Numerical Analysis Hilary Term 2018 Lecture 4: Gaussian Elimination

Setup: given a square n by n matrix A and vector with n components b, find x such that

 $Ax = b$.

Equivalently find $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ^T for which

$$
a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1
$$

\n
$$
a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n.
$$

\n(1)

Lower-triangular matrices: the matrix A is lower triangular if $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The system [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is easy to solve if A is lower triangular.

$$
a_{11}x_1 = b_1 \implies x_1 = \frac{b_1}{a_{11}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2 \implies x_2 = \frac{b_2 - a_{21}x_1}{a_{22}} \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{ii}x_i = b_i \implies x_i = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j}{a_{ii}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

This works if, and only if, $a_{ii} \neq 0$ for each i. The procedure is known as **forward** substitution.

Computational work estimate: one floating-point operation (flop) is one scalar multiply/division/addition/subtraction as in $y = a * x$ where a, x and y are computer repre-sentations of real scalars.^{[1](#page-0-1)}

Hence the work in forward substitution is 1 flop to compute x_1 plus 3 flops to compute x_2 plus ... plus $2i - 1$ flops to compute x_i plus ... plus $2n - 1$ flops to compute x_n , or in total

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i - 1) = 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\right) - n = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)\right) - n = n^2 + \text{lower order terms}
$$

flops. We sometimes write this as $n^2 + O(n)$ flops or more crudely $O(n^2)$ flops.

Upper-triangular matrices: the matrix A is upper triangular if $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$. Once again, the system [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is easy to solve if A is upper triangular.

¹This is an abstraction: e.g., some hardware can do $y = a * x + b$ in one FMA flop ("Fused Multiply and Add") but then needs several FMA flops for a single division. For a trip down this sort of rabbit hole, look up the "Fast inverse square root" as used in the source code of the video game "Quake III Arena".

$$
\vdots \t\t \hat{a}_{ii}x_i + \dots + a_{in-1}x_{n-1} + a_{1n}x_n = b_i \implies x_i = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j}{a_{ii}} \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n-1}x_{n-1} + a_{n-1n}x_n = b_{n-1} \implies x_{n-1} = \frac{b_{n-1} - a_{n-1n}x_n}{a_{n-1n-1}} \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \implies x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}}. \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}}. \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \uparrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b_n \qquad \Longrightarrow x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}} \qquad \downarrow \hat{a}_{n-1n}x_n = b
$$

Again, this works if, and only if, $a_{ii} \neq 0$ for each i. The procedure is known as **backward** or back substitution. This also takes approximately n^2 flops.

For computation, we need a reliable, systematic technique for reducing $Ax = b$ to $Ux = c$ with the same solution x but with U (upper) triangular \implies Gauss elimination.

Example

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 11 \end{array}\right].
$$

Multiply first equation by 1/3 and subtract from the second \implies

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 3 & -1 \\ 0 & \frac{7}{3} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 7 \end{array}\right].
$$

Gauss(ian) Elimination (GE): this is most easily described in terms of overwriting the matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}\$ and vector b. At each stage, it is a systematic way of introducing zeros into the lower triangular part of A by subtracting multiples of previous equations (i.e., rows); such (elementary row) operations do not change the solution.

for columns $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$ for rows $i = j + 1, j + 2, ..., n$

row
$$
i \leftarrow \text{row } i - \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}} * \text{row } j
$$

 $b_i \leftarrow b_i - \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}} * b_j$

end end

Example.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 \ 1 & 2 & 3 \ 2 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \ 11 \ 2 \end{bmatrix} : \text{ represent as } \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & | & 12 \ 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 11 \ 2 & -2 & -1 & | & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\implies \text{ row } 2 \leftarrow \text{ row } 2 - \frac{1}{3} \text{ row } 1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & | & 12 \ 0 & \frac{7}{3} & \frac{7}{3} & | & 7 \ 0 & -\frac{4}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} & | & -6 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\implies \text{ row } 3 \leftarrow \text{ row } 3 - \frac{2}{3} \text{ row } 1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & | & 12 \ 0 & -\frac{4}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} & | & -6 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\implies \text{ row } 3 \leftarrow \text{ row } 3 + \frac{4}{7} \text{ row } 2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & | & 12 \ 0 & 0 & -1 & | & -2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Back substitution:

$$
x_3 = 2
$$

\n
$$
x_2 = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{3}(2)}{\frac{7}{3}} = 1
$$

\n
$$
x_1 = \frac{12 - (-1)(1) - 2(2)}{3} = 3.
$$

Cost of Gaussian Elimination: note, row $i \leftarrow \text{row } i - \frac{a_{ij}}{i}$ a_{jj} ∗ row j is for columns $k = j + 1, j + 2, \ldots, n$

$$
a_{ik} \leftarrow a_{ik} - \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}} a_{jk}
$$

end

This is approximately $2(n - j)$ flops as the **multiplier** a_{ij}/a_{jj} is calculated with just one flop; a_{jj} is called the **pivot**. Overall therefore, the cost of GE is approximately

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2(n-j)^2 = 2\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l^2 = 2\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} = \frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)
$$

flops. The calculations involving b are

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2(n-j) = 2\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l = 2\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = n^2 + O(n)
$$

flops, just as for the triangular substitution.