

Final Honour School of Mathematics Part C

C2.2 Homological Algebra
Dr Henriques
Checked by: Prof. Nikolay Nikolov

dd/mm/yyyy

Do not turn this page until you are told that you may do so

1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.

- (a) [6 marks] (i) Define the *kernel* of a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{A} .
[2pt] A morphism $\iota : K \rightarrow M$ exhibits K as the kernel of f if $f\iota = 0$ and for all $\iota' : K' \rightarrow M$ with $f\iota' = 0$ there \exists a unique factorisation of ι' through ι . I.e. $\iota' = \iota g$ for some unique map $g : K' \rightarrow K$.
(ii) Define the notion of a *short exact sequence* in an abelian category.
[2pt] A sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is exact if $A \rightarrow B$ exhibits A as the kernel of $B \rightarrow C$, and $B \rightarrow C$ exhibits C as the cokernel of $A \rightarrow B$.
(iii) Let R be a ring, and let us assume that \mathcal{A} is the category of R -modules.
Prove that, in that case, $\ker(f) = \{x \in M \mid f(x) = 0\}$.
[2pt] Let $K := \{x \in M \mid f(x) = 0\}$. The inclusion $\iota : K \rightarrow M$ satisfies $f\iota = 0$. Given $\iota' : K' \rightarrow M$ with $f\iota' = 0$, then for every $k' \in K'$ we have $\iota'(k') \in K$. The image of ι' is therefore contained in K , and we get a factorisation $\iota' = \iota g$. The map ι is injective so if $\iota' = \iota g$ and $\iota' = \iota g'$ we must have $g = g'$. The factorisation is therefore unique.

From now on, we fix a ring R and assume that $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ is the category of R -modules.

- (b) [8 marks] (i) Explain what is meant by a *short exact sequence of chain complexes*.
[1pt] A short exact sequence of chain complexes is a diagram of chain complexes $0 \rightarrow A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet \rightarrow 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
(ii) Given a short exact sequence of chain complexes $0 \rightarrow P_\bullet \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} Q_\bullet \xrightarrow{g_\bullet} R_\bullet \rightarrow 0$, there is an associated long exact sequence in homology; define the connecting homomorphism $\partial : H_n(R_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(P_\bullet)$ and prove that it is well defined.
**[4pt] Given $x \in R_n$ representing a class $[x] \in H_n(R_\bullet)$, pick a preimage $y \in Q_n$ of x . The element $dy \in Q_{n-1}$ has the property that its image is zero in R_{n-1} ; it is therefore in the image of the map f_{n-1} . We set $\partial([x]) := [f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)]$. We need to check that: (a) $d(f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)) = 0$ (b) The class $[f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)]$ is independent of the choice of preimage y of x (c) The class $[f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)]$ is independent of the choice of representative x in its homology class.
(a) Since f_{n-2} is injective, it's enough to check that $f_{n-2}d(f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)) = 0$. We compute: $f_{n-2}d(f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)) = d(f_{n-1}(f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy))) = d(dy) = 0$.
(b) Two preimages $y, y' \in Q_n$ of x differ by an element $f_n(z)$ for some $z \in P_n$. Replacing y by y' has the effect of adding $[f_{n-1}^{-1}(d(f_n(z)))]$ to $[f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)]$. But $[f_{n-1}^{-1}(d(f_n(z)))] = [f_{n-1}^{-1}(f_{n-1}(d(z)))] = [dz] = 0$.
(c) It's enough to show that when $x = d\hat{x}$ for some $\hat{x} \in R_{n+1}$, the recipe for ∂ yields zero in $H_{n-1}(P_\bullet)$. Pick a preimage $\hat{y} \in Q_{n+1}$ of \hat{x} , and set $y := d\hat{y}$ (this is allowed by part (b)). Then $\partial([d\hat{x}]) = [f_{n-1}^{-1}(dd\hat{y})] = 0$.**
(iii) Prove that

$$\ker(\partial : H_n(R_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(P_\bullet)) = \text{im}(H_n(Q_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(R_\bullet)).$$

[3pt] (im \subset ker): If $[x] \in H_n(R_\bullet)$ is the image of $[y] \in H_n(Q_\bullet)$, then $\partial([x]) = [f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)] = 0$ because $dy = 0$.

(ker \subset im): If $\partial([x]) = [f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy)] = 0$, then $f_{n-1}^{-1}(dy) = dz$ for some $z \in P_n$. The element $y' := y - f_n(z) \in Q_n$ is also a preimage of x , and satisfies $dy' = 0$. It therefore represents an element in $H_n(Q_\bullet)$. By construction, $[y'] \mapsto [x]$.

- (c) [5 marks] (i) Define the *Tor groups* $\text{Tor}_*(-, -)$.
[1pt] Given a projective resolution $P_\bullet \rightarrow A$, the Tor groups $\text{Tor}_*^R(A, B)$ are the homology groups of the complex $P_\bullet \otimes_R B$.

- (ii) Given a short exact sequence of right R -modules $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ and a left R -module M , write down the associated long exact sequence of Tor groups;
[1pt] The sequence reads $\dots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2(C, M) \rightarrow$ **(B)**
 $\text{Tor}_1(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(C, M) \rightarrow A \otimes_R M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M \rightarrow C \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$
- (iii) Explain the main steps involved in defining this long exact sequence and in proving that it is indeed exact (you may rely on the results stated in part (b.ii)).
[3pt] Given a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, **by the horseshoe lemma, one may find projective resolutions** $P_\bullet \rightarrow A, Q_\bullet \rightarrow B, R_\bullet \rightarrow C$ **which assemble into a short exact sequence of chain complexes** $0 \rightarrow P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet \rightarrow R_\bullet \rightarrow 0$, **compatibly with the augmentations to** A, B, C . **Since each** R_n **is projective, for each** n , **the short exact sequence** $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow R_n \rightarrow 0$ **is split. The sequences** $0 \rightarrow P_n \otimes_R M \rightarrow Q_n \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_n \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$ **are therefore also (split) exact. So we get a short exact sequence of chain complexes** $0 \rightarrow P_\bullet \otimes_R M \rightarrow Q_\bullet \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_\bullet \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$. **Applying homology, we get a long exact sequence of Tor groups.**

- (d) [6 marks] Let k be a field, and let $R := k[x]/(x^n)$. Consider the short exact sequence of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow R/(x^a) \rightarrow R/(x^{a+b}) \rightarrow R/(x^b) \rightarrow 0, \quad (\star)$$

and let $M := R/x^c$, where $a < b < c < a + b < n/2$. Then there is an associated long exact sequence of Tor groups, obtained by applying the derived functors of $M \otimes_R -$ to the terms in the short exact sequence (\star) .

Compute all the terms and all the maps in the above long exact sequence.

- [6pt] We first claim that, when** $p, q \leq n/2$, **we have** **(N)**

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(R/x^p, R/x^q) = R/x^{\min(p,q)}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. **By the symmetry of Tor, it's enough to prove the case** $q \leq p$: **a projective resolution of** R/x^p **is given by** $0 \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{x^p} R \xleftarrow{x^{n-p}} R \xleftarrow{x^p} R \xleftarrow{x^{n-p}} \dots$. **Since** $q \leq p$ **and** $q \leq n - p$, **applying** $-\otimes_R R/x^q$ **gives** $0 \leftarrow R/x^q \xleftarrow{0} R/x^q \xleftarrow{0} R/x^q \xleftarrow{0} \dots$. **So all the Tor groups are** R/x^q .

The long exact sequence of Tor groups associated to $0 \rightarrow R/(x^a) \rightarrow R/(x^{a+b}) \rightarrow R/(x^b) \rightarrow 0$ **and** R/x^c **therefore reads**

$$\dots \rightarrow R/(x^b) \rightarrow R/(x^a) \rightarrow R/(x^c) \rightarrow R/(x^b) \rightarrow R/(x^a) \rightarrow R/(x^c) \rightarrow R/(x^b) \rightarrow 0$$

The only possible pattern of R -**module maps which makes this into a long exact sequence can be computed inductively starting from the very right. It is 6-periodic, and given by**

$$\dots R/(x^c) \xrightarrow{1} R/(x^b) \xrightarrow{0} R/(x^a) \xrightarrow{x^{c-a}} R/(x^c) \xrightarrow{x^{a+b-c}} R/(x^b) \xrightarrow{x^{c-b}} R/(x^a) \xrightarrow{x^b} R/(x^c) \xrightarrow{1} R/(x^b) \rightarrow 0$$

2. Let R be a ring. Unless otherwise stated, we always work in the category of R -modules.

- (a) [8 marks] (i) Define what it means for an R -module to be *injective*.
[2pt] A module E is injective if \forall monomorphism $i : A \rightarrow B$ and every map $f : A \rightarrow E$, one can factorise f as $f = gi$ for some map $g : B \rightarrow E$. (B)
- (ii) State Baer's criterion for injectivity.
[2pt] An R -module E is injective iff \forall ideal $I \subset R$ and every R -module map $f : I \rightarrow E$, one can factorise f as $f = gi$ for some R -module map $g : R \rightarrow E$. Here, i denotes the inclusion of I into R . (B)
- (iii) Prove that \mathbb{Q} is an injective \mathbb{Z} -module.
[2pt] All the ideals of \mathbb{Z} are principal. For every ideal $n\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and every map $f : n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we need to extend f to a map $f' : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. If $n = 0$, we let $f' = 0$. If $n \neq 0$, we let $f'(a) := \frac{1}{n} \cdot f(na)$. (B)
- (iv) State the classification theorem of injective \mathbb{Z} -modules (make sure to define all the terms that you use).
[2pt] Both of the following answers are acceptable: (S)
(a) A \mathbb{Z} -module is injective iff it is divisible. Here, A divisible means $\forall a \in A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists b \in A$ s.t. $nb = a$.
(b) A \mathbb{Z} -module is injective iff it is a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]/\mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) [7 marks] (i) Define $\text{Ext}^*(A, B)$ using injective resolutions.
[1pt] Let $B \rightarrow I^\bullet$ be an injective resolution. Then $\text{Ext}^n(A, B)$ is the n -th cohomology group of the cochain complex $\text{Hom}(A, I^\bullet)$. (B)
- (ii) Let $R := \mathbb{Z}/4$.
 Prove that $\mathbb{Z}/4$ is an injective $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -module.
 Compute $\text{Ext}_R^*(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ using injective resolutions.
[3pt] One first checks that $\mathbb{Z}/4$ is injective using Baer's criterion. The only non-trivial ideal is $I := 2R \subset R$; it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. There are exactly two maps $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4$ (one zero, one non-zero), and it's easy to see that they both factor through the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4$. An injective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ as $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -module is given by (S)
- $$\mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \dots$$
- Applying $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{Z}/2, -)$ to the (truncated) resolution, we get $\mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{0} \dots$. Therefore $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^n(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2, \forall n \geq 0$.**
- (iii) Explain the main steps of the proof that $\text{Ext}^*(A, B)$ is independent of the choice of injective resolution.
[3pt] Any two injective resolutions I^\bullet, J^\bullet of B are chain homotopy equivalent. Applying a linear functor, such as $\text{Hom}(A, -)$, sends chain homotopy equivalent complexes to chain homotopy equivalent complexes. Therefore $\text{Hom}(A, I^\bullet)$ and $\text{Hom}(A, J^\bullet)$ are chain homotopy equivalent. To finish the argument, one uses the fact that chain homotopy equivalent cochain complexes have isomorphic cohomology groups. (S)
- (c) [5 marks] (i) Prove that the quotient of an injective \mathbb{Z} -module is again injective.
[2pt] We prove that the quotient of a divisible group is again divisible. Let A be divisible and let $q : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ be a quotient map. Given $b \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick a preimage $a \in A$ and an element a' s.t. $na' = a$. Then $b' := q(a')$ satisfies $nb' = b$. (S)
- (ii) Prove that, when R is an arbitrary ring, the quotient of an injective R -module is in general not injective. *Hint:* argue by contradiction.

[3pt] If it were true that the quotient of an injective R -module is always (N) injective, then every R -module A would admit an injective resolution of length 1, namely $A \twoheadrightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_0/A \rightarrow 0$. It would follow that $\text{Ext}_R^n(B, A) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. Contradiction.

(d) [5 marks] (i) Let k be a field.

Let R be a commutative k -algebra, and let P be a projective R -module.

Prove that $\text{Hom}_k(P, k)$ is always an injective R -module.

[3pt] An R -module map $A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(P, k)$ is the same thing as a k -linear map (N) $A \otimes_R P \rightarrow k$. Given a monomorphism $A \hookrightarrow B$, we need to show that the map $\text{Hom}_R(B, \text{Hom}_k(P, k)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_k(P, k))$ is surjective. That's equivalent to the map $\text{Hom}_k(B \otimes_R P, k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(A \otimes_R P, k)$ being surjective. That in turn is equivalent to the map $A \otimes_R P \rightarrow B \otimes_R P$ being injective (using that k is a field). The latter holds true since P is projective, and hence flat.

(ii) Let $R := k[x, y]$, and let $M := k[[x^{-1}, y^{-1}]]$ be the ring of formal power series in two variables, called x^{-1} and y^{-1} . Explain how to equip M with the structure of a $k[x, y]$ -module, and prove that it is an injective module.

[2pt] M is the k -linear dual of $k[x, y]$ and is therefore an injective module by (N) part (i). The R -module structure on M is given by $x^a y^b \cdot x^n y^m = x^{a+n} y^{b+m}$ if both $a + n$ and $b + m$ are non-positive, and $x^a y^b \cdot x^n y^m = 0$ otherwise.

3. (a) [6 marks] (i) Define the notion of a *projective object* in an abelian category.
[1pt] An object P is projective if the functor $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ sends epimorphisms (B) to epimorphisms. I.e. for every epimorphism $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$, the map $f \circ - : \text{Hom}(P, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, B)$ is surjective.
- (ii) Prove that free R -modules are projective in the abelian category of R -modules.
[2pt] If P is free on some set X , then $\text{Hom}(P, A) = A^X$. If $A \rightarrow B$ is surjective (B) then, clearly, so is the induced map $A^X \rightarrow B^X$.
- (iii) Define what is meant by a *projective resolution* of an R -module.
[1pt] A projective resolution of M is an exact sequence $\dots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow (B) \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where all the P_n are projective.
- (iv) Prove that every R -module M admits a projective resolution.
[2pt] Pick a generating set $\{m_i\}_{i \in I_0}$ of M , and let $P_0 := R^{I_0}$. The map (B) $\epsilon : P_0 \rightarrow M$ sends the i th basis element of P_0 to m_i . Pick a generating set $\{x_{i,1}\}_{i \in I_1}$ of $\ker(\epsilon)$, and let $P_1 := R^{I_1}$. The map $d_1 : P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ sends the i th basis element to $x_{i,1}$. The construction continues by induction; the inductive step is as follows: Pick a generating set $\{x_{i,n}\}_{i \in I_n}$ of $\ker(d_{n-1})$, and let $P_n := R^{I_n}$. The map $d_n : P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ sends the i th basis element to $x_{i,n}$.

From now on, unless otherwise stated, we always work in the category of R -modules, for some ring R .

- (b) [11 marks] (i) Define what is meant by two chain maps (between chain complexes of R -modules) being *chain homotopic*.
[1pt] Two chain maps $f_\bullet, g_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ are chain homotopic if there exist (B) maps $h_n : C_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ such that $dh_n + h_{n-1}d = f_n - g_n \forall n$.
- (ii) Prove that chain homotopic maps induce the same map at the level of homology.
[2pt] For $x \in C_n$ representing a class $[x] \in H_n(C_\bullet)$, we have $dx = 0$. So (S) $dh_n(x) = f_n(x) - g_n(x)$. Hence $[f_n(x)] = [g_n(x)]$ in $H_n(D_\bullet)$.
- (iii) Prove that if two chain complexes are chain homotopy equivalent then they have isomorphic homology groups.
[2pt] A chain homotopy equivalence between C_\bullet and D_\bullet consists of chain (S) maps $f_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ and $g_\bullet : D_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$ such that $f_\bullet \circ g_\bullet$ and $g_\bullet \circ f_\bullet$ are chain homotopic to the identity. By part (ii), at the level of homology, the maps $H_n(f_\bullet) : H_n(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(D_\bullet)$ and $H_n(g_\bullet) : H_n(D_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(C_\bullet)$ are such that $H_n(f_\bullet) \circ H_n(g_\bullet)$ and $H_n(g_\bullet) \circ H_n(f_\bullet)$ are equal to the identity. Hence $H_n(f_\bullet)$ and $H_n(g_\bullet)$ are isomorphisms (and each other's inverses).
- (iv) Provide an example of two chain complexes which are chain homotopy equivalent but not isomorphic. Explain why they have the stated properties.
[3pt] The zero complex and the complex $C_\bullet := (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$ (S) are chain homotopy equivalent. The relevant maps are $0 : 0 \rightarrow C_\bullet$ and $0 : C_\bullet \rightarrow 0$. The only non-trivial thing to show is that the zero map $C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$ is chain homotopic to the identity. The chain homotopy which exhibits that fact has only one non-zero term, which is an iso $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
- (v) Provide an example of two chain complexes which have isomorphic homology groups, but which are not chain homotopy equivalent. Explain why they have the stated properties.
[3pt] The complex $C_\bullet := (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$ and the complex (S) $D_\bullet := (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$ have isomorphic homology groups but are not chain homotopy equivalent. Indeed, there are no non-zero maps $D_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$.

(c) [3 marks] Given two chain complexes P_\bullet and Q_\bullet , we let

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\bullet, Q_\bullet) := \text{Tot}^\Pi(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, Q_\bullet)). \quad (*)$$

Explain how one can identify cocycles in $Z^n(\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\bullet, Q_\bullet))$ with chain maps $P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$ of degree $-n$.

[3pt] An element $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(P_{i+n}, Q_i)$ is a cocycle of the cochain complex (N) (*) iff

$$(-1)^i f_i \circ d + d \circ f_{i+1} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\tilde{f}_i := \varepsilon_i f_i$, where $\varepsilon_i := 1$ when $i = 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\varepsilon_i := -1$ when $i = 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Then the new maps \tilde{f}_i satisfy $\tilde{f}_i \circ d = d \circ \tilde{f}_{i+1}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, collectively, they form a chain map $\tilde{f}_\bullet : P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$ of degree $-n$.

(d) [5 marks] Recall that $\text{Ext}^*(A, B)$ can be computed as the cohomology of the cochain complex (*), where P_\bullet and Q_\bullet are projective resolutions of A and B , respectively.

Recall also that two cocycles $f, g \in Z^n(\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\bullet, Q_\bullet))$ represent the same element in $H^n(\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\bullet, Q_\bullet))$ if and only if the corresponding chain maps are chain homotopic.

(i) Let k be a field.

Compute $\text{Ext}_{k[x]/x^2}^*(k, k)$ according to the above recipe

(i.e. by choosing a projective resolution $P_\bullet \rightarrow k$ and computing the cohomology of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\bullet, P_\bullet)$, equivalently the set of chain homotopy classes of maps $P_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet$).

[3pt] Let $R := k[x]/x^2$. A projective resolution of k is given by $R \xleftarrow{x} R \xleftarrow{x} R \xleftarrow{x} \dots$ (N) A general degree $(-n)$ chain map $P_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet$ is of the form

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 0 & \leftarrow & R & \xleftarrow{x} & \dots & \xleftarrow{x} & R & \xleftarrow{x} & R & \xleftarrow{x} & R & \xleftarrow{x} & R & \xleftarrow{x} & \dots \\ & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & 0 & \leftarrow & R & \xleftarrow{x} & R & \xleftarrow{x} & \dots \end{array} \quad (\dagger)$$

where the j th vertical map is given by $1 \mapsto a + b_j x$ for $a, b_j \in k$. Such a map is nulhomotopic iff $a = 0$. It follows that $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, k) = k$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Use this to compute the ring structure on $\text{Ext}_{k[x]/x^2}^*(k, k)$.

[2pt] The generator y_n of $\text{Ext}^n(k, k)$ is represented by the chain map (\dagger) , (N) where all the vertical maps are identity maps. One easily checks that $y_n \circ y_m = y_{n+m}$. It follows that $\text{Ext}_{k[x]/x^2}^*(k, k) = k[y_1]$, with $y_n = y_1^n$.