

① ϕ clearly $(^k)$ on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ with (1/8)

$\phi^{(k)}(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$. For $x > 0$,

$$\phi'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} e^{-\frac{1}{x}}, \quad \phi''(x) = \frac{-2x+1}{x^4} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \text{ and}$$

then claim: $\phi^{(k)}(x) = \frac{p_k(x)}{x^k} e^{-\frac{1}{x}}, \quad x > 0,$

where p_k real polynomial of degree at most

$k-1$. By induction: ok for $k=1, 2$. If true for k , then $\phi^{(k+1)}(x) = \frac{p'_k(x)x^2 - 2kp_k(x)x + p_k(x)}{x^{2(k+1)}}$

$$x e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \text{ and } p_{k+1}(x) := p'_k(x)x^2 - 2kp_k(x)x + p_k(x)$$

is a real polynomial of degree at most $\deg(p_k) + 1 \leq k$.

Claim ϕ infinitely often diff. at $x=0$

with $\phi^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for all k .

Induction on k : $k=1$

For $x > 0$ we have by Mean Value Theorem

$$\frac{\phi(x) - \phi(0)}{x} = \phi'(\theta) \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (0, x).$$

Now $\phi'(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$ and $e^t > \frac{t^2}{6}$ for $t > 0$

$$\text{so } e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}} < \frac{6}{(\frac{1}{\theta})^3} = 6\theta^3 \text{ and}$$

(2/18)

thus

$$\phi'(\theta) < 6\theta < x \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0^+.$$

It follows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(0)}{x} = 0$ so ϕ is diff. at 0 with $\phi'(0) = 0$.

Assume ϕ is k times diff. at 0 with

$$\phi^{(n)}(0) = 0. \text{ Then}$$

$$\phi^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_k(x)}{x^{2k}} e^{-\frac{1}{x}}, & x > 0 \\ c, & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

For $x > 0$ we get as above:

$$\frac{1}{x} (\phi^{(n)}(x) - \phi^{(n)}(0)) = \phi^{(n+1)}(0) \text{ for a } \theta \in (0, x).$$

Note $e^t > \frac{t^{2k+3}}{(2k+3)!}$ for $t > 0$ so that

$$\phi^{(n+1)}(0) = \frac{P_{n+1}(\theta)}{\theta^{2k+2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}} < \frac{P_{n+1}(\theta)}{\theta^{2k+2}} \frac{(2k+3)!}{(\frac{1}{\theta})^{2k+3}} =$$

$$(2k+3)! P_{n+1}(\theta) \theta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0^+.$$

It follows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x) - \phi^{(n)}(0)}{x} = 0$

so $\phi^{(k)}$ is diff. at 0 with $\phi^{(k)}(0) = 0$. \square

By Leibniz ϕ is C^∞ , and $\phi(x) \neq 0$ iff

$2(1-x) > 0$ and $2(1+x) > 0$, that is, iff 3/8
 $-1 < x < 1$. Thus $\text{spt}(4) = [-1, 1]$, and
therefore $4 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$.

Functions in $\mathcal{D}(-1, 1)$ must have compact support in $(-1, 1)$, so $4|_{(-1, 1)} \notin \mathcal{D}(-1, 1)$.

Taylor series for ϕ about 0 is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi x^n = 0$.

② (a) Let $4 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ be as in ①
and put $\phi = \frac{4}{\int_4 dx}$. Then $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$,
 $\phi \geq 0$, $\text{spt}(\phi) = [-1, 1]$ and $\int \phi dx = 1$. Put
 $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \phi(\varepsilon^{-1} x)$ so that $(\phi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is standard
mollifier. Because $K \subset (a, b)$ is compact
 $d = \text{dist}(K, \{a, b\}) > 0$ and we can
take $p(x) = (\phi_\varepsilon * \frac{1}{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)})(x)$ for $\varepsilon < \frac{d}{4}$.

By result from lectures $p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

If $x \notin \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}$, so $\text{dist}(x, \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}) > \frac{d}{4}$,

then $\rho(x) = \int_{\overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}} \phi_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = 0$ since 4/18

$\phi_\varepsilon(x-y) > 0$ iff $|x-y| < \varepsilon$, but when $y \in \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}$ we have

$$\frac{d}{4} < \text{dist}(x, \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}) = \text{dist}(x, \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}) - \text{dist}(y, \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}) \leq |x-y|.$$

$\therefore \text{spt}(\rho) \subseteq \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)} \subset (a, b)$.
If $x \in K$, then $B_\varepsilon(x) \subset \overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}$ and so

$$\rho(x) = \int_{\overline{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(K)}} \phi_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = 1.$$

Finally, $0 \leq \rho(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\varepsilon = 1$ for all x .

$$(b) \text{ Let } \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{1-x^2}}, & |x| < 1 \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1 \end{cases} \text{ from } ①$$

and $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x-1)$. Then $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x-1)$ iff

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\max(\varphi, \varphi) = \begin{cases} \varphi & x > \frac{1}{2} \\ 4 & x \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}, \quad \min(\varphi, \varphi) = \begin{cases} 4 & x > \frac{1}{2} \\ \varphi & x \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Since $\varphi'(\frac{1}{2}) = \varphi'(-\frac{1}{2}) = -4'(\frac{1}{2})$ and

$$4'(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{16}{9} e^{\frac{4}{3}} \neq 0 \text{ so } \max(\varphi, \varphi), \min(\varphi, \varphi) \text{ are}$$

not diff. at $x = \frac{1}{2}$, hence not in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. 5/18

(c) 1-dimensional case follows also from:

Take $K = \text{spt}(u)$ so that $K \subset \Omega$ compact.
Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and $\rho = 1$ on K be
the cut-off function constructed in Theorem 2.4
from lectures. Define

$$u_1 = \rho \frac{(u+1)^2}{4}, \quad u_2 = \rho \frac{(u-1)^2}{4}.$$

Then $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $u_1, u_2 \geq 0$ and $u_1 - u_2 = u$.

Hint in question was useless - students have been notified and given new 'hint':

'Note $4u = (u+1)^2 - (u-1)^2$ and if v is cut-off function between $\text{spt}(u)$ and $\partial\Omega$ then $vu = u$ '

③ Put $S_{\Omega_k} = \{x \in \Omega \cap B(0, \frac{1}{k}) : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{k}\}$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by MCT

$$\int_{\Omega} |f - f \mathbf{1}_{S_{\Omega_k}}|^p dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus S_{\Omega_k}} |f|^p dx \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so $\left(\int_{\Omega \setminus S_{\Omega_k}} |f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,

put $0 = S_{\Omega_k}$ and $h = f \mathbf{1}_0$. Then $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and by Proposition 2.2 from lectures

$\rho_s * h \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|\rho_s * h - h\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0^+$,

where $(\rho_s)_{s>0}$ is the standard mollifier.

Since $h=0$ a.e. on $\Omega \setminus \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$
we have $\rho_s * h(x) = 0$ when $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \frac{1}{k} - \delta$.

Take $s \in (0, \frac{1}{4k})$ so small that

$$\|\rho_s * h - h\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hereby $\text{spt}(\rho_s * h) \subseteq \{x \in \Omega \cap \overline{B_{k+2s}(0)} : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{2k}\}$

$\subset \Omega$ so that $\rho_s * h$ has compact support
in Ω . Thus $g = \rho_s * h \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and from
Minkowski:

$$\|f - g\|_p \leq \|f - h\|_p + \|h - g\|_p < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

④ u_1 isn't a distribution as it's not
of locally finite order:

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function
satisfying $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\rho = 1$ on $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and
 $\text{spt}(\rho) \subseteq (-1, 1)$. Then $\rho(0) = 1$ but $\rho^{(k)}(0) = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 1$.

If u_i is a distribution, then there exist $c > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ so

$$|\langle u_i, \varphi \rangle| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi^{(j)}(0) \right| \leq c \sum_{s=0}^m \sup_{[-1,1]} |\varphi^{(s)}|$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put $\varphi(x) = x^n p(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(-1,1)$ and by Leibniz:

$$\varphi^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} (x^n) p^{(n-j)}(x).$$

In particular $\varphi^{(k)}(0) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } k=n \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq n \end{cases}$

and $|\varphi^{(k)}(x)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} n(n-1)\dots(n-j+1) |p^{(k-j)}(x)|$

$$\leq 2^k n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} \sup |p^{(j)}(x)|,$$

hence

$$\text{RHS} \leq c \sum_{s=0}^m \sup_{[-1,1]} |\varphi^{(s)}| \leq c \sum_{s=0}^m 2^s \frac{n!}{(n-s)!} \max_{0 \leq j \leq s} \sup |p^{(j)}(x)|$$

$$\leq c 2^{m+1} \max_{0 \leq s \leq m} \sup |p^{(s)}| \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-m)!}$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{c_m}$

$$\therefore |\langle u_i, \varphi \rangle| = 2^n n! \leq c_m \frac{n!}{(n-m)!}$$

and so $(n-m)! \leq c_m 2^n$.

If n is large this is false, and so u_1 isn't a distribution.

u_2 is a distribution on \mathbb{R} (it's linear and sum is finite on each compact set ...)

u_3 isn't a distribution because it's not linear.

⑤ Well-defined: Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$.

Clearly the first two integrals are well-defined. For the integral over $[-a, a]$

put

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{|x|}, & x \neq 0 \\ \varphi'(0), & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then Φ is piecewise continuous (cont. from right at 0); hence is (Riemann-) integrable on $(-a, a)$.

Linearity of T_a now follows from linearity of the integral.

Fix a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. Take $\delta > \epsilon$ so $K \subset [-\delta, \delta]$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$:

$$\left| \left(\int_{-\delta}^a + \int_a^\delta \right) \frac{\varphi(x)}{|x|} dx \right| \leq 2 \int_a^\delta \frac{dx}{x} \sup|\varphi| = 2 \log \frac{\delta}{a} \sup|\varphi|,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\delta}^a \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{|x|} dx \right| &\stackrel{\text{FTC}}{=} \left| \int_{-\delta}^a \int_0^1 \varphi'(tx) dt \frac{x}{|x|} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\delta}^a \int_0^1 |\varphi'(tx)| dt dx \\ &\leq 2a \sup|\varphi'| \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore | \langle T_\delta, \varphi \rangle | \leq c (\sup|\varphi| + \sup|\varphi'|),$$

where $c = 2 \max(a, \log \frac{\delta}{a})$.

Hence $T_\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ (and is of order at most 1).

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$, then $\langle T_\delta, \varphi \rangle = \left(\int_{-\delta}^{-a} + \int_a^\delta \right) \frac{\varphi(x)}{|x|} dx + \int_{-\delta}^a \frac{\varphi(x) - 0}{|x|} dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\varphi(x)}{|x|} dx$.

If $0 < a < b$, then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\langle T_a - T_b, \varphi \rangle = \left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-a} + \int_a^\infty \right) - \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-b} + \int_b^\infty \right) \right] \frac{\varphi(x)}{|x|} dx +$$

$$\left[\int_{-a}^a - \int_{-b}^b \right] \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{|x|} dx =$$

$$\left[\int_{-b}^{-a} + \int_a^b \right] \frac{\varphi(x)}{|x|} dx - \left[\int_{-b}^{-a} + \int_a^b \right] \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{|x|} dx =$$

$$\left[\int_{-b}^{-a} + \int_a^b \right] \frac{\varphi(0)}{|x|} dx = 2 \int_a^b \frac{dx}{x} \quad \varphi(0) = 2 \log \frac{b}{a} \quad \varphi(0)$$

$$\therefore T_a - T_b = 2 \log \frac{b}{a} \delta_v$$

(c)

If $v=0$, then $u=0$ and any constant c will do. Assume $v \neq 0$. Then we can find $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ so $\langle v, \tilde{x} \rangle \neq 0$. Define

$x = \frac{\tilde{x}}{\langle v, \tilde{x} \rangle}$. Then $x \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\langle v, x \rangle \neq 1$.

Now for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\varphi - \langle v, \varphi \rangle x \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\langle v, \varphi - \langle v, \varphi \rangle x \rangle = 0$, hence also

$$0 = \langle u, \varphi - \langle v, \varphi \rangle x \rangle = \langle u, \varphi \rangle - \langle v, \varphi \rangle \langle u, x \rangle$$

and so $u = cv$ for $c = \langle u, x \rangle$. \square

⑦ (optional)

By induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $m=0$ we interpret E as the Heaviside function and so it's true. Assume it's true for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\frac{d}{dx^{m+1}} \left[\frac{x_+^m}{m!} \right] = \delta_0.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dx^{m+2}} \left[\frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \right] = \frac{d}{dx^{m+1}} \left[\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \right) \right].$$

$$\text{For } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) : \left\langle \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \right), \varphi \right\rangle =$$

$$\left\langle \frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!}, -\varphi' \right\rangle = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \varphi'(x) dx =$$

$$- \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \varphi'(x) dx \stackrel{\text{parts}}{=} - \left[\frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \varphi(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x \rightarrow \infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x_+^m}{m!} \varphi(x) dx$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{x_+^m}{m!}, \varphi \right\rangle, \text{ and so we get from}$$

induction hypothesis

$$\frac{d}{dx^{m+2}} \left[\frac{x_+^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \right] = \frac{d}{dx^m} \left[\frac{x_+^m}{m!} \right] = \delta_0. \square$$

⑧ (Optional)

(12/18)

- (i) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ be the function from ①. Put $\varphi(x) = c\varphi(2x-1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then clearly φ is C^∞ and since $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ iff $-1 < 2x-1 < 1$, i.e., iff $0 < x < 1$, we have $spt(\varphi) = [0, 1]$. The constant $c > 0$ is chosen so $\int \varphi = 1$. Define $g(x) = \int_{-1}^x (\varphi(-t) - \varphi(t)) dt$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By FTC, g is C^1 with $g'(x) = \varphi(-x) - \varphi(x)$, hence g is C^∞ . Since $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ and on $[1, \infty)$ we have $g(x) = 0$ for $x \leq -1$. For $x \geq 1$ we have $g(x) = \int_{-1}^x \varphi(-t) dt - \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt$
 $= \int_{-1}^0 \varphi(-t) dt - 1 = \int_0^1 \varphi - 1 = 0$, hence $spt(g) \subseteq [-1, 1]$. Also $g(0) = \int_{-1}^0 (\varphi(-t) - \varphi(t)) dt$
 $= \int_{-1}^0 \varphi(-t) dt = \int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt = 1$, $g'(0) = \varphi(0) - \varphi(0) = 0$
 $= 0$ and $g^{(k+1)}(x) = (-1)^k \varphi^{(k)}(-x) - \varphi^{(k)}(x)$, so $g^{(k+1)}(0) = ((-1)^k - 1) \varphi^{(k)}(0) = 0$ since $spt(\varphi) = [0, 1]$. (Can also be done using cut-off function from lectures.)

(ii) $g_n(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}\right) \frac{a_n x^n}{n!}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is C^∞ by 13/18

Leibniz and $g_n(x) = 0$ when $\left|\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}\right| \geq 1$, that is, when $|x| \geq \varepsilon_n$. Consequently, $g_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{spt}(g_n) \subseteq [-\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_n]$.

$$g_0(0) = g(0) \frac{a_0 0^0}{0!} = a_0, \quad g_n(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Leibniz we get for $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$g_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} g^{(j)}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}\right) \varepsilon_n^{-j} \frac{d^{k-j}}{dx^{k-j}} \left(\frac{a_n x^n}{n!}\right),$$

$$\text{hence } g_n^{(k)}(0) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \left. \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \right|_{x=0} \left(\frac{a_n x^n}{n!} \right) = a_n f_{k,n}.$$

Next, since $\text{spt}(g) \subseteq [-1, 1]$ we get for

$$0 \leq k < n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n^{(k)}(x)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} |g^{(j)}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}\right)| \varepsilon_n^{-j} a_n \frac{x^{n-k+j}}{(n-k+j)!} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sup_{0 \leq j \leq n} |g^{(j)}| \varepsilon_n^{-j} \frac{|a_n|}{(n-k+j)!} \varepsilon_n^{n-k+j}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup |g^{(j)}| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{|a_n|}{(n-k+j)!} \varepsilon_n^{n-k} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup |g^{(j)}| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{|a_n|}{n!} \varepsilon_n^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{|a_n|}{n!} 2^k \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup |g^{(j)}| \varepsilon_n^{n-k}$$

(14/18)

$$\leq \frac{|a_n| 2^n}{n!} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup |g^{(j)}| \cdot \varepsilon_n \quad \text{provided } \varepsilon_n \leq 1.$$

$$\text{Take } \varepsilon_n = \frac{\bar{2}^n}{1 + \frac{|a_n| 2^n}{n!} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup |g^{(j)}|} \text{ to}$$

conclude. \square

(iii) With above ε_n , put $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Because $|g_n(x)| \leq \bar{2}^n$ for all x it follows from Weierstrass' M-test that the series is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} . As each g_n is C^∞ , f is in particular continuous.

Assume for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, f is C^k

with $f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n^{(k)}(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then by (ii) (choice of ε_n): $|g_n^{(k+1)}(x)| \leq \bar{2}^n$
 for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n > k+1$, so Weierstrass again gives uniform convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n^{(k+1)}(x)$ on \mathbb{R} , and hence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n^{(k+1)}(x)$ for $n > k+1$ on \mathbb{R} .

By a result from prelims f is C^{k+1} (15/18)

with $f^{(k+1)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n^{(k+1)}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus by induction, f is C^∞ . Since g_n is supported in $[-\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_n]$ and $\varepsilon_i \leq 1$ it follows that f is supported in $[-1, 1]$.

Finally, $f^{(n)}(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^{(n)}(0) = d_n$ for

all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

⑨ (Optional)

$$(i) (h_r * h_s)(x) = \frac{1}{rs} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{(0,r)}(x-y) \mathbb{1}_{(0,s)}(y) dy =$$

$$\frac{1}{rs} \int_0^s \mathbb{1}_{(0,r)}(x-y) dy = \frac{1}{rs} \int_{x-s}^x \mathbb{1}_{(0,r)}(t) dt =$$

$$\frac{1}{rs} \mathcal{L}'((x-s, x) \cap (0, r)) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ x/rs & 0 < x \leq r \\ 1/s & r < x \leq s \\ \frac{r+s-x}{rs} & s < x \leq r+s \\ 0 & r+s < x \end{cases}$$

so continuous by inspection (in fact, it's Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\frac{1}{rs}$)

We also note that $\text{spt}(h_r * h_s) = [0, r+s]$, and that $0 \leq h_r * h_s \leq \frac{1}{s}$.

(ii) For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(h_r * u)(x) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{(0,r)}(x-y)u(y)dy$ 16/18

$$= \frac{1}{r} \int_{x-r}^x u(y)dy,$$
 and so $h_r * u$ is C^1 by
 FTC with $(h_r * u)'(x) = \frac{1}{r}(u(x) - u(x-r)).$
 But since u is C^k , $h_r * u$ must then be C^{k+1} with $(h_r * u)^{(k+1)}(x) = \frac{1}{r}(u^{(k)}(x) - u^{(k)}(x-r)).$

By inspection we get from $\text{spt}(u) \subseteq [a, b]$ that $\text{spt}(h_r * u) \subseteq [a, b+r].$

(iii) We have $u_i = h_{r_0} * h_{r_1} * \dots * h_{r_i}$ is C^0 with $\text{spt}(u_i) \subseteq [0, r_0 + r_1]$ and $0 \leq u_i \leq \frac{1}{r_0},$ so claim is true for $n=1.$ Now assume it's true for $m \in \mathbb{N},$ any $0 < r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_m$

$v_m = u_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h_{r_0} * h_{r_1} * \dots * h_{r_m}$ is C_c^{m-1} with support in $[0, r_0 + \dots + r_m]$ and $v_m^{(k)}$ has values in $\left[0, \left[-\frac{2^k}{r_0 \dots r_k}, \frac{2^k}{r_0 \dots r_k}\right]\right], 0 \leq k < m.$

Consider $u_{n+1} = h_{r_0} * \dots * h_{r_{n+1}} = h_{r_0} * v_n,$ where $v_n = h_{r_1} * \dots * h_{r_{n+1}}.$ By induction hypothesis, v_n is C_c^{n-1} with

$\text{spt}(v_n) \subseteq [0, r_1 + \dots + r_{n+1}]$ and

$$|v_n^{(k)}| \leq \frac{2^k}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_{k+1}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < n.$$

Now by (ii) we get $u_{n+1} = h_{r_0} * v_n$ is
 C_C with $\text{spt}(u_{n+1}) \subseteq [0, r_0 + \dots + r_{n+1}]$ and

$$u_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{v_n^{(k-1)}(x) - v_n^{(k-1)}(x-r_0)}{r_0},$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{r_0} (|v_n^{(k-1)}(x)| + |v_n^{(k-1)}(x-r_0)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_0} \left(\frac{2^{k-1}}{r_1 \dots r_k} + \frac{2^{k-1}}{r_1 \dots r_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{2^k}{r_0 r_1 \dots r_k} \quad \text{for } k < n+1, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows by induction.

(iv) For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$|u_{m+n}(x) - u_n(x)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_n(x-y) - u_n(x)) v(y) dy \right|$$

where $v(y) = (h_{r_{n+1}} * \dots * h_{r_{n+m}})(y)$. Recall
 that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v = 1$, and $\text{spt}(v) \subseteq [0, r_{n+1} + \dots + r_{n+m}]$
 so using FTC and the bound from (iii)

$$|u_n(x-y) - u_n(x)| = \left| \int_0^1 u_n'(x+ty) y dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{r_0 r_1} |y|,$$

(18)
18

$$\text{we get } |u_{m+n}(x) - u_n(x)| \leq \int_0^{r_{n+1} + \dots + r_{n+m}} \frac{2}{r_0 r_1} |y| v(y) dy$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{r_0 r_1} (r_{n+1} + \dots + r_{n+m}). \text{ It follows that}$$

(u_n) is uniformly Cauchy on \mathbb{R} , and so $u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x)$ exists as a continuous

function. Since with $v_n = h_{r_1} * \dots * h_{r_n}$ and $u_n = h_{r_0} * v_n$ we have as in (iii)

$$u_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{v_n^{(k-1)}(x) - v_n^{(k-1)}(x-r_0)}{r_0}, \quad k < n,$$

we can repeat the above argument to see that $(u_n^{(k)})$ is a uniform Cauchy sequence on \mathbb{R} , and hence that u is C^k . From (iii) we then deduce that

$\text{spt}(u) \subseteq [0, R]$ and

$$|u_{n,k}^{(k)}| \leq \frac{2^k}{r_0 r_1 \cdots r_k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad \square$$