bound provided we can find $R \ge 0$, $c \ge 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\left|\Phi(\zeta)\right| \le c \left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|} \tag{3}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Compactly supported distributions are Sobolev

Example Let $v \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $v \in \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall that $u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ provided

$$u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and $(1+|\xi|^2)^{rac{5}{2}}\widehat{u} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$

Now by Paley-Wiener the Fourier-Laplace transform \hat{u} satisfies a weak Paley-Wiener bound, and more precisely we saw that if v is supported in $\overline{B_R(0)}$ and has order at most $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then for some constant $c \ge 0$ we have

$$\left|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(\zeta)\right| \leq c \left(1+|\zeta|^2\right)^{rac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus if $\zeta = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\left(1+|\xi|^2
ight)^{-m} \left|\widehat{
u}(\xi)
ight|^2 \leq c^2$$

It follows that $v \in \mathrm{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ for $s < -m - \frac{n}{2}$.