

## C2.3 Representations of semisimple Lie algebras

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

### Problem Sheet 4

1. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a complex semisimple Lie algebra.

- (i) Let  $L$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Show that  $L$  is simple if and only if the dual module  $L^*$  is simple.
- (ii) Let  $L(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in P^+$  be a simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Show that the dual  $L(\lambda)^*$  is isomorphic to  $L(-w_0(\lambda))$ , where  $w_0$  is the Weyl group element sending  $\Phi^+$  (the positive roots) to  $-\Phi^+$ .
- (iii) What condition should  $\lambda$  satisfy such that 0 is a weight of  $L(\lambda)$ ?

*Solution:* (i) If  $L$  is a finite dimensional module, then  $L^* = \{f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$  as a vector space. The action on  $L^*$  is given by

$$(x \cdot f)(l) = -f(x \cdot l), \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad l \in L, \quad f \in L^*.$$

Define  $A^\perp \subset L^*$  by  $A^\perp = \{f \in L^* \mid f(a) = 0, \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ , for every submodule  $A \subset L$ . Then  $A^\perp$  is a submodule of  $L^*$ , and if  $A$  is proper, then so is  $A^\perp$ . Hence if  $L$  is not simple, then  $L^*$  is not simple.

Conversely, if  $B \subset L^*$  is a submodule, define  $B^\perp \subset L$  by  $B^\perp = \{l \in L \mid f(l) = 0, \text{ for all } f \in B\}$  etc.

(ii) By (i),  $L(\lambda)^*$  is a simple finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. It is easy to see that the weights of  $L(\lambda)^*$  are precisely the negatives of the weights of  $L(\lambda)$ . To see this, pick a basis of weight vectors in  $L(\lambda)$  and take the dual basis in  $L(\lambda)^*$ ; these are weight vectors of  $L(\lambda)^*$ . Moreover, we know that

$$\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \dim L(\lambda)_{w(\mu)}, \quad \text{for all } w \in W, \quad \mu \text{ a weight.}$$

This means that  $\dim L(\lambda)_{w(\lambda)} = 1$  for all  $w \in W$ . In particular,  $\dim L(\lambda)_{w_0(\lambda)} = 1$  and hence  $\dim L(\lambda)_{-w_0(\lambda)} = 1$ .

Notice that  $-w_0(\lambda) \in P^+$  iff  $\lambda \in P^+$ . This is because  $-w_0(\alpha) > 0$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Moreover,  $-w_0(\lambda)$  is a maximal weight, otherwise there exists  $\mu$  a weight of  $L(\lambda)$  (so  $-\mu$  is a weight of  $L(\lambda)^*$ ) such that  $-\mu - (-w_0(\lambda)) \in Q^+$ . Apply  $-w_0$  remembering that  $-w_0(Q^+) = Q^+$  and it follows that  $w_0(\mu) - \lambda \in Q^+$ . But  $w_0(\lambda)$  is also a weight of  $L(\lambda)$ , and this is a contradiction with the maximality of  $\lambda$ .

(iii) We know that if  $\mu$  is a weight of  $L(\lambda)$  then  $\lambda - \mu \in Q^+$ . This implies that a necessary condition for 0 to be a weight is that  $\lambda \in Q^+$ . In fact, this is also a sufficient condition, as we prove now.

To simplify the discussion, we call a subset  $S$  of  $P$  *saturated* (term due to Humphreys) if for every  $\lambda \in S$  and every root  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and every integer  $k$  between 0 and  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$ , the weight  $\lambda - k\alpha$  is also in  $S$ . We claim that the set of weights  $\Psi(L(\lambda))$  is saturated. To see this, fix a root  $\alpha$  and regard  $L(\lambda)$  as an  $sl_\alpha$  module. By complete reducibility, this is a direct sum of simple finite dimensional  $sl_\alpha$  modules and we know from the explicit description of these modules that the condition in the definition of saturated is satisfied.

Now, we prove that the fact that  $\Psi(L(\lambda))$  is saturated implies that if  $\mu \in P^+$  is such that  $\mu < \lambda$ , then  $\mu$  is in  $\Psi(L(\lambda))$ . In particular, this works for  $\mu = 0$  when  $\lambda \in Q^+$ .

Suppose  $\mu'$  is a weight of  $\Psi(L(\lambda))$  such that  $\mu' > \mu$ . ( $\mu' = \lambda$  has this property and this is the starting point.) Then  $\mu' = \mu + \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} k_\alpha \alpha$ ,  $k_\alpha \geq 0$ . Suppose  $\mu' \neq \mu$ , then there exists some  $k_\alpha > 0$ . We want to find  $\beta \in \Pi$  with  $k_\beta > 0$  such that  $\langle \mu', \beta \rangle > 0$ . We have  $\langle \sum k_\alpha \alpha, \sum k_\alpha \alpha \rangle > 0$  (since  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is positive definite), and therefore there must exist  $\beta \in \Pi$  with  $k_\beta > 0$  such that  $\langle \sum k_\alpha \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0$ . But then

$$\langle \mu', \beta \rangle = \langle \mu, \beta \rangle + \langle \sum k_\alpha \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0,$$

since  $\langle \mu, \beta \rangle \geq 0$  as  $\mu \in P^+$ .

By the saturated property,  $\mu' - \beta$  is also in  $\Psi(L(\lambda))$  and moreover  $\mu' - \beta \geq \mu$ . In this way, we can reduce inductively the  $k_\alpha$ 's, until we find that  $\mu \in \Psi(L(\lambda))$ .

Notice that this also proves that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\lambda \in P$  to be a weight of  $L(\lambda)$  is that  $w(\mu) < \lambda$  for all  $w \in W$ . □

2. Use the Weyl dimensional formula to show that for every natural number  $k$ , there exists a simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of dimension  $k^r$ , where  $r$  is the number of positive roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Solution:* Weyl's dimension formula says that

$$\dim L(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \rho, \alpha \rangle}.$$

Take  $\lambda = (k-1)\rho \in P^+$ . Then  $\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle = k\langle \rho, \alpha \rangle$ , so  $\dim L((k-1)\rho) = k^{|\Phi^+|}$ .  $\square$

3. Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  be the fundamental weights of the complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that every finite dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation occurs as a direct summand in a suitable tensor product (repetitions allowed) of the simple modules  $L(\omega_1), \dots, L(\omega_n)$ . (We call these simple modules, the fundamental representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .)

*Solution:* The fundamental weights are a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -basis of  $P^+$ , i.e., every  $\lambda \in P^+$  can be written uniquely as  $\lambda = a_1\omega_1 + \dots + a_n\omega_n$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . It is easy to see that the weight vectors of a tensor product  $V \otimes U$  are  $v \otimes u$  where  $v, u$  are weight vectors of  $V$  and  $U$ , respectively. Moreover, the weights of  $V \otimes U$  are  $\lambda + \mu$ ,  $\lambda$  a weight of  $V$ ,  $\mu$  a weight of  $U$ . Hence if  $\lambda, \mu$  are highest weights of  $V, U$ , respectively, then  $\lambda + \mu$  is a highest weight of  $V \otimes U$ . From complete reducibility, we have then that  $L(\lambda + \mu)$  is a direct summand of  $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$ . By induction, it follows that  $L(\lambda)$  is a direct summand of

$$L(\omega_1)^{\otimes a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L(\omega_n)^{\otimes a_n}.$$

In fact, one can do better, by replacing  $L(\omega_i)^{\otimes a_i}$  with  $S^{a_i}(L(\omega_i))$ , where  $S^a$  is the  $a$ -symmetric power.  $\square$

4. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

- (i) Use Weyl's dimension formula to show that  $L(\omega_i) = \wedge^i V$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , where  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  is the standard representation.
- (ii) Identify the adjoint representation in terms of the highest weight classification. (Why is the adjoint representation irreducible?)

*Solution:* (i) We think of  $\mathfrak{h} = \{h \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{tr } h = 0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C}^n / \langle \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \rangle$ . Then  $\omega_i = \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . From a previous problem sheet, or by a direct computation,  $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_i$  is a highest weight vector with weight  $\omega_i$  of  $\wedge^i V$ . This means that  $L(\omega_i)$  is a summand of  $\wedge^i V$ . We compute dimensions to show they are equal (there are many other ways to show equality). On the one hand,  $\dim \wedge^i V = \binom{n}{i}$ . We use Weyl's dimension formula to compute  $L(\omega_i)$ . We have  $\rho = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $\alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ ,  $i < j$ , so  $\langle \rho, \alpha_{ij} \rangle = j - i$ , etc.

(ii) The adjoint representation is irreducible if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, which is the case for  $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ . The decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $\mathfrak{h}$ -weight spaces is the Cartan decomposition, so the highest weight of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $>$ . For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ , this is  $\lambda = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_n$ . In terms of the fundamental weights

$$\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_n = \omega_1 + \omega_{n-1} \text{ in } \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

$\square$

5. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$  and  $L(\omega_1), L(\omega_2)$  the two fundamental representations. Verify:

- (i)  $L(\omega_1)^* \cong L(\omega_2)$ .
- (ii) Konstant's multiplicity formula, and
- (iii) Weyl's character formula for these two representations.

*Solution:* For  $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ ,  $L(\omega_1) = V$ ,  $L(\omega_2) = \bigwedge^2 V$ , where  $V = \mathbb{C}^3$ .

(i) One can use linear algebra, e.g.,  $(\bigwedge^{n-i} V)^* \cong \bigwedge^i V$  if  $\dim V = n$ , or the highest weight classification, i.e.:

$$\omega_1 = \epsilon_1, \quad \omega_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_3 \text{ in } \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

and  $w_0 = (13)$  for  $S_3$ , so  $-w_0(\epsilon_1) = -\epsilon_3$ ; then use Problem 1(ii). In any case,  $L(\omega_1)^* \cong L(\omega_2)$ .

(ii) The weights of  $L(\omega_1)$  are  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ , with multiplicity 1. The weights of  $L(\omega_2)$  are  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_3, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 = -\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 = -\epsilon_1$ , with multiplicity 1.

To verify Kostant's multiplicity formula for  $L(\omega_1)$ , write out the table:

$w$	$\det$	$w \cdot \lambda$	$w \cdot \lambda - \mu, \mu = \epsilon_1$	$K$	$w \cdot \lambda - \mu, \mu = \epsilon_2$	$K$	$w \cdot \lambda - \mu, \mu = \epsilon_3$	$K$
()	1	(1, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0)	1	(1, -1, 0)	1	(1, 0, -1)	2
(12)	-1	(-1, 2, 0)	(-2, 2, 0)	0	(-1, 1, 0)	0	(-1, 2, -1)	0
(23)	-1	(1, -1, 1)	(0, -1, 1)	0	(1, -2, 1)	0	(1, -1, 0)	1
(13)	-1	(-2, 0, 3)	(-3, 0, 3)	0	(-2, -1, 3)	0	(-2, 0, 2)	0
(123)	1	(-2, 2, 1)	(-3, 2, 1)	0	(-2, 1, 1)	0	(-2, 2, 0)	0
(132)	1	(-1, -1, 3)	(-2, -1, 3)	0	(-1, -2, 3)	0	(-1, -1, 2)	0

Then applying the formula we get that the multiplicities are 1, 1 and  $2 - 1 = 1$ , respectively. The case  $L(\omega_2)$  is similar, in fact, it is just the table before to which we apply  $-w_0$ .

(iii) If we write the coordinates of  $\mathfrak{h}$  as  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ , then

$ch_{L(\omega_1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = p(x_1, x_2, x_3)/q(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= e^{4x_1+2x_2+x_3} - e^{2x_1+4x_2+x_3} - e^{4x_1+x_2+2x_3} - e^{x_1+2x_2+4x_3} + e^{x_1+4x_2+2x_3} + e^{2x_1+x_2+4x_3}, \\ q(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= e^{3x_1+2x_2+x_3} - e^{2x_1+3x_2+x_3} - e^{3x_1+x_2+2x_3} - e^{x_1+2x_2+3x_3} + e^{x_1+3x_2+2x_3} + e^{2x_1+x_2+3x_3}, \end{aligned}$$

and indeed, this equals  $e^{x_1} + e^{x_2} + e^{x_3}$ .

Similarly for  $L(\omega_2)$ . □

**6.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$  realized as the space of matrices  $X \in gl(2n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $X^t J + JX = 0$ , where  $X^t$  is the transpose matrix, and  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ; here  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

- (i) Show that every  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is of the form  $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  are symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices and  $A$  is an arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrix.
- (ii) Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the subalgebra consisting of diagonal matrices. Determine the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the Cartan decomposition.
- (iii) Choose the system of positive roots such that the corresponding root vectors lie in matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A' & B \\ 0 & -A'^t \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A'$  is an upper triangular matrix and  $B$  is a symmetric matrix as before.
- (iv) Determine the fundamental weights.
- (v) Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  be the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (which acts by matrix multiplication on column vectors). Show that  $V$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation and it is in fact a fundamental representation.
- (vi) Show that  $\bigwedge^2 V$  decomposes as  $W \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the trivial representation and  $W$  is an irreducible (fundamental) representation.
- (vii) For  $sp(4, \mathbb{C})$ , describe all the weights of the fundamental representations  $V$  and  $W$  and verify that the Weyl dimension formula holds.
- (viii) In  $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ , show that the  $k$ -th fundamental representation is contained in  $\bigwedge^k V$  and in fact it is precisely the kernel of the contraction map  $\phi_k : \bigwedge^k V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k-2} V$  defined by

$$\phi_k(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) = \sum_{i < j} Q(v_i, v_j) (-1)^{i+j-1} v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{v}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{v}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k,$$

where  $Q$  is the skew-symmetric form defining  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.,  $Q(v, u) = v^t J u$ .

*[For this exercise, you may consult Section 16 in Fulton-Harris "Representation Theory", especially for the structural results on roots, Cartan decomposition etc.]*