

B8.3 Mathematical Models for Financial Derivatives

Hilary Term 2020

Problem Sheet Three

Your grade will be determined from the *best five* answers to the first *seven* questions.

1. Assume a zero interest rate, $r = 0$, in this problem (to avoid problems with the time-value of cash payments). Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t$ be a partition of the interval $[0, t]$. Let $S_u > 0$ be the price of a share at time $u \in [0, t]$, Δ_u be a number of shares at time u and abbreviate $S_{t_k} = S_k$, $\Delta_{t_k} = \Delta_k$. At time $t_0 = 0$ we buy Δ_0 shares, at price S_0 , and hold these until time t_1 . At time t_1 we buy (or sell) enough shares, at price S_1 , so that we have Δ_1 shares, which we hold until time t_2 , at which point we buy (or sell) enough shares, at price S_2 , so that we have Δ_2 shares. We continue this process until time t_{n-1} , when we end up with Δ_{n-1} shares which we hold until $t_n = t$ at which point we sell all shares we hold, at price S_n . Show that the cost of this procedure is

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j).$$

[Hint: at time step t_{k+1} the change from holding Δ_k shares to holding Δ_{k+1} shares is equivalent to selling all the Δ_k shares and then buying back Δ_{k+1} shares, with both the trades being executed at share price S_{k+1} .]

Show that, formally at least, in the limit $|\pi| \rightarrow 0$ the cost becomes

$$C_t = -\int_0^t \Delta_u dS_u$$

where the integral is an Itô integral (with respect to S_u) and hence deduce that

$$dC_t = -\Delta_t dS_t.$$

2. Show that if $V(S, t)$ is a solution of the Black–Scholes equation (for $S > 0$ and $t < T$) then so too are:
 - (a) $aV(S, t)$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$;
 - (b) $V(bS, t)$ with $b > 0$;
 - (c) $aV(bS, t)$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$.

3. A log-option is an option with the payoff function

$$P_o(S_T) = \log(S_T/K),$$

where the “strike” is positive, $K > 0$. Find the Black–Scholes value function for a European log-option. (Such options are not traded, but they occur in the theory underlying the CBOE’s VIX (variance index) which measures the S&P500 index’s variance, allowing futures and options to be written on this variance.)

4. Find the Black–Scholes price function of a European digital call option, i.e., an option whose payoff function is

$$f(S_T) = \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T \geq K\}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < S_T < K, \\ 1 & \text{if } S_T \geq K. \end{cases}$$

A European digital put option has the payoff $f(S_T) = \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T < K\}}$. Use a no arbitrage argument to establish a digital put-call parity result and hence find the Black–Scholes price function for a digital put.

5. Show that if $V(S, t)$ is a sufficiently differentiable solution of the Black–Scholes equation (for $S > 0$ and $t < T$) then so too is

$$W(S, t) = S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(S, t).$$

By induction, conclude that if $V(S, t)$ is sufficiently differentiable then

$$\left(S \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \right)^n V(S, t), \quad S^n \frac{\partial^n V}{\partial S^n}(S, t), \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

are also solutions of the Black–Scholes equation.

6. Let $C_{\text{bs}}(S, t; K, T, r, y, \sigma)$ denote the solution of a Black–Scholes call value problem with strike K , expiry date T , risk-free rate r , continuous dividend yield y and volatility σ . Consider the Black–Scholes problem

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (r - y) S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0, \quad S > 0, \quad t < T$$

$$V(S, t) = \frac{1}{K^2} \max(S^3 - K^3, 0), \quad S > 0.$$

Show that

$$V(S, t) = \frac{1}{K^2} C_{\text{bs}}(S^3, t; K^3, T, r, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma})$$

where $\hat{y} = 3y - 2r - 3\sigma^2$ and $\hat{\sigma} = 3\sigma$.

[Hint: either write $\hat{S} = S^3$ and do a change of variables in the terminal value problem or think about the payoff and risk-neutral process for $\hat{S}_t = S_t^3$.]

7. Show that if $V(S, t)$ is a solution of the Black–Scholes equation (for $S > 0$ and $t < T$) and $B > 0$ then

$$W(S, t) = \left(\frac{S}{B}\right)^{2\alpha} V\left(\frac{B^2}{S}, t\right),$$

where $2\alpha = 1 - 2(r - y)/\sigma^2$, is also a solution of the (same) Black–Scholes equation.

[Hint: put $\xi = B^2/S$ and note that $V(\xi, t)$ satisfies the Black–Scholes equation in $\xi > 0$ and $t < T$.]

Optional questions

8. Let $V(S, t)$ satisfy the Black–Scholes problem

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (r - y)S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0 \quad S > 0, \quad t < T,$$

$$V(S, t) = P_0(S), \quad S > 0.$$

For some fixed reference price, $S_0 > 0$, set the dimensionless variables $x = \log(S/S_0)$, $\tau = \sigma^2(T - t)$ and $v(x, \tau) = V(S, t)/S_0$. Show that

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + k_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - k_2 v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \tau > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v(x, 0) = p(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where k_1 and k_2 are constants which you should find (in terms of r , y and σ) and $p(x)$ is a function which you should also find (in terms of $P_0(S)$).

Assuming that $p(x)$ is a “reasonable” function¹, it can be shown that the solution of (1) is infinitely differentiable in x for $\tau > 0$. Hence deduce that

$$v_n(x, \tau) = \frac{\partial^n v}{\partial x^n}(x, \tau), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

are also solution of the partial differential equation in (1) for $\tau > 0$. Infer that if $P_0(S)$ is a “reasonable” function then

$$V_n(S, t) = \left(S \frac{\partial}{\partial S}\right)^n V(S, t), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

¹For example, if $p(x)$ is integrable on every compact subset of \mathbb{R} and there are constants $C > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ with $|p(x)| < C e^{\kappa x^2}$ for all x ensures that the solution

$$u(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\xi) e^{-(x-\xi)^2/2\tau} d\xi$$

is C^∞ in x for $0 < \tau < 1/2\kappa$.

are also solutions of the Black–Scholes partial differential equation for $t < T$.

9. Show that if we put

$$v(x, \tau) = e^{\alpha\tau + \beta x} u(x, \tau),$$

in (1) then, for certain values of α and β , which you should determine, we can reduce (1) to the heat equation problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \tau > 0 \\ u(x, 0) &= q(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Suppose that $u(x, \tau)$ is the solution to (2) and set $\hat{u}(x, \tau) = u(2b - x, \tau)$ for some constant b . Show that $\hat{u}(x, \tau)$ is also a solution of the heat equation (but not necessarily the initial condition) in (2). Unwinding the transformations that reduced the Black–Scholes equation to the heat equation it is clear that $u(x, \tau)$ leads back to the solution of the original Black–Scholes problem. Show that unwinding the transformations on $\hat{u}(x, \tau)$ leads to the ‘reflected’ solution

$$\hat{V}(S, t) = S^{2\alpha} V\left(\frac{B^2}{S}, t\right),$$

where $2\alpha = 1 - 2(r - y)/\sigma^2$ and $B^2 > 0$.

10. The covariation of two functions or processes, X and Y , on $[0, t]$ is defined to be

$$[X, Y]_t = \lim_{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (X_{k+1} - X_k)(Y_{k+1} - Y_k).$$

Show that if both X and Y have finite quadratic variation on $[0, t]$ then $[X, Y]_t$ is finite and satisfies $2|[X, Y]_t| \leq [X]_t + [Y]_t$.

Assuming $[X]_t$ and $[Y]_t$ are finite, show that

- (a) $[X + Y]_t = [X]_t + [Y]_t + 2[X, Y]_t$,
- (b) $[X, Y]_t = \frac{1}{4}([X + Y]_t - [X - Y]_t)$.
- (c) if X and Y are C^1 functions on $[0, t]$ then $[X, Y]_t = 0$.

11. Let $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be two Brownian motions. They are correlated with correlation $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ if

- (a) for all $s, t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}[(W_{t+s} - W_t)(Z_{t+s} - Z_t)] = \rho s$,

- (b) for all $0 \leq p \leq q \leq s \leq t$, the pair $(W_q - W_p)$ and $(Z_t - Z_s)$ are independent and the pair $(W_t - W_s)$ and $(Z_q - Z_p)$ are also independent.

Show that in this case $[W, Z]_t = \rho t$, in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}[[W, Z]_t - \rho t] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\left([W, Z]_t - \rho t\right)^2\right) = 0.$$

[Hint: first show that if X and Y are random variables with second moments then $|\mathbb{E}[XY]| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[Y^2])$.]

[Note that if we define a process by $f_t = f(W_t, Z_t, t)$ where $f(W, Z, t)$ is $C^{2,2,1}$, then (the differential version of) Itô's lemma is

$$\begin{aligned} df_t &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial W} dW_t + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Z} dZ_t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial W^2} d[W]_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial Z^2} d[Z]_t + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial W \partial Z} d[W, Z]_t, \end{aligned}$$

where all functions on the right-hand side are evaluated at (W_t, Z_t, t) . The result derived above simplifies this expression.]