

2. Valuations

Propositional Calculus

- is designed to find the **truth** or **falsity** of a compound formula from its constituent parts
- it computes the **truth values** T ('true') or F ('false') of a formula ϕ , given the truth values assigned to the smallest constituent parts, i.e. the propositional variables occurring in ϕ

How this can be done is made precise in the following definition.

2.1 Definition

1. A **valuation** v is a function

$$v : \{p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots\} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$$

2. Given a valuation v we extend v uniquely to a function

$$\tilde{v} : \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \{T, F\}$$

(Form(\mathcal{L}) denotes the set of all formulas of \mathcal{L})

defined recursively as follows:

2.(i) If ϕ is a formula of length 1, i.e. a propositional variable, then $\tilde{v}(\phi) := v(\phi)$.

2.(ii) If \tilde{v} is defined for all formulas of length $\leq n$, let ϕ be a formula of length $n + 1$ (≥ 2).

Then, by the Unique Readability Theorem,

either $\phi = \neg\psi$ for a unique ψ

or $\phi = (\psi \star \chi)$ for a unique pair ψ, χ
and a unique $\star \in \{\rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow\}$,

where ψ and χ are formulas of length $\leq n$, so $\tilde{v}(\psi)$ and $\tilde{v}(\chi)$ are already defined.

Truth Tables

Define $\tilde{v}(\phi)$ by the following truth tables:

Negation

| | |
|--------|------------|
| ψ | $\neg\psi$ |
| T | F |
| F | T |

i.e. if $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$ then $\tilde{v}(\neg\psi) = F$
and if $\tilde{v}(\psi) = F$ then $\tilde{v}(\neg\psi) = T$

Binary Connectives

| ψ | χ | $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ | $\psi \wedge \chi$ | $\psi \vee \chi$ | $\psi \leftrightarrow \chi$ |
|--------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F | T | F |
| F | F | T | F | F | T |

so, e.g., if $\tilde{v}(\psi) = F$ and $\tilde{v}(\chi) = T$
then $\tilde{v}(\psi \vee \chi) = T$ etc.

Remark: These truth tables correspond roughly to our ordinary use of the words ‘not’, ‘if - then’, ‘and’, ‘or’ and ‘if and only if’, except, perhaps, the truth table for implication (\rightarrow).

2.2 Example

Construct the full truth table for the formula

$$\phi := ((p_0 \vee p_1) \rightarrow \neg(p_1 \wedge p_2))$$

$\tilde{v}(\phi)$ only depends on $v(p_0)$, $v(p_1)$ and $v(p_2)$.

| p_0 | p_1 | p_2 | $(p_0 \vee p_1)$ | $(p_1 \wedge p_2)$ | $\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2)$ | ϕ |
|-------|-------|-------|------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------|
| T | T | T | T | T | F | F |
| T | T | F | T | F | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | F | F |
| F | T | F | T | F | T | T |
| F | F | T | F | F | T | T |
| F | F | F | F | F | T | T |

2.3 Example Truth table for

$$\phi := ((p_0 \rightarrow p_1) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0))$$

| p_0 | p_1 | $(p_0 \rightarrow p_1)$ | $\neg p_1$ | $\neg p_0$ | $(\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0)$ | ϕ |
|-------|-------|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| T | T | T | F | F | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | F | F | T |
| F | T | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | T | T | T |

3. Logical Validity

3.1 Definition

- A valuation v **satisfies** a formula ϕ if $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$
- If a formula ϕ is satisfied by *every* valuation then ϕ is **logically valid** or a **tautology** (e.g. Example 2.3, not Example 2.2)
Notation: $\models \phi$
- If a formula ϕ is satisfied by *some* valuation then ϕ is **satisfiable** (e.g. Example 2.2)
- A formula ϕ is a **logical consequence** of a formula ψ if, for *every* valuation v :

$$\text{if } \tilde{v}(\psi) = T \text{ then } \tilde{v}(\phi) = T$$

$$\text{Notation: } \psi \models \phi$$

3.2 Lemma $\psi \models \phi$ if and only if $\models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.

Proof: ' \Rightarrow ': Assume $\psi \models \phi$.

Let v be any valuation.

- If $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$ then (by def.) $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$,
so $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$ by tt \rightarrow .

('tt \star ' stands for the truth table of the connective \star)

- If $\tilde{v}(\psi) = F$ then $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$ by tt \rightarrow .

Thus, for every valuation v , $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$,
so $\models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.

' \Leftarrow ': Conversely, suppose $\models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.

Let v be any valuation s.t. $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$.

Since $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$, also $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ by tt \rightarrow .

Hence $\psi \models \phi$.

□

More generally, we make the following

3.3 Definition Let Γ be any (possibly infinite) set of formulas and let ϕ be any formula.

Then ϕ is a **logical consequence** of Γ if, for every valuation v :

$$\text{if } \tilde{v}(\psi) = T \text{ for all } \psi \in \Gamma \text{ then } \tilde{v}(\phi) = T$$

Notation: $\Gamma \models \phi$

3.4 Lemma

$\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \models \phi$ if and only if $\Gamma \models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.

Proof: similar to the proof of previous lemma 3.2 - Exercise.

3.5 Example

$\models ((p_0 \rightarrow p_1) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0))$ (cf. Ex. 2.3)
Hence $(p_0 \rightarrow p_1) \models (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0)$ by 3.2
Hence $\{(p_0 \rightarrow p_1), \neg p_1\} \models \neg p_0$ by 3.4

3.6 Example

$$\phi \models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$$

Proof:

If $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ then, by tt \rightarrow , $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$
(no matter what $\tilde{v}(\psi)$ is).

□