

Further Quantum Theory: Problem Sheet 4

Hilary Term 2020

[Last update: 20:28 on Sunday 26th April, 2020]

SAMPLE SOLUTIONS

4.1 Anharmonic oscillator WKB

Consider a (possibly anharmonic) oscillator in one dimension, with Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}P^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 X^2\right)^k .$$

Derive the (integral) WKB quantization condition that implicitly determines the quantum energy levels of this system in the semi-classical approximation. By rescaling the integrand, show that the energy levels are given by

$$E_n = \left(\frac{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(\frac{3k+1}{2k})}{2\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2k})} \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) \right)^{\frac{2k}{k+1}} ,$$

where you will need to utilize the integral formula

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1-x^{2k}} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2k})}{\Gamma(\frac{3k+1}{2k})} .$$

Check the case $k = 1$, which corresponds to the simple harmonic oscillator.

The quantization condition for energy levels is given by

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \sqrt{2m(E - V(x))} dx = \pi(n + 1/2) , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots ,$$

where x_1 and x_2 are the left and right classical turning points for a particle moving in the potential $V(x)$ with energy E . In the example at hand, we rescale by defining $y = (\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2)^{1/2}E^{-1/2k}x$, in terms of which our condition is

$$\frac{2E^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}}{\hbar\omega} \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1-y^{2k}} dy = \pi(n + 1/2) , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Using the integral identity given in the problem, this gives the quantization condition

$$\frac{2E^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}}{\hbar\omega} \frac{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2k})}{\Gamma(\frac{3k+1}{2k})} = \pi(n + 1/2) , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Solving for E gives the expression given in the statement of the problem. Note that for $k = 1$, this gives the exact result,

$$E_n = \left(\frac{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(2)}{2\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) \right) = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) .$$

4.2 Spherical WKB approximation

Find the WKB approximation for stationary, spherically symmetric, bound states (*i.e.*, states with angular momentum $l = 0$ and $E < 0$) of an electron of mass m in a Coulomb potential, with the usual Hydrogen-like Hamiltonian,

$$E = \frac{P^2}{2m} - \frac{Zq_e^2}{r} .$$

- (i) Imposing that the wave function is bounded at $r = 0$ will lead to a Bohr-Sommerfeld-like quantization condition. Compare this to the exact answer for the Hydrogen energy levels.

- (ii) Now analyze the case with angular momentum $l \neq 0$. Here there is both an inner and an outer turning point. Remember that the principle quantum number in the Hydrogen atom is given by $n = k + l + 1$, where k is the number of zeroes of the radial wavefunction. Compare your answer to the exact energy levels.
- (iii) The *Langer correction* to the WKB analysis of the Hydrogen atom proceeds by replacing $l(l+1)$ in the centrifugal potential term with $(l + \frac{1}{2})^2$. This includes the case $l = 0$. Check that the WKB results improve dramatically upon including the Langer correction.

[You will also need to be able to perform the integral

$$\int_a^b \frac{\sqrt{(r-a)(b-r)}}{r} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2} \right)^2, \quad a < b.$$

I've changed to denoting the charge of the electron as q_e to avoid any ambiguity related to Euler's constant.]

- (i) The radial WKB wave function in the classically allowed region is given by

$$R(r) = \frac{a}{r\sqrt{p(r)}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^r p(s)ds\right) + \frac{b}{r\sqrt{p(r)}} \exp\left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^r p(s)ds\right).$$

The requirement that the function be bounded as $r \rightarrow 0$ means that the two complex exponentials must combine into a sin function,

$$R(r) = \frac{\text{const.}}{r\sqrt{p(r)}} \sin\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^r p(s)ds\right).$$

The WKB connection rules at the classical turning point $r = r_*$ require that the argument of the sine function be of the form $3\pi/4 + n\pi$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus our quantization condition is given by

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{r_*} p(r)dr = \pi \left(\frac{3}{4} + n \right).$$

Now for our case, with $V(r)$ given by a Coulomb-like potential, this becomes

$$\frac{\sqrt{-2mE}}{\hbar} \int_0^{r_*} \sqrt{-1 - \frac{Zq_e^2}{Er}} dr = \pi \left(\frac{3}{4} + n \right).$$

Defining $r = -Zq_e^2 s/E$, this becomes (remember $E < 0$ for a bound state)

$$\frac{Zq_e^2 \sqrt{2m}}{\sqrt{-E}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{s} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds = \pi \hbar \left(\frac{3}{4} + n \right).$$

The integral is evaluated using the substitution $s = \sin^2 \theta$, and we obtain

$$\frac{Zq_e^2 \sqrt{2m}}{\sqrt{-E}} = \hbar \left(\frac{3}{4} + n \right) \implies E_n = -\frac{Z^2 q_e^4 m}{2\hbar^2 (n + \frac{3}{4})^2} = \frac{E_0}{(n + \frac{3}{4})^2}.$$

Requiring that $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we rewrite this as

$$E_n = \frac{E_0}{(n - \frac{1}{4})^2},$$

which should be compared with the exact result that doesn't have the shift by $-1/4$ in the denominator.

- (ii) If we allow $\ell \neq 0$, then in the effective radial problem there is a centrifugal term that leads to an inner turning point as well. Thus we are in the more standard two-turning point scenario, and the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule is

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} p(r)dr = \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + k \right), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

With the Coulomb potential and centrifugal term contributing to an effective potential, this gives the condition

$$\frac{\sqrt{-2mE}}{\hbar} \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \left(-1 - \frac{Zq_e^2}{Er} + \frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2mEr^2} \right)^{1/2} dr = \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + k \right), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which we can rewrite

$$\frac{\sqrt{-2mE}}{\hbar} \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \frac{\sqrt{(r-r_{in})(r_{out}-r)}}{r} dr = \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + k \right) , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where we've used that r_{in} and r_{out} are the two roots to the quadratic under the radical. Using the integral formula given in the problem, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{-2mE}}{\hbar} \frac{\pi}{2} (r_{in} + r_{out} - 2\sqrt{r_{in}r_{out}}) = \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + k \right) , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From the form of the quadratic, we see that

$$r_{in} + r_{out} = -\frac{Zq_e^2}{E} , \quad r_{in} \times r_{out} = -\frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2mE} ,$$

So our quantization condition simplifies to

$$\frac{\sqrt{mZq_e^2}}{\sqrt{-2E}} - \hbar\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} = \hbar \left(\frac{1}{2} + k \right) , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which we solve for E ,

$$E = -\frac{mZ^2q_e^4}{2\hbar^2 \left(k + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} \right)^2} , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now the exact answer is given by

$$E = -\frac{mZ^2q_e^4}{2\hbar^2 (k + \ell + 1)^2} , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and the approximation is quite good for large values of k and/or ℓ .

(iii) If we make the (apparently *ad hoc*) replacement $\ell(\ell+1) \rightarrow (\ell+1/2)^2$ in the centrifugal term, then in the previous part we would have found the exact answer!

4.3 Quantum tunnelling with WKB

A particle is incident from the left upon a potential barrier of the form

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 , & x < -a , \\ a^2 - x^2 , & -a < x < a , \\ 0 , & x > a . \end{cases}$$

Set up a WKB approximation for the (non-normalizable) stationary wave function describing this system for both cases $E > a^2$ and $E < a^2$. For the latter case, determine the *transmission amplitude* through, and the *reflection amplitude* off of, the classical barrier as a function of the energy of the incident particle.

When $E > a^2$ there are no classical turning points, so the entire WKB wavefunction will represent right-moving particles:

$$\psi^{\text{WKB}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2m(E - V(x)))^{1/4}} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int^x \sqrt{2m(E - V(x))} \right) .$$

To fix the overall constant, we start the integration at $x = -a$, and we have

$$\psi^{\text{WKB}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2mE)^{1/4}} \exp \left(\frac{i\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar} x \right) & x < -a \\ \frac{1}{(2m(E - a^2 + x^2))^{1/4}} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-a}^x \sqrt{2m(E - a^2 + x^2)} \right) & x \in [-a, a] , \\ \frac{1}{(2mE)^{1/4}} \exp \left(\frac{i\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar} (x - a) + i\phi \right) & x > a \end{cases}$$

where we have introduced the phase¹

$$\phi = \frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar} \int_{-a}^a (E - a^2 + x^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar} \left(aE^{1/2} + \frac{E-a^2}{2} \log \left(\frac{E^{1/2}+a}{E^{1/2}-a} \right) \right) .$$

At the level of the WKB approximation, here we have $T = 1$ and $R = 0$, so the WKB approximation is insensitive to quantum reflection off of a classically surmountable barrier. (In the exact solution, there is such an effect, and $R \neq 0$.)

Now turn to the case $E < a^2$, so we are studying quantum tunnelling through the barrier. In this case there are classical turning points at $x_{\pm} = \pm(a^2 - E)^{1/2}$, and we have to introduce WKB wave functions in the two classically allowed regions to the left and right and in the classically forbidden region in the middle.

$$\psi^{\text{WKB}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{A_I}{p^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_-} p(s) ds\right) + \frac{B_I}{p^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_-} p(s) ds\right) & x < -x_- \\ \frac{A_{II}}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{x_-}^x q(s) ds\right) + \frac{B_{II}}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{x_-}^x q(s) ds\right) & x_- < x < x_+ \\ \frac{A_{III}}{p^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{x_+}^x p(s) ds\right) & x > x_+ \end{cases} ,$$

We will also write the wave-function in the forbidden region as

$$\frac{\tilde{A}_{II}}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_+} q(s) ds\right) + \frac{\tilde{B}_{II}}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_+} q(s) ds\right) ,$$

where the coefficients are related according to $\tilde{A}_{II} = A_{II}\Gamma$ and $\tilde{B}_{II} = B_{II}\Gamma^{-1}$, where

$$\Gamma = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{x_-}^{x_+} q(s) ds\right) .$$

Now we need to use the WKB connection formulae to relate the coefficients in the different regions. At a turning point x_* where the classically allowed region is on the left, the relation between the WKB wave functions on the left and right is given by

$$\frac{2C}{p^{1/2}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_*} p(s) ds - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{D}{p^{1/2}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_*} p(s) ds + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \leftrightarrow \frac{C}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{x_*}^x q(s) ds\right) + \frac{D}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{x_*}^x q(s) ds\right)$$

while at a turning point where the classically allowed region is on the right, the relation is given by

$$\frac{2C}{p^{1/2}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_*} p(s) ds - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{D}{p^{1/2}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_*} p(s) ds + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \leftrightarrow \frac{C}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_*} q(s) ds\right) + \frac{D}{q^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_x^{x_*} q(s) ds\right)$$

Using the second set of relations, we can find the relationship between $(\tilde{A}_{II}, \tilde{B}_{II})$ and A_{III} . In particular, the wavefunction in region *III* can be expressed in terms of the coefficients in region *II* according to

$$\frac{\tilde{A}_{II} e^{-i\pi/4} + \tilde{B}_{II} e^{i\pi/4}/2}{p^{1/2}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{x_+}^x p(s) ds\right) + \frac{\tilde{A}_{II} e^{i\pi/4} + \tilde{B}_{II} e^{-i\pi/4}/2}{p^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{x_+}^x p(s) ds\right)$$

which, since the left-moving component must be zero, tells us that

$$\tilde{A}_{II} e^{i\pi/4} + \tilde{B}_{II} e^{-i\pi/4}/2 = 0 , \quad \tilde{A}_{II} e^{-i\pi/4} + \tilde{B}_{II} e^{i\pi/4}/2 = A_{III} ,$$

which we solve to give us (after using the relation between (A_{II}, B_{II}) and $(\tilde{A}_{II}, \tilde{B}_{II})$):

$$A_{III} = 2\Gamma e^{-i\pi/4} A_{II} , \quad B_{II} = -2i\Gamma^2 A_{II} .$$

Applying the first connection relations, we can then related these to A_I and B_I . The result is

$$A_I = e^{i\pi/4} A_{II} \left(-2i\Gamma^2 - \frac{i}{2}\right) , \quad B_I = e^{-i\pi/4} A_{II} \left(-2i\Gamma^2 + \frac{i}{2}\right)$$

¹Don't worry too much about getting this exactly, it's just a laborious integral.

We can now compute the reflection and transmission coefficients directly

$$R = \frac{|B_I|^2}{|A_I|^2} = \left(\frac{4\Gamma^2 - 1}{4\Gamma^2 + 1} \right)^2 \approx 1 \quad \text{for } \Gamma \gg 1 ,$$

$$T = \frac{|A_{III}|^2}{|A_I|^2} = \frac{4\Gamma^2}{(2\Gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2})^2} \approx \Gamma^{-2} \quad \text{for } \Gamma \gg 1 ,$$

The approximate behaviour of T is what we would get from doing a very rough estimate of the tunnelling rate like we did for the model of α -decay in lectures.

To be complete, we can do the integral to compute Γ :

$$\sqrt{2m} \int_{x_-}^{x_+} \sqrt{(a^2 - E) - x^2} dx = (a^2 - E) \sqrt{2m} \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1 - y^2} dy = \pi(a^2 - E) \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} .$$

$$\Gamma = \exp \left(\frac{\pi m^{\frac{1}{2}} (a^2 - E)}{\hbar \sqrt{2}} \right) .$$

When our particle is far from being able to classically overcome the barrier ($E \ll a^2$), then we see the expected exponential suppression of tunnelling.

Please send comments and corrections to `christopher.beem@maths.ox.ac.uk`.