## Numerical Analysis Hilary Term 2018 Lecture 12: Orthogonal Polynomials

**Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization procedure:** the solution of the normal equations  $A\alpha = \varphi$  for best least-squares polynomial approximation would be easy if A were diagonal. Instead of  $\{1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^n\}$  as a basis for  $\Pi_n$ , suppose we have a basis  $\{\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ . Then  $p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \phi_k(x)$ , and the normal equations become  $\int_a^b w(x) \left(f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \phi_k(x)\right) \phi_i(x) \, dx = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ ,

or equivalently

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\phi_{k}(x)\phi_{i}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \right) \beta_{k} = \int_{a}^{b} w(x)f(x)\phi_{i}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x, \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad \text{i.e.},$$
$$A\beta = \varphi, \tag{1}$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)^{\mathrm{T}}, \varphi = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and now

$$a_{i,k} = \int_a^b w(x)\phi_k(x)\phi_i(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \text{ and } f_i = \int_a^b w(x)f(x)\phi_i(x) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

So A is diagonal if

$$\langle \phi_i, \phi_k \rangle = \int_a^b w(x)\phi_i(x)\phi_k(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \begin{cases} = 0 & i \neq k \text{ and} \\ \neq 0 & i = k. \end{cases}$$

We can create such a set of orthogonal polynomials

$$\{\phi_0,\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,\ldots\},\$$

with  $\phi_i \in \Pi_i$  for each *i*, by the Gram–Schmidt procedure, which is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Suppose that  $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_k$ , with  $\phi_i \in \Pi_i$  for each *i*, are orthogonal with respect to the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b w(x) f(x) g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$ . Then,

$$\phi_{k+1}(x) = x^{k+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_i \phi_i(x)$$

satisfies

$$\langle \phi_{k+1}, \phi_j \rangle = \int_a^b w(x)\phi_{k+1}(x)\phi_j(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \quad \text{with}$$
$$\lambda_j = \frac{\langle x^{k+1}, \phi_j \rangle}{\langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Lecture 12 pg 1 of 4

**Proof.** For any  $j, 0 \le j \le k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{k+1}, \phi_j \rangle &= \langle x^{k+1}, \phi_j \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{k+1}, \phi_j \rangle - \lambda_j \langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle \\ & \text{by the orthogonality of } \phi_i \text{ and } \phi_j, i \neq j, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by definition of } \lambda_j. \end{aligned}$$

**Notes:** 1. The G–S procedure does this successively for k = 0, 1, ..., n. 2.  $\phi_k$  is always of exact degree k, so  $\{\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_\ell\}$  is a basis for  $\Pi_\ell \ \forall \ell \ge 0$ . 3.  $\phi_k$  can be normalised to satisfy  $\langle \phi_k, \phi_k \rangle = 1$  or to be monic, or ...

**Examples:** 1. The inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x) dx$ 

gives orthogonal polynomials called the Legendre polynomials,

$$\phi_0(x) \equiv 1, \ \phi_1(x) = x, \ \phi_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3}, \ \phi_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{5}x, \dots$$
  
2. The inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)g(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx$ 

gives orthogonal polynomials called the Chebyshev polynomials,

$$\phi_0(x) \equiv 1, \ \phi_1(x) = x, \ \phi_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1, \ \phi_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x, \dots$$
  
3. The inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} f(x)g(x) \, dx$ 

gives orthogonal polynomials called the Laguerre polynomials,

$$\phi_0(x) \equiv 1, \ \phi_1(x) = 1 - x, \ \phi_2(x) = 2 - 4x + x^2,$$
  
 $\phi_3(x) = 6 - 18x + 9x^2 - x^3, \dots$ 

**Lemma.** Suppose that  $\{\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k, \ldots\}$  are orthogonal polynomials for a given inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then,  $\langle \phi_k, q \rangle = 0$  whenever  $q \in \prod_{k=1}$ .

**Proof.** This follows since if  $q \in \Pi_{k-1}$ , then  $q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma_i \phi_i(x)$  for some  $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ , so

$$\langle \phi_k, q \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma_i \langle \phi_k, \phi_i \rangle = 0.$$

**Remark:** note from the above argument that if  $q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma_i \phi_i(x)$  is of exact degree k (so  $\sigma_k \neq 0$ ), then  $\langle \phi_k, q \rangle = \sigma_k \langle \phi_k, \phi_k \rangle \neq 0$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $\{\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n, \ldots\}$  is a set of orthogonal polynomials. Then, there exist sequences of real numbers  $(\alpha_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(\beta_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(\gamma_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that a three-term recurrence relation holds of the form

$$\phi_{k+1}(x) = \alpha_k(x - \beta_k)\phi_k(x) - \gamma_k\phi_{k-1}(x), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Lecture 12 pg 2 of 4

**Proof.** The polynomial  $x\phi_k \in \Pi_{k+1}$ , so there exist real numbers

$$\sigma_{k,0}, \sigma_{k,1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k,k+1}$$

such that

$$x\phi_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \sigma_{k,i}\phi_i(x)$$

as  $\{\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k+1}\}$  is a basis for  $\Pi_{k+1}$ . Now take the inner product on both sides with  $\phi_j$  where  $j \leq k-2$ . On the left-hand side, note  $x\phi_j \in \Pi_{k-1}$  and thus

$$\langle x\phi_k,\phi_j\rangle = \int_a^b w(x)x\phi_k(x)\phi_j(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_a^b w(x)\phi_k(x)x\phi_j(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \langle \phi_k,x\phi_j\rangle = 0,$$

by the above lemma for  $j \leq k-2$ . On the right-hand side

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \sigma_{k,i} \phi_i, \phi_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \sigma_{k,i} \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle = \sigma_{k,j} \langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle$$

by the linearity of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and orthogonality of  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Hence  $\sigma_{k,j} = 0$  for  $j \leq k-2$ , and so

$$x\phi_k(x) = \sigma_{k,k+1}\phi_{k+1}(x) + \sigma_{k,k}\phi_k(x) + \sigma_{k,k-1}\phi_{k-1}(x).$$

Almost there: taking the inner product with  $\phi_{k+1}$  reveals that

$$\langle x\phi_k,\phi_{k+1}\rangle = \sigma_{k,k+1}\langle\phi_{k+1},\phi_{k+1}\rangle,$$

so  $\sigma_{k,k+1} \neq 0$  by the above remark as  $x\phi_k$  is of exact degree k+1 (e.g., from above Gram–Schmidt notes). Thus,

$$\phi_{k+1}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{k,k+1}}(x - \sigma_{k,k})\phi_k(x) - \frac{\sigma_{k,k-1}}{\sigma_{k,k+1}}\phi_{k-1}(x),$$

which is of the given form, with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{1}{\sigma_{k,k+1}}, \qquad \beta_k = \sigma_{k,k}, \qquad \gamma_k = \frac{\sigma_{k,k-1}}{\sigma_{k,k+1}}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

That completes the proof.

**Example.** The inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} f(x)g(x) dx$ 

gives orthogonal polynomials called the Hermite polynomials,

$$\phi_0(x) \equiv 1, \ \phi_1(x) = 2x, \ \phi_{k+1}(x) = 2x\phi_k(x) - 2k\phi_{k-1}(x) \text{ for } k \ge 1.$$

```
Listing 1: hermite_polys.m
1 %% Demonstrate Hermite Orthogonal Polynomials
  lw = 'linewidth';
2
3 x = linspace(-2.2, 2.2, 256);
  H_old = ones(size(x));
4
5 H = figure(1); clf;
6 plot(x, H_old, 'r-', lw,2)
  set(get(H,'children'), 'fontsize', 16);
\overline{7}
8 hold on;
            pause
9
  H = 2 * x;
10
  plot(x, H, lw,2, 'color',[0 0.75 0])
11
  pause
12
13
  for n = 1:4
14
     % use the three-term recurrence
     H_{new} = (2*x).*H - (2*n)*H_old;
16
     plot(x, H_new, lw,2, 'color',rand(3,1))
17
     pause;
18
     H_old = H; H = H_new;
19
  end
20
  legend('H_0(x)', 'H_1(x)', 'H_2(x)', 'H_3(x)', 'H_4(x)', 'H_5(x)')
21
  xlabel('x'); title('Hermite orthogonal polynomials')
22
```

