## CS 6.5: Theories of Deep Learning Problem Sheet 4

Prof. Jared Tanner
March 5, 2019

Submission Due: 10:00 AM, 05-03-2019

## Adversarial attacks for neural networks

Adversarial examples are intentionally designed optical illusions, where such inputs to learned models cause the model to make a mistake. Mathematically, given a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$  drawn from class y, a scalar  $\epsilon > 0$ , and a metric d, we say that  $\mathbf{x}$  admits an adversarial example in the metric d if there exists a point  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \Omega$  with  $Class(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq y$ , and  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^*) \leq \epsilon$ . In practice d is chosen as  $\ell^p$ -norms with  $\ell^\infty$  being the most popular choice, which limits the absolute change that can be made to any one dimension of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

- 1. Task1: Write a short report summarizing the fast gradient sign method (FGSM) for adversarial attacks<sup>1</sup>. Your report should be written in the format and style of a NIPS Proceedings, abridged to not exceed 2 pages. Latex style files and an exemplar template are provided on the course page, and are similar to last exercise.
- 2. Task2: One Layer Net: Consider the neural net defined as  $\hat{y} = SM(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})$  trained with the cross-entropy loss  $L(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , where SM denotes softmax activation. Let  $\mathbf{x}^*$  be the adversarial image of x resulting from FGSM attack with constant  $\epsilon$ . Prove that  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  we have  $L(\mathbf{x}^*, y) \geq L(\mathbf{x}, y)$
- 3. Task3: Two Layer Net: Consider the neural net defined as  $\hat{y} = SM(\mathbf{V}\sigma\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})$  trained with the cross-entropy loss  $L(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , where  $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}$  are weights, SM denotes softmax activation and  $\sigma$  is ReLU activation. Suppose every element of  $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}$  is non-zero, if  $\epsilon < \frac{|\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}|_{min}}{\|\mathbf{W}\|_{\infty}}$ , then prove that  $L(\mathbf{x}^*, y) \geq L(\mathbf{x}, y)$ ,

given the fact that for  $j = 1, 2, ...; sign(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_j = sign(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^*)_j$ 

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.6572.pdf

## Solution:

1. The loss for a example  $\mathbf{x}$  and true class s can be expressed as:

$$L(\mathbf{x}, y) = \text{crossentropy}(\text{softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}), y)$$

$$= -ln(\text{softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_s)$$

$$= -ln\left[\frac{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_s}{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_2 + \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_2 + \dots + \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_k}\right]$$
(1)

now each element of vector  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is expressed as:

$$x_{i}^{*} = x_{i} + \epsilon sign(\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{x}, y)}{\partial x_{i}})$$

$$= x_{i} + \epsilon a_{i}$$

$$= x_{i} + \epsilon sign(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j} w_{ji} - (\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j}) w_{si})$$
(2)

Assuming the hypothesis is true we have to prove:

$$\frac{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{s}}{\sum_{j}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j}} \ge \frac{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^{*})_{s}}{\sum_{j}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^{*})_{j}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^{*})_{s}}{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{s}} \le \sum_{j}^{k} \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^{*})_{j}}{\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(\epsilon \mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{s} \le \sum_{j}^{k} \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j} \exp(\epsilon \mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{j}$$
(3)

where  $\mathbf{a} = [a_1 a_2 \dots]^T$ . By property of softmax and Jensen's inequality the RHS can be lower bounded by:

$$RHS \ge \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \epsilon \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{j})$$
 (4)

and hence we just need to prove

$$\sum_{j}^{k} \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{j} \geq (\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{s}$$

$$\implies \sum_{j}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{j}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{j} - (\exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{1} + \exp(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x})_{2} \dots)(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a})_{s}$$

$$\geq 0$$
(5)

where the result follows from (2) and fact that  $\mathbf{x} sign(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ 

2. Let  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}\sigma\mathbf{W}$  i.e.,  $y = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}$  and define the following index set (and using property given in the problem):

$$A = \{i : \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_i > 0\} = \{i : \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_i^* > 0\}.$$
(6)

Here  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$  and  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times n}$ . Then we can express the operator  $\mathbf{T}$  as a linear operator:

$$(\mathbf{Tx})_{j} = \sum_{t}^{l} v_{jt} \sigma(w_{t1}x_{1} + w_{t2}x_{2} + \dots + w_{tn}x_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{t \in A} v_{jt} (w_{t1}x_{1} + w_{t2}x_{2} + \dots + w_{tn}x_{n})$$
(7)

The loss for a example  $\mathbf{x}$  and true class s can be expressed as:

$$L(\mathbf{x}, y) = \operatorname{crossentropy}(\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}), y)$$

$$= -\ln(\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x})_s)$$

$$= -\ln\left[\frac{\exp(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x})_s}{\exp(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x})_2 + \exp(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x})_2 + \dots + \exp(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x})_k}\right],$$
(8)

which reduces to problem 1 with one linear layer.