#### B8.3 Mathematical Models for Financial Derivatives

### Hilary Term 2019

#### Problem Sheet Three

# Your grade will be determined from the *best five* answers to the first *seven* questions.

1. Assume a zero interest rate, r = 0, in this problem (to avoid problems with the time-value of cash payments). Let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t$  be a partition of the interval [0, t]. Let  $S_u > 0$  be the price of a share at time  $u \in [0, t]$ ,  $\Delta_u$  be a number of shares at time uand abbreviate  $S_{t_k} = S_k$ ,  $\Delta_{t_k} = \Delta_k$ . At time  $t_0 = 0$  we buy  $\Delta_0$  shares, at price  $S_0$ , and hold these until time  $t_1$ . At time  $t_1$  we buy (or sell) enough shares, at price  $S_1$ , so that we have  $\Delta_1$  shares, which we hold until time  $t_2$ , at which point we buy (or sell) enough shares, at price  $S_2$ , so that we have  $\Delta_2$  shares. We continue this process until time  $t_{n-1}$ , when we end up with  $\Delta_{n-1}$  shares which we hold until  $t_n = t$  at which point we sell all shares we hold, at price  $S_n$ . Show that the cost of this procedure is

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Delta_j \, (S_{j+1} - S_j).$$

[Hint: at time step  $t_{k+1}$  the change from holding  $\Delta_k$  shares to holding  $\Delta_{k+1}$  shares is equivalent to selling all the  $\Delta_k$  shares and then buying back  $\Delta_{k+1}$  shares, with both the trades being executed at share price  $S_{k+1}$ .]

Show that, formally at least, in the limit  $|\pi| \to 0$  the cost becomes

$$C_t = -\int_0^t \Delta_u \, dS_u$$

where the integral is an Itô integral (with respect to  $S_u$ ) and hence deduce that

$$dC_t = -\Delta_t \, dS_t.$$

- 2. Show that if V(S,t) is a solution of the Black-Scholes equation (for S > 0 and t < T) then so too are:
  - (a) a V(S, t) with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
  - (b) V(bS,t) with b > 0;
  - (c) a V(bS, t) with  $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$ .

3. A log-option is an option with the payoff function

$$P_{\rm o}(S_T) = \log(S_T/K),$$

where the "strike" is positive, K > 0. Find the Black-Scholes value function for a European log-option. (Such options are not traded, but they occur in the theory underlying the CBOE's VIX (variance index) which measures the S&P500 index's variance, allowing futures and options to be written on this variance.)

4. Find the Black-Scholes price function of a European digital call option, i.e., an option whose payoff function is

$$f(S_T) = \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < S_T < K, \\ 1 & \text{if } S_T \ge K. \end{cases}$$

A European digital put option has the payoff  $f(S_T) = \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T < K\}}$ . Use a no arbitrage argument to establish a digital put-call parity result and hence find the Black-Scholes price function for a digital put.

5. Show that if V(S, t) is a sufficiently differentiable solution of the Black-Scholes equation (for S > 0 and t < T) then so too is

$$W(S,t) = S \,\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(S,t).$$

By induction, conclude that if V(S, t) is sufficiently differentiable then

$$\left(S\frac{\partial}{\partial S}\right)^n V(S,t), \quad S^n \frac{\partial^n V}{\partial S^n}(S,t), \quad n=2,3,4,\ldots$$

are also solutions of the Black-Scholes equation.

6. Let  $C_{\rm bs}(S,t;K,T,r,y,\sigma)$  denote the solution of a Black-Scholes call value problem with strike K, expiry date T, risk-free rate r, continuous dividend yield y and volatility  $\sigma$ . Consider the Black-Scholes problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (r - y) S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - r V &= 0, \quad S > 0, \ t < T \\ V(S, t) &= \frac{1}{K^2} \max\left(S^3 - K^3, 0\right), \quad S > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Show that

$$V(S,t) = \frac{1}{K^2} C_{\rm bs}(S^3, t; K^3, T, r, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma})$$

where  $\hat{y} = 3y - 2r - 3\sigma^2$  and  $\hat{\sigma} = 3\sigma$ .

[Hint: either write  $\hat{S} = S^3$  and do a change of variables in the terminal value problem or think about the payoff and risk-neutral process for  $\hat{S}_t = S_t^3$ .]

7. Show that if V(S,t) is a solution of the Black-Scholes equation (for S > 0 and t < T) and B > 0 then

$$W(S,t) = \left(\frac{S}{B}\right)^{2\alpha} V\left(\frac{B^2}{S},t\right),$$

where  $2\alpha = 1 - 2(r - y)/\sigma^2$ , is also a solution of the (same) Black-Scholes equation.

[Hint: put  $\xi = B^2/S$  and note that  $V(\xi, t)$  satisfies the Black-Scholes equation in  $\xi > 0$  and t < T.]

## Optional questions

8. Let V(S,t) satisfy the Black-Scholes problem

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (r - y) S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - r V &= 0 \quad S > 0, \ t < T, \\ V(S, t) &= P_{\rm o}(S), \quad S > 0. \end{split}$$

For some fixed reference price,  $S_0 > 0$ , set the dimensionless variables  $x = \log(S/S_0), \tau = \sigma^2(T-t)$  and  $v(x,\tau) = V(S,t)/S_0$ . Show that

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + k_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - k_2 v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \tau > 0,$$

$$v(x,0) = p(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1)

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants which you should find (in terms of r, y and  $\sigma$ ) and p(x) is a function which you should also find (in terms of  $P_o(S)$ ).

Assuming that p(x) is a "reasonable" function<sup>1</sup>, it can be shown that the solution of (1) is infinitely differentiable in x for  $\tau > 0$ . Hence deduce that

$$v_n(x,\tau) = \frac{\partial^n v}{\partial x^n}(x,\tau), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

are also solution of the partial differential equation in (1) for  $\tau > 0$ . Infer that if  $P_0(S)$  is a "reasonable" function then

$$V_n(S,t) = \left(S\frac{\partial}{\partial S}\right)^n V(S,t), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$u(x,\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\xi) e^{-(x-\xi)^2/2\tau} d\xi$$

is  $C^{\infty}$  in x for  $0 < \tau < 1/2\kappa$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For example, if p(x) is integrable on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and there are constants C > 0 and  $\kappa > 0$  with  $|p(x)| < C e^{\kappa x^2}$  for all x ensures that the solution

are also solutions of the Black-Scholes partial differential equation for t < T.

9. Show that if we put

$$v(x,\tau) = e^{\alpha\tau + \beta x} u(x,\tau)$$

in (1) then, for certain values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which you should determine, we can reduce (1) to the heat equation problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \tau > 0$$

$$u(x,0) = q(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(2)

Suppose that  $u(x,\tau)$  is the solution to (2) and set  $\hat{u}(x,\tau) = u(2b-x,\tau)$ for some constant b. Show that  $\hat{u}(x,\tau)$  is also a solution of the heat equation (but not necessarily the initial condition) in (2). Unwinding the transformations that reduced the Black-Scholes equation to the heat equation it is clear that  $u(x,\tau)$  leads back to the solution of the original Black-Scholes problem. Show that unwinding the transformations on  $\hat{u}(x,\tau)$  leads to the 'reflected' solution

$$\hat{V}(S,t) = S^{2\alpha} V\Big(\,\frac{B^2}{S},t\,\Big),$$

where  $2\alpha = 1 - 2(r - y)/\sigma^2$  and  $B^2 > 0$ .

10. The covariation of two functions or processes, X and Y, on [0, t] is defined to be

$$[X,Y]_t = \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (X_{k+1} - X_k)(Y_{k+1} - Y_k).$$

Show that if both X and Y have finite quadratic variation on [0, t]then  $[X, Y]_t$  is finite and satisfies  $2|[X, Y]_t| \leq [X]_t + [Y]_t$ . Assuming  $[X]_t$  and  $[Y]_t$  are finite, show that

- (a)  $[X + Y]_t = [X]_t + [Y]_t + 2 [X, Y]_t$ ,
- (b)  $[X,Y]_t = \frac{1}{4} ([X+Y]_t [X-Y]_t).$
- (c) if X and Y are  $C^1$  functions on [0, t] then  $[X, Y]_t = 0$ .
- 11. Let  $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$  and  $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be two Brownian motions. They are correlated with correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$  if

(a) for all 
$$s, t \ge 0$$
,  $\mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)(Z_{t+s} - Z_t)] = \rho s$ ,

(b) for all  $0 \le p \le q \le s \le t$ , the pair  $(W_q - W_p)$  and  $(Z_t - Z_s)$  are independent and the pair  $(W_t - W_s)$  and  $(Z_q - Z_p)$  are also independent.

Show that in this case  $[W, Z]_t = \rho t$ , in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[[W, Z]_t - \rho t\big] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\big[\big([W, Z]_t - \rho t\big)^2\big] = 0.$$

[Hint: first show that if X and Y are random variables with second moments then  $|\mathbb{E}[XY]| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[Y^2])$ .]

[Note that if we define a process by  $f_t = f(W_t, Z_t, t)$  where f(W, Z, t) is  $C^{2,2,1}$ , then (the differential version of) Itô's lemma is

$$df_t = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial W} dW_t + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Z} dZ_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial W^2} d[W]_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial Z^2} d[Z]_t + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial W \partial Z} d[W, Z]_t,$$

where all functions on the right-hand side are evaluated at  $(W_t, Z_t, t)$ . The result derived above simplifies this expression.]