

B4.4 Fourier Analysis HT22

Lecture 14: Periodic distributions and the Poisson summation formula

1. Examples and the periodisation of a test function
2. Periodic distributions are tempered
3. The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution
4. The Poisson summation formula

The material corresponds to pp. 48–53 in the lecture notes and should be covered in Week 7.

Periodic distributions

Definition Let $t > 0$. A distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ is t -periodic (or periodic with period t) if

$$\tau_t u = u.$$

Example 1 Let $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then u is t -periodic if $u(x+t) = u(x)$ almost everywhere. It follows from the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations that u is t -periodic if and only if u is t -periodic as a distribution.

Example 2 Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $t > 0$. Then u is t -periodic if and only if the dilated distribution

$$d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} u$$

is 2π -periodic. Indeed, this is a consequence of the identity

$$\tau_{2\pi} d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} u - d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} u = d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} (\tau_{2\pi} u - u).$$

Verify this as an exercise.

Periodic distributions

Intuitively a t -periodic distribution is fully determined if we know it on any interval of length t . This is clear for regular distributions. It is a little vague and unclear how this should be understood for general distributions. We assert that *if (a, b) is an interval of length $b - a > t$, then if we know that $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ is t -periodic and know the values $\langle u, \phi \rangle$ for each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$, then we know u .*

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with support contained in an interval $[c, d]$. Cover this compact interval with sets from the open cover

$$\{(a + nt, b + nt) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of \mathbb{R} . Use a smooth partition of unity for $[c, d]$ that is subordinated this cover (recall we constructed these in [B4.3](#)), say

$$[c, d] \subset \bigcup_{j=k}^l (a + jt, b + jt), \psi_j \in \mathcal{D}(a + jt, b + jt) \text{ and } \sum_{j=k}^l \psi_j = 1 \text{ on } [c, d].$$

Periodic distributions

Now because u is t -periodic we have

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=k}^l \langle u, \psi_j \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=k}^l \langle u, \tau_{-jt}(\psi_j \phi) \rangle$$

and since $\tau_{-jt}(\psi_j \phi) \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ for each j the value of u at ϕ is determined.

In the sequel we shall mainly consider 2π -periodic and 1-periodic distributions. As we have seen above this is not really restrictive as any period $t > 0$ can be obtained by dilation from, say, the 2π -periodic case.

Example 3 Use the Fourier bounds to show that

$$u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{inx}$$

is a tempered 2π -periodic distribution. [See details in the lecture notes]

The periodisation of a test function

Definition Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then *the periodisation of ϕ* is defined for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$(P\phi)(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x + 2\pi n) \left(:= \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ l \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{n=-k}^{n=l} \phi(x + 2\pi n) \right).$$

We assert that $P\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a 2π -periodic C^∞ function. Hereby $P: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear map (valued in 2π -periodic C^∞ functions). First note that if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, then the series becomes a finite sum and it is then clear that $P\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and also that it is 2π -periodic. In the general case $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ the series defining $P\phi(x)$ is a genuine series and we must present a proof for our assertion:

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we estimate

$$|\phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi n)| = \frac{1 + (x + 2\pi n)^2}{1 + (x + 2\pi n)^2} |\phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi n)| \leq \frac{2\bar{S}_{2,s}(\phi)}{1 + (x + 2\pi n)^2}$$

The periodisation of a test function

Consequently, given $r > 0$, we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $|x| \leq r < |k|$ that

$$|\phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi k)| \leq \frac{2\bar{S}_{2,s}(\phi)}{1 + (2\pi|k| - r)^2}$$

and since

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, |n| > r} \frac{2\bar{S}_{2,s}(\phi)}{1 + (2\pi|n| - r)^2} < \infty$$

we infer from Weierstrass' M-test that the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi n)$ converges uniformly in $x \in [-r, r]$. Because $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r > 0$ were arbitrary we deduce that $P\phi$ is C^∞ and that the series, together with the term-by-term differentiated series, converge locally uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, it is clear that $P\phi$ is 2π -periodic.

The periodisation of a test function

Example 4 Show that if $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\sum_{n=-k}^{n=l} \psi(x)\phi(x + 2\pi n) \rightarrow \psi(x)(P\phi)(x) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$$

as $k, l \rightarrow \infty$.

Put $Z_{k,l}(x) = \sum_{n=-k}^{n=l} \phi(x + 2\pi n)$. Then we have just shown that $Z_{k,l}^{(s)}(x) \rightarrow (P\phi)^{(s)}(x)$ locally uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as $k, l \rightarrow \infty$. Because $\text{supp}(\psi Z_{k,l}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\psi)$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and by Leibniz' rule

$$\frac{d^s}{dx^s} \left(\psi Z_{k,l} \right) \rightarrow \frac{d^s}{dx^s} \left(\psi P\phi \right) \text{ uniformly}$$

as $k, l \rightarrow \infty$, the result follows.

Periodic distributions are tempered

Lemma Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ be 2π -periodic. Then u is \mathcal{S} continuous and hence extends to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ as a tempered distribution. (We also write u for this extension that necessarily must be unique.)

Proof. Put $\chi = \rho * \mathbf{1}_{(-1, 2\pi+1]}$, where as usual ρ is the standard mollifier kernel on \mathbb{R} . Clearly, $\mathbf{1}_{(0, 2\pi]} \leq \chi \leq \mathbf{1}_{(-2, 2\pi+2]}$. The periodisation $P\chi$ is a 2π -periodic C^∞ function, and we must have $P\chi \geq 1$ everywhere, so that the function

$$\psi = \frac{\chi}{P\chi}$$

is well-defined and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and it has periodisation

$$P\psi = 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

We use this to give a formula for the extension of u to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Periodic distributions are tempered—proof

For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ we calculate:

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \phi P \Psi \rangle = \left\langle u, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi \tau_{2\pi n} \Psi \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{Example 4}}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u, \phi \tau_{2\pi n} \Psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u, \tau_{2\pi n} (\Psi \tau_{-2\pi n} \phi) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u, \Psi \tau_{-2\pi n} \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{Example 4}}{=} \left\langle u, \Psi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_{-2\pi n} \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \langle u, \Psi P \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Periodic distributions are tempered—proof

Let $K = [-2, 2\pi + 2]$. By the boundedness property of u we can find constants $c = c_K \geq 0$, $m = m_K \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| \leq c \sum_{s=0}^m \sup |\varphi^{(s)}|$$

holds for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$. Using the previous identity and this bound with $\varphi = \Psi P\phi$ we find:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, \phi \rangle| &= |\langle u, \Psi P\phi \rangle| \\ &\leq c \sum_{s=0}^m \sup |(\Psi P\phi)^{(s)}| \\ &\stackrel{\text{Leibniz}}{\leq} cC(\Psi, m)\bar{S}_{0,m}(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\Psi, m) = 3(m+1)2^m\bar{S}_{0,m}(\Psi)$. □

The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

Assume $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ is t -periodic. Then as we just saw, $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ (abuse of notation...) and for some constants $c \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \leq c \bar{S}_{0,m}(\phi) \quad (1)$$

holds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Its Fourier transform \hat{u} is defined as a tempered distribution. Is there something special about it?

Obviously, we can Fourier transform the identity $\tau_t u = u$ using the translation rule to get

$$e^{it\xi} \hat{u} = \hat{u}.$$

By the Fourier inversion formula any $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying this equation, that is,

$$e^{it\xi} v = v, \quad (2)$$

is the Fourier transform of a t -periodic distribution. Furthermore, from $(e^{it\xi} - 1)v = 0$ we get that $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq \frac{2\pi}{t}\mathbb{Z}$.

The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

We used the following result:

Exercise If $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a moderate C^∞ function, then a *necessary* condition for

$$\Phi v = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

to hold is that $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \Phi(x) = 0\}$. Prove it. Prove also that the condition is *not* sufficient.

Now consider v restricted to the interval $(-\frac{2\pi}{t}, \frac{2\pi}{t})$. It is supported in $\{0\}$ and so by a result from [B4.3](#) it follows that the restriction has the form

$$v|_{(-\frac{2\pi}{t}, \frac{2\pi}{t})} = \sum_{s=0}^m a_s \delta_0^{(s)}$$

for some constants $a_s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Inspection shows that δ_0 satisfies (2). To see that the derivatives of δ_0 do not, and so that $m = 0$ above, we construct suitable test functions.

The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define

$$\varphi_k(x) = \frac{x^k}{k!} (\rho_\varepsilon * \mathbf{1}_{(-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)})(x)$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that it is supported in $(-\frac{2\pi}{t}, \frac{2\pi}{t})$. We then have

$$\left\langle (e^{it\xi} - 1) \sum_{s=0}^m a_s \delta_0^{(s)}, \varphi_{m-1} \right\rangle = ma_m (-1)^m it$$

and so (2) forces $a_m = 0$. Similarly, $a_{m-1} = a_{m-2} = \dots = a_1 = 0$. We argue similarly at the other points of $\frac{2\pi}{t}\mathbb{Z}$ and so conclude that

$$v = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \delta_{\frac{2\pi}{t}k} \quad (3)$$

for some constants $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$. The doubly infinite sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ cannot be arbitrary because the distribution (3) is tempered.

The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

If we employ the Fourier inversion formula on the identity (3), assuming as we may that $v = \hat{u}$, we find that

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{i \frac{2\pi}{t} kx} \quad (4)$$

It follows that any t -periodic distribution admits an expansion of the form (4) for suitable coefficients $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to see what condition the doubly infinite sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ must satisfy we return to the boundedness condition (1) for u and combine it with the Fourier bounds to get

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \phi\left(\frac{2\pi}{t} k\right) \right| \leq C \bar{S}_{m+2,0}(\phi)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, where $C \geq 0$ is a constant. We now construct suitable test functions to extract the information.

The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

For each $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2t})$ define

$$\phi_j(x) = \frac{\overline{c_j}}{1 + |c_j|} |j|^{-m-2} (\rho_\varepsilon * \mathbf{1}_{(\frac{2\pi}{t}j-2\varepsilon, \frac{2\pi}{t}j+2\varepsilon)})(x).$$

Then ϕ_j and $\overline{S}_{m+2,0}(\phi_j) \leq (\frac{3\pi}{t})^{m+2}$, hence

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \phi_j\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}k\right) \right| = \frac{|c_j|^2}{1 + |c_j|} |j|^{-m-2} \leq C \left(\frac{3\pi}{t}\right)^{m+2}$$

holds for all $j \neq 0$. The doubly infinite sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ therefore satisfies

$$|c_k| \leq C(1 + k^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \quad (5)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $C \geq 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are constants. Such sequences are said to be of *moderate growth*. In turn, if a doubly infinite sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is of moderate growth, then (3) and (4) define tempered distributions.

The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution—summary

We have shown

Theorem The Fourier transform of a t -periodic distribution has the form

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \delta_{\frac{2\pi}{t}k},$$

where the doubly infinite sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has moderate growth. In turn, any such sum defines a tempered distribution that is the Fourier transform of a t -periodic distribution.

Corollary Any t -periodic distribution u admits an expansion

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{i\frac{2\pi}{t}kx} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

where the doubly infinite sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has moderate growth.

The Poisson summation formula

Theorem (2π -periodic version)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ikx} = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{2\pi k} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \quad (6)$$

The meaning of the formula is that for each $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(k) = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2\pi k).$$

If we apply the formula to the translate $\tau_x \phi$ we get

$$(P\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

At first this convergence is pointwise in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, but it is not difficult to show that it is uniform in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that the term-by-term differentiated series also all converge uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The Poisson summation formula

There are many variants of (6). For instance, for each $t > 0$, we get a t -periodic version by dilation:

Corollary (t -periodic version)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{t} kx} = t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{kt} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

As before the identity means that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{t} k \right) = t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(kt) \quad (7)$$

holds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Again we can apply it to a translate of ϕ and this time one gets an expansion of the t -periodisation of ϕ .

For which ϕ beyond $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is (7) valid?

The Poisson summation formula—extension of scope

Exercise Let L, R be the distributions on the left-hand, right-hand side, respectively, of the t -periodic version of the Poisson summation formula. Show that there exists a constant $c = c(t) \geq 0$ such that

$$|\langle L, \phi \rangle| \leq c \bar{S}_{2,0}(\hat{\phi})$$

and

$$|\langle R, \phi \rangle| \leq c \bar{S}_{2,0}(\phi)$$

hold for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Deduce that (7) remains valid for all continuous $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\bar{S}_{2,0}(\phi) + \bar{S}_{2,0}(\hat{\phi}) < \infty.$$

The Poisson summation formula—proof

Proof. Put

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_k$$

Then it is not difficult to see that $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, that it is 1-periodic and

$$\hat{u} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik\xi} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\xi}.$$

By inspection, $e^{i\xi} \hat{u} = \hat{u}$ and $\tau_{2\pi} \hat{u} = \hat{u}$. We have seen that the first condition implies that

$$\hat{u} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \delta_{2\pi k}$$

for constants $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$. The second condition then implies that $c_k = c_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus

$$\hat{u} = c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{2\pi k}.$$

The Poisson summation formula–proof

For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in (0, 2\pi]$ we apply \hat{u} to $\tau_x \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{u}, \tau_x \phi \rangle &= c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x + 2\pi k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx}.\end{aligned}$$

At first this identity holds pointwise in $x \in (0, 2\pi]$, but it is not difficult to see that it holds uniformly in $x \in (0, 2\pi]$. We can therefore integrate the identity by integrating the series term-by-term:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x + 2\pi k) dx = c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi(k+1)} \phi(x) dx = c_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx$$

equates

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx} dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx} dx = 2\pi \hat{\phi}(0)$$

and so $c_0 = 2\pi$. □