

29. APPENDIX V: REMARK ON THE INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM

In this appendix we supply⁵⁹ the details for the claim made in the remark after the proof of the holomorphic version of the inverse function theorem.

There is an enhancement of the Inverse Function Theorem in the holomorphic setting, which shows that the condition $f'(z) \neq 0$ is automatic (in contrast to the case of real differentiable functions, where it is essential as one sees by considering the example of the function $f(x) = x^3$ on the real line). Indeed suppose that $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, and that we have $z_0 \in U$ such that $f'(z_0) = 0$.

Claim: In this case, f is at least 2 to 1 near z_0 , and hence is not injective.

Proof of Claim: If we let $w_0 = f(z_0)$ and $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$, it follows g has a zero at z_0 , and thus it is either identically zero on the connected component of U containing z_0 (in which case it is very far from being injective!) or we may write $g(z) = (z - z_0)^k h(z)$ where $h(z)$ is holomorphic on U and $h(z_0) \neq 0$. Our assumption that $f'(z_0) = 0$ implies that k , the multiplicity of the zero of g at z_0 is at least 2.

Now since $h(z_0) \neq 0$, we have $\epsilon = |h(z_0)| > 0$ and hence by the continuity of h at z_0 we may find a $\delta > 0$ such that $h(B(z_0, \delta)) \subseteq B(h(z_0), \epsilon)$. But then by taking a cut along the ray $\{-t \cdot h(z_0) : t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$ we can define a holomorphic branch of $z \mapsto z^{1/k}$ on the whole of $B(h(z_0), \epsilon)$. Now let $\phi: B(z_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the holomorphic function given by $\phi(z) = (z - z_0) \cdot h(z)^{1/k}$ (where by our choice of δ this is well-defined) so that $\phi'(z_0) = h(z_0)^{1/k} \neq 0$. Then clearly $f(z) = w_0 + \phi(z)^k$ on $B(z_0, \delta)$. Since $\phi(z)$ is holomorphic, the open mapping theorem ensures that $\phi(B(z_0, \delta))$ is an open set, which since it contains $0 = \phi(z_0)$, contains $B(0, r)$ for some $r > 0$. But then since $z \mapsto z^k$ is k -to-1 as a map from $B(0, r) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow B(0, r^k) \setminus \{0\}$ it follows that f takes every value in $B(w_0, r^k) \setminus \{w_0\}$ at least k times.

⁵⁹For interest, not examination!