



# B4.2 Functional Analysis II

## Lecture 4

Luc Nguyen  
luc.nguyen@maths

University of Oxford

HT 2021



# In the last lecture

- The closed linear span of an orthonormal sequence.
- The Riesz representation theorem.
- Adjoint operators.

# In this lecture

- Norm of operators on Hilbert spaces.
- Self-adjointness of orthogonal projection operators.
- Isometric and unitary operators.
- Mazur-Ulam's theorem on linearity of isometries.

# Norm of operators on Hilbert spaces

## Lemma

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space.

(i) If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}.$$

(ii) If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $T$  is self-adjoint, then

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

## Proof

- By Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality, when  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ ,

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle| \leq \|Tx\| \|y\| \leq \|T\| \|x\| \|y\| = \|T\|.$$

# Norm of operators on Hilbert spaces

## Proof

- Let us show that  $\|T\| \leq \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}$ .
- We will show that  $\|T\| - \varepsilon < \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}$  for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- Indeed, we first pick  $\|x\| = 1$  such that  $\|Tx\| > \|T\| - \varepsilon$ .  
Next, we pick  $y$  colinear to  $Tx$  such that  $\|y\| = 1$ .  
Then  $|\langle Tx, y \rangle| = \|Tx\|\|y\| = \|Tx\| > \|T\| - \varepsilon$ .
- We have thus shown (i):

$$\|T\| = \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}.$$

# Norm of operators on Hilbert spaces

## Proof

- Let us turn to (ii). Let

$$K = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}$$

and we need to show that  $\|T\| = K$ .

We note that, by linearity,

$$|\langle Tx, x \rangle| \leq K\|x\|^2 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

- By (i),  $K \leq \|T\|$ . To conclude, we show that  $\|T\| - \varepsilon < K$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- By (i), we can select  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  such that

$$\|T\| - \varepsilon < |\langle Tx, y \rangle|.$$

- Replacing  $y$  by  $ay$  for some scalar  $a$  with  $|a| = 1$ , we may assume that  $|\langle Tx, y \rangle| = \langle Tx, y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, y \rangle$ .

# Norm of operators on Hilbert spaces

## Proof

- We now use polarisation to express  $\langle Tx, y \rangle$  in terms of expressions of the form  $\langle Tu, u \rangle$ :

$$4\operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle.$$

By the observation made earlier on, the right hand side is dominated by

$$\leq K\|x+y\|^2 + K\|x-y\|^2 = 2K(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) = 4K.$$

- Putting things together, we have

$$\|T\| - \varepsilon \leq |\langle Tx, y \rangle| = \operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, y \rangle \leq K,$$

which concludes the proof.

# Norm of operators on Hilbert spaces

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then

$$\|A^*A\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)}^2.$$

In particular, if  $A$  is self-adjoint, then  $\|A^2\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)}^2$ .

Proof: By part (ii) of the lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}\|A^*A\| &= \sup\{|\langle A^*Ax, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\langle Ax, Ax \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|Ax\|^2 : \|x\| = 1\} = \|A\|^2.\end{aligned}$$



# Self-adjointness of orthogonal projection operators

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and  $Y$  and  $Z$  are its closed subspaces such that  $X = Y \oplus Z$ . Let  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  be the induced direct sum projection, i.e.  $P(y + z) = y$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $Z = Y^\perp$ .*
- (ii)  $P^* = P$ .*
- (iii)  $\|P\| \leq 1$  (and in such case  $\|P\| = 1$  or  $P \equiv 0$ ).*

Proof: Sheet 2.

# Unitary operators

## Definition

A linear operator between two Hilbert spaces is called unitary if it is isometric and surjective.

Note that isometricity implies injectivity.

## Proposition

*Let  $X, Y$  be Hilbert spaces and  $T, U \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Then*

*$T$  is isometric  $\Leftrightarrow \langle Tx, Ty \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in X \Leftrightarrow T^*T = I_X$ ,*

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} U \text{ is unitary} &\Leftrightarrow U^*U = I_X \text{ and } UU^* = I_Y \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Both } U \text{ and } U^* \text{ are isometric.} \end{aligned}$$

# Example of unitary operators

## Example:

- $X = \mathbb{C}^n, Y = \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is given by  $Ax = Mx$  with  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . Then  $A^*y = \bar{M}^t y$ . Hence  $A$  is isometric if and only if  $\bar{M}^t M = I_n$  (which implies  $n \leq m$ ) and  $A$  is unitary if and only if  $m = n$  and  $M$  is a unitary matrix.
- Let  $X = \ell^2$  and  $L$  and  $R$  be the left-shift and right-shift operators on  $X$ . We knew that  $L^* = R$ . As  $RL \neq I$  but  $LR = I$ , we have that  $R$  is isometric but not unitary while  $L$  is neither isometric nor unitary. (These can also be checked directly.)
- Let  $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $M_h \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be the multiplication operator  $M_h f = hf$  where  $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . As  $M_h^* = M_{\bar{h}}$ ,  $M_h$  is isometric if and only if  $M_h$  is unitary if and only if  $|h| = 1$  a.e.

# Unitary operators on $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$

## Theorem (Bochner)

Let  $U$  be a unitary operator on  $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ . Then there is a function  $K : (-\pi, \pi)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^s Uf(t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{K(s, t)} f(t) dt \text{ for all } f \in L^2(-\pi, \pi).$$

Loosely speaking, the theorem says  $Uf(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \int_a^b \overline{K(s, t)} f(t) dt$ .

Proof

- For  $s \in (-\pi, \pi)$ , let  $e_s = \chi_{(-\pi, s)}$ .
- Then

$$\int_{-\pi}^s Uf(t) dt = \langle Uf, e_s \rangle = \langle f, U^* e_s \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \overline{(U^* e_s)(t)} dt.$$

The conclusion follows with  $K(s, t) = (U^* e_s)(t)$ .

# Linearity of isometry

## Proposition

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be (real or complex) Hilbert spaces. If a map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is an isometry and  $T(0) = 0$ , then  $T$  is linear over  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

## Remark

*When  $X = Y = \mathbb{C}^2$ , the map  $T(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, \bar{z}_2)$  is a surjective isometry satisfying  $T(0) = 0$ , but  $T$  is not linear (nor skew-linear) over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

## Proof

- It suffices to show that  $T(\frac{1}{2}(x + y)) = \frac{1}{2}(T(x) + T(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- If  $x = y$ , the assertion is clear. Suppose henceforth that  $x \neq y$ .

# Linearity of isometry

## Proof

- Let  $z = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$ . We have

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| = \|x - y\|,$$

$$\|T(z) - T(x)\| = \|z - x\| = \frac{1}{2}\|y - x\|,$$

$$\|T(z) - T(y)\| = \|z - y\| = \frac{1}{2}\|y - x\|.$$

- Hence  $\|T(x) - T(y)\| = \|T(z) - T(x)\| + \|T(z) - T(y)\|$ .
- We thus have a situation where the triangle inequality is saturated. Squaring and expanding, this leads to a situation where Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality is saturated:

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle T(x) - T(z), T(z) - T(y) \rangle = \|T(x) - T(z)\| \|T(z) - T(y)\|.$$

- This is possible only if  $T(x) - T(z)$  and  $T(z) - T(y)$  are linearly dependent.

# Linearity of isometry

## Proof

- ...  $T(x) - T(z)$  and  $T(z) - T(y)$  are linearly dependent.  
Without loss of generality, we assume for some (real or complex) scalar  $\lambda$  that  $T(x) - T(z) = \lambda(T(z) - T(y))$ .
- As  $\|T(x) - T(z)\| = \|T(z) - T(y)\| \neq 0$ , we have  $|\lambda| = 1$ .  
Also, as  $\|T(x) - T(y)\| = \|T(z) - T(x)\| + \|T(z) - T(y)\|$ , we have  $|\lambda + 1| = 2$ .
- We deduce that  $\lambda = 1$  and  $T(z) = \frac{1}{2}(T(x) + T(y))$  as desired.

# Metric characterisation of midpoints

We next give metric characterisation of the midpoint between two points in a normed vector space.

- Suppose  $x, y$  are two given points in a normed vector space  $X$  and  $z = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$  be their midpoint.
- When  $X$  is Hilbert,  $z$  is the only point which is half way between  $x$  and  $y$ , i.e.  $\|z - x\| = \|z - y\| = \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|$ .
- In general the set

$$H = \left\{ w : \|w - x\| = \|w - y\| = \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\| \right\}$$

may contain points other than  $z$ .

- We note that  $H$  is symmetric about  $z$ : If  $w \in H$ , then  $2z - w \in H$ . This is because  $(2z - w) - x = y - w$  and  $(2z - w) - y = x - w$ .



# Metric characterisation of midpoints

- We now construct a nested sequence  $H = H_0 \supset H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \dots$  with  $\text{diam}(H_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}(H_n)$ , all of which contains  $z$  and are symmetric about  $z$ . In particular  $\bigcap H_n = \{z\}$ .
- As stated we let  $H_0 = H \ni z$ .
- By the symmetricity of  $H_0$  around  $z$ , we have

$$\|z - w\| = \frac{1}{2}\|(2z - w) - w\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}(H_0) \text{ for all } w \in H_0.$$

- We define the sets  $H_n$ 's inductively by

$$H_{n+1} = \left\{ p \in H_n : \|p - w\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}(H_n) \text{ for all } w \in H_n \right\}.$$

# Metric characterisation of midpoints

- $H_{n+1} = \left\{ p \in H_n : \|p - w\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(H_n) \text{ for all } w \in H_n \right\}$ .
- To finish the construction, we need to show that if  $H_n$  contains  $z$  and is symmetric about  $z$ , then the same conclusions hold for  $H_{n+1}$ .

★ If  $w \in H_n$ , then  $(2z - w) \in H_n$  and so

$$\|z - w\| = \frac{1}{2} \|(2z - w) - w\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(H_n),$$

which implies that  $z \in H_{n+1}$ .

★ Suppose  $p \in H_{n+1}$  and  $w \in H_n$ , then as  $2z - w \in H_n$ ,

$$\|(2z - p) - w\| = \|(2z - w) - p\| = \|p - (2z - w)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(H_n).$$

So  $2z - p \in H_{n+1}$ , i.e.  $H_{n+1}$  is symmetric about  $z$ .

# Metric characterisation of midpoints

- To summarise, we have constructed for every two points  $x, y$  a nested sequence  $H_0 \supset H_1 \supset \dots$  which 'converges' to  $\{z\}$ , where the definition of the sets  $H_n$ 's uses only the metric structure of  $X$ . The linear structure is used only in the proof of the convergence.

As an application, we have

## Theorem (Mazur and Ulam)

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be (real or complex) normed vector spaces. If  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective isometry and  $T(0) = 0$ , then  $T$  is linear over  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

Proof: Fix two points  $x, y$  in  $X$ . As a *surjective* isometry,  $T$  maps the sets  $H_n$ 's of the pair  $(x, y)$  to the corresponding sets for the pair  $T(x)$  and  $T(y)$ . By continuity,  $T$  preserves the limits of those sets, i.e.  $T(\frac{1}{2}(x + y)) = \frac{1}{2}(T(x) + T(y))$ . The conclusion follows.

# Nonlinear non-surjective isometries

## Remark

*Note that in Mazur and Ulam's result, we assumed that  $T$  is surjective. This was not required in the Hilbert space setting. This assumption cannot be dropped in general.*

Example: Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R}^2$  equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_1, x_2)\|_{\infty} = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|).$$

Let  $T(x) = (x, f(x))$  where  $f$  is any differentiable functions with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $|f'(x)| \leq 1$ .

- $T(0) = 0$  and  $T$  is nonlinear.
- Note that by the mean value theorem,  
 $|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(c)||x - y| \leq |x - y|.$
- $\|T(x) - T(y)\|_{\infty} = \max(|x - y|, |f(x) - f(y)|) = |x - y|$ , so  $T$  is an isometry.