

# Lecture 11, Sci. Comp. for DPhil Students

Nick Trefethen, Tuesday 22.11.18

## Today

- III.3 Newton's method for minimizing a function of one variable
- III.4 Newton's method for minimizing a function of several variables
- III.5 From Newton's method to practical optimization

## Handouts

- `m22_pureNewtonmin.m` and `m23_fminunc.m`, `m23b.m`, `m23c.m`, `m23d.m`
- Table of contents of Nocedal and Wright, *Numerical Optimization*

## Announcements

---

Recall last lecture, where we began optimization

### III. OPTIMIZATION

III.1 Newton's method for a single equation

III.2 Newton's method for a system of equations

$F(x)$  - vector function to be zeroed

### III.3 Newton's method for minimising a function of one variable

Given: function  $f(x)$ .

Goal: find a **minimum**  $x^*$  s.t.  $f(x^*) = \text{minimum}$  .

Global minimum: typically hard or impossible to be sure.

So instead we usually seek a **local minimum**:

$$f(x) \geq f(x^*) \text{ for all } x \text{ in a neighbourhood of } x^*.$$

Obvious idea: use Newton's method to solve  $f'(x) = 0$ .

**Newton's method** (pure and impractical in this form!)

```

-----
| Given initial guess x_0 |
|                           |
| For k = 0, 1, ...       |
|                           |
|      s_k = - f'(x_k) / f''(x_k) |
|                           |
|      x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k |
|                           |
|-----

```

Equivalent formulation:

- (1) Approximate  $f(x)$  near  $x_k$  by a parabola
- (2) Set  $x_{k+1}$  = minimum of this parabola (or maximum?!)

[ Draw a sketch. ]

### III.4 Newton's method for minimizing a function of several variables

Consider now  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ . Seek  $x^* \in R^n$  s.t.  $f(x^*) =$  local minimum, i.e.,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{local minimum.}$$

Of course there's an analogous Newton method to what we had for a system of equations. But now we'll need *second derivatives*.

Given  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ , the **gradient** of  $f$  at  $x \in R^n$  is the  $n$ -vector

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The **Hessian** of  $f$  at  $x \in R^n$  is the symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix

$$\Delta f(x) = [\nabla f]'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the Hessian is the Jacobian of the gradient.

### Newton's method

```

-----
| Given initial guess x |
|                       |
|                       |
| For k = 0, 1, ...    |
|                       |
| Evaluate GRAD f(x ) and DELTA f(x ) |
|                       |
|                       |
| Solve DELTA f(x )s = - GRAD f(x ) for s |
|                       |
|                       |
| x   = x + s |
| k+1 k k |
|                       |
-----

```

Note how this relates to last lecture's Newton method for zero-finding for a system:

Given  $F : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ , seek  $x^* \in R^n$  s.t.  $F(x^*) = 0$ .

Note also that the linear algebra at each step involves a symmetric matrix.

```

-----
| For k = 0, 1, ...    |
|                       |
| Evaluate F(x ) and F'(x ) |
|                       |
|                       |
| Solve F'(x )s = -F(x ) for s |
|                       |
|                       |
| x   = x + s |
| k+1 k k |
|                       |
-----

```

$F' = \text{Jacobian matrix}$

k+1    k    k

In MATLAB: the far more robust codes than these pure Newton ideas are `fminbnd` for a scalar problem, `fminunc` for a system.

With pure Newton, at points where the objective function is not convex, the Newton step isn't even a descent direction.

[ `m22_pureNewtonmin.m` ] starting from e.g.  $(2, 1)$ ,  $(2, 2)$ ,  $(2, -2)$

### III.5 From Newton's method to practical optimization

Look at Nocedal & Wright table of contents, e.g. chaps. 3, 4, 7, 8, 9

*A fundamental observation*

Newton's method is 2nd-order accurate, and this may seem somewhat arbitrary. It sounds better than 1st-order, worse than 3rd-order,...

The reality is different. **All algorithms of order  $> 1$  are equivalent** up to constant factors. (E.G., 2 steps of Newton has 4th order.) Thus Newton really is special: the simplest superlinear method.

*Limitations to Newton's method*

#### 1. Speed

- (a) How to compute all the necessary derivatives? These days, often with **automatic differentiation**: see book by Griewank and Walther and also [www.autodiff.org](http://www.autodiff.org).
- (b) How to solve the large linear algebra problems repeatedly? These days, often with CG and related iterative methods.

Practical algorithms settle for inexact derivatives: **inexact Newton**  
Also, for both (a) and (b), sparsity is invaluable (and common).

#### 2. Robustness

Pure Newton iteration is nearly useless in practice, and a long way from software. For making algorithms more robust here are some big ideas:

##### (a) Modified Newton

Instead of the true Hessian  $H$ , use e.g.  $H + aI$  for some  $a$ . This is the flavor of a true citation classis: a 1963 SIAM paper by Marquardt for which Google Scholar lists 30,920 citations! (The details are more complicated.)

**(b) Line searches**

Instead of  $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$ , use  $x_{k+1} = x_k + a_k s_k$ , where the **step length**  $a_k$  is chosen small enough to guarantee monotonic descent towards solution.

**(c) Trust regions**

A different concept, but similar efficacy to line searches in practice.

We now demonstrate `fminunc` with a code to illustrate how it estimates derivatives and takes more cautious steps than a pure Newton method.

[ m23\_fminunc.m ]

Here is a harder example, which has been a test example for decades: the **Rosenbrock function**,

$$f(x, y) = (1 - x)^2 + 100(y - x^2)^2.$$

We also modify the call to `fminunc` to use gradients as well as function values.

[ m23b.m ]

Here is a harder variant of the Rosenbrock function, which we show in more of a movie mode:

$$f(x, y) = (1 - x)^2 + 100(y + \cos(\pi x))^2.$$

[ m23c.m ] (starting e.g. from  $(-2.5, 2)$ )

Chebfun2 does well at this problem, using its methods of global optimization (which are however restricted to 1D and 2D).

[ m23d.m ]