

# B4.2 Functional Analysis II: Q1(a)(ii) – 2017

Luc Nguyen

University of Oxford

May 2020

# Q1(a)(ii) – 2017

Given:

- $X$ : A Hilbert space.
- $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset K_3 \dots$ : nested sequence of non-empty closed convex subsets of  $X$ .

Want: Prove that

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m \neq \emptyset.$$

# Approach 1 – Starting point: Closest point theorem + Parallelogram law

- For each  $m$ , there exists  $x_m \in K_m$  which is closest to the origin.
- As  $K_1$  is bounded,  $(x_m)$  is bounded.
- As  $K_{m+1} \subset K_m$ , we have  $\|x_m\| \leq \|x_{m+1}\|$ . So  $(\|x_m\|)$  is a bounded monotone sequence, hence converges to some number, say  $d$ .

# Approach 1 – Concluding point: Parallelogram law

- Claim:  $(x_m)$  is Cauchy. For this, we estimate  $\|x_m - x_n\|$ , say for  $m > n$ . We have

$$\|x_m - x_n\|^2 = \underbrace{2\|x_n\|^2}_{\rightarrow 2d^2} + \underbrace{2\|x_m\|^2}_{\rightarrow 2d^2} - 4 \underbrace{\left\| \frac{1}{2}(x_n + x_m) \right\|^2}_{\substack{\in K_n \\ \geq 4\|x_n\|^2 \rightarrow 4d^2}}.$$

So  $\limsup \|x_m - x_n\|^2 \leq 0$ , i.e.  $(x_m)$  is Cauchy!

- Let  $x = \lim x_m$ . For each  $n$ , the sequence  $(x_m)$  eventually belongs to  $K_n$ , which is closed. So  $x \in K_n$  for each  $n$ . So  $x \in \bigcap K_m$ .

## Approach 2 – Weak convergence

- Take  $x_m \in K_m$  arbitrarily. Then  $(x_m) \subset K_1$  and hence is a bounded sequence.
- Weak sequential compactness then implies that a subsequence  $(x_{m_j})$  converges weakly to some  $x$ .
- For each  $n$ ,  $(x_{m_j})$  eventually belongs to  $K_n$  which is closed and convex. By Mazur's theorem,  $x$ , being the weak limit of a sequence in this closed convex set, must be in  $K_n$  and we are done.
- Remark: If you are following this approach, you will need to prove (1) the weak sequential compactness property and (2) Mazur's theorem; as the problem does not tell you to freely use what you know.