# Trust region methods (L7 and 8): Complete proof of global convergence (optional and non-examinable)

Coralia Cartis, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

C6.2/B2: Continuous Optimization

# **Global convergence of the GTR method**

Theorem 11 (GTR global convergence) Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and bounded below on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz constant  $L \ge 1^{(*)}$ . Let  $\{x^k\}$  be generated by the generic trust region (GTR) method, and let the computation of  $s^k$  be such that  $m_k(s^k) \le m_k(s^k_c)$  for all k. Then either

there exists  $k \ge 0$  such that  $\nabla f(x^k) = 0$ 

or

 $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|
abla f(x^k)\| = 0.$ 

 $[(*) L \ge 1$  for convenience, to ease calculations.]

We (only) prove  $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \|\nabla f(x^k)\| = 0$  (which also implies finite termination of GTR) next.

### **Computation of the Cauchy point**

Computation of the Cauchy point: find  $\alpha_c^k$  global solution of  $\min_{\alpha>0} m_k(-\alpha \nabla f(x^k)) \text{ subject to } \|\alpha \nabla f(x^k)\| \leq \Delta_k,$ where  $m_k(s) = f(x_k) + s^T \nabla f(x^k) + \frac{1}{2} s^T \nabla^2 f(x^k) s$ , &  $\nabla f(x^k) \neq 0$ .  $\| \alpha \nabla f(x^k) \| \leq \Delta_k \quad \& \ \alpha > 0 \Leftrightarrow \ 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\Delta_k}{\| \nabla f(x^k) \|} := \overline{\alpha}.$ where  $h^k := \nabla f(x^k)^T \nabla^2 f(x^k) \nabla f(x^k)$ .  $\phi'(0) = -\|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 < 0$  so  $\phi$  decreasing from  $\alpha = 0$  for suff. small  $\alpha$ ; thus  $\alpha_c^k > 0$ .  $\blacksquare h^k > 0: \ \alpha_{\min} := \frac{\|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2}{h^k} = \arg \min_{\alpha > 0} \phi(\alpha).$  $\implies \alpha_c^k = \min(\alpha_{\min}, \overline{\alpha}).$  $h^k \leq 0$ :  $\phi(\alpha)$  unbounded below on IR and so  $\alpha_c^k = \overline{\alpha}$ .

Lemma 12: (Cauchy model decrease) In GTR with Cauchy decrease  $m_k(s^k) \leq m_k(s_c^k)$  for all k, we have the model decrease for each k,

$$egin{aligned} f(x^k) & -m_k(s^k) \ & \geq & f(x^k) - m_k(s^k_c) \ & \geq & rac{1}{2} \| 
abla f(x^k) \| \min \left\{ \Delta_k, rac{\| 
abla f(x^k) \|}{1 + \| 
abla^2 f(x^k) \|} 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 12. (Recall Computation of the Cauchy point) If  $h^k \leq 0$ , then  $m_k(-\alpha_c^k \nabla f(x^k)) \leq f(x^k) - \alpha_c^k \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2$ . In this case, we also have  $\alpha_c^k = \overline{\alpha} = \frac{\Delta_k}{\|\nabla f(x^k)\|}$  and so  $f(x^k) - m_k(s_c^k) \geq \Delta_k \|\nabla f(x^k)\|$ . Else,  $h^k > 0$ ; then  $\alpha_c^k = \min\{\alpha_{\min}, \overline{\alpha}\}$  where  $\alpha_{\min} = \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 / h^k$ . Assume first that  $\alpha_c^k = \overline{\alpha}$ . Then  $\alpha_c^k h^k \leq \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2$  and

 $f(x^k) - m_k(s^k_c) = lpha^k_c \|
abla f(x^k)\|^2 - rac{(lpha^k_c)^2}{2}h^k \geq rac{lpha^k_c}{2} \|
abla f(x^k)\|^2,$ 

Proof of Lemma 12 (continued).

and using the expression of  $\overline{\alpha}$ ,

 $f(x^k) - m_k(s_c^k) \ge \frac{\Delta_k}{2\|\nabla f(x^k)\|} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k \|\nabla f(x^k)\|.$ Finally, let  $\alpha_c^k = \alpha_{\min} = \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 / h^k$ . Replacing this value in the model decrease we get

$$f(x^k) - m_k(s^k_c) = lpha^k_c \|
abla f(x^k)\|^2 - rac{(lpha^k_c)^2}{2}h^k = rac{\|
abla f(x^k)\|^4}{2h^k},$$

and further, by Cauchy-Schwarz and Rayleigh quotient inequalities,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|^{4}}{2h^{k}} &= \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|^{4}}{2(\nabla f(x^{k}))^{T}\nabla^{2}f(x^{k})\nabla f(x^{k})} \\ &\geq \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|^{2}}{2\|\nabla^{2}f(x^{k})\|} \geq \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|^{2}}{2(1+\|\nabla^{2}f(x^{k})\|)} (*). \end{split}$$
Thus  $f(x^{k}) - m_{k}(s_{c}^{k}) \geq \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|^{2}}{2(1+\|\nabla^{2}f(x^{k})\|)}.$ 
 $\Box$ 
 $[(*) +1' \text{ is only needed to cover the case } H^{k} = 0.]$ 

Lemma 13: (Model error bound) Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz constant *L*. Then in GTR, for all  $k \ge 0$ , we have  $|f(x^k + s^k) - m_k(s^k)| \le L\Delta_k^2$ .

Proof of Lemma 13. Mean-value theorem gives

 $f(x^k + s^k) = f(x^k) + (s^k)^T \nabla f(x^k) + \frac{1}{2} (s^k)^T \nabla^2 f(\xi^k) s^k$ for some  $\xi^k$  on line segment  $[x^k, x^k + s^k]$ . Then the definition of  $m_k(s) = f(x^k) + s^T \nabla f(x^k) + \frac{1}{2} s^T \nabla^2 f(x^k) s$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x^{k} + s^{k}) - m_{k}(s^{k})| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |(s^{k})^{T} \nabla^{2} f(\xi^{k}) s^{k} - (s^{k})^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x^{k}) s^{k}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |(s^{k})^{T} \nabla^{2} f(\xi^{k}) s^{k}| + \frac{1}{2} |(s^{k})^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x^{k}) s^{k}| \end{aligned}$$

 $\leq \frac{1}{2} [\|\nabla^2 f(\xi^k)\| + \|\nabla^2 f(x^k)\|] \cdot \|s^k\|^2 \leq L \|s^k\|^2 \leq L \Delta_k^2,$ 

where in the penultimate inequality we used that ( $\nabla f$  Lipschitz continuous with const. L)  $\iff (\|\nabla^2 f\|$  uniformly bounded above by L), and in the last inequality we used that  $\|s^k\| \leq \Delta_k$ .  $\Box$ 

Lemma 14: (Successful iterations) Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz constant  $L \ge 1$ . In GTR with Cauchy decrease  $m_k(s^k) \le m_k(s^k_c)$  for all k, suppose that  $\nabla f(x^k) \ne 0$  and

$$\Delta_k \le \frac{0.45}{L} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|. \tag{1}$$

Then iteration k is successful and  $\Delta_{k+1} \geq \Delta_k$ .

Proof of Lemma 14.  $\nabla f$  Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  with Lipschitz constant  $L \geq 1 \Longrightarrow 1 + \|\nabla^{2}f(x)\| \leq 2L$  and so from (1), we deduce  $\Delta_{k} \leq \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|}{1 + \|\nabla^{2}f(x^{k})\|}$ . Lemma 12 now gives that  $f(x^{k}) - m_{k}(s^{k}) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|\Delta_{k} > 0$ and Lemma 13 that  $|f(x^{k} + s^{k}) - m_{k}(s^{k})| \leq L\Delta_{k}^{2}$ . We evaluate  $|\rho_{k} - 1| = \frac{|f(x^{k} + s^{k}) - m_{k}(s^{k})|}{f(x^{k}) - m_{k}(s^{k})|} \leq \frac{2L\Delta_{k}^{2}}{\Delta_{k}\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|} = \frac{2L\Delta_{k}}{\|\nabla f(x^{k})\|} \leq 0.9 \Rightarrow \rho_{k} \geq 0.1.$  Lemma 15: (Lower bound on TR radius) Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz constant  $L \geq 1$ . In GTR with Cauchy decrease  $m_k(s^k) \leq m_k(s^k_c)$  for all k, suppose that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \geq \epsilon$  for all k. Then 0.45

 $\Delta_k \geq rac{0.45}{2L} \epsilon \quad ext{for all } k \geq 0.$ 

Proof of Lemma 15. Assume the contrary: k is the first iteration such that  $\Delta_{k+1} < \frac{0.45}{2L}\epsilon$ . Then k unsuccessful and  $\Delta_{k+1} = \frac{\Delta_k}{2}$ . Thus  $\Delta_k = 2\Delta_{k+1} < \frac{0.45}{L}\epsilon \le \frac{0.45}{L} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|$  and so by Lemma 14, k must be successful, contradiction.  $\Box$ 

<u>Theorem 16</u>: (The case of finitely many successful iterations) Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz constant  $L \ge 1$ . In GTR with Cauchy decrease  $m_k(s^k) \le m_k(s_c^k)$  for all k, suppose that there are finitely many successful iterations that occur. Then  $x^k = x_*$  for all ksufficiently large and  $\nabla f(x_*) = 0$ .

Proof of Theorem 16. Let  $k_o$  be the last successful iteration. Then GTR implies  $x^k = x_*$  for all  $k \ge k_o + 1$ . As all remaining iterations are unsuccessful,  $\Delta_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_k$  for all  $k \ge k_o + 1$  and so  $\Delta_k \longrightarrow 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . If  $\nabla f(x^{k_o+1}) \ne 0$ , then let  $\epsilon = \|\nabla f(x^{k_o+1})\|$  in Lemma 15, which implies that  $\Delta_k$  is bounded away from zero; contradiction. Thus  $\nabla f(x^{k_o+1}) = 0$ and so  $\nabla f(x^k) = \nabla f(x_*) = 0$  for all  $k \ge k_o + 1$ .  $\Box$ 

Theorem 17: (At least one limit point is stationary) Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and and bounded below on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz constant  $L \ge 1$ . Let  $\{x^k\}$  be generated by the generic trust region (GTR) method, and let the computation of  $s^k$  be such that  $m_k(s^k) \le m_k(s^k_c)$  for all k. Then either there exists  $k \ge 0$  such that  $\nabla f(x^k) = 0$  or  $\liminf_{k \to \infty} ||\nabla f(x^k)|| = 0$ .

Proof of Theorem 17. If there exists k such that  $\nabla f(x^k) = 0$ , then GTR terminates. Assume there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \ge \epsilon$  for all k. Then Th 16 implies that there are infinitely many successful iterations  $k \in S$ , and from  $\text{GTR}/\rho_k$ ,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1}) & \geq & 0.1(f(x^k) - m_k(s^k)) \\ & \geq & \frac{0.1}{2} \|\nabla f(x^k)\| \min\left\{\!\!\frac{\|\nabla f(x^k)\|}{1 + \|\nabla^2 f(x^k)\|}, \Delta_k\!\right\} \\ \text{or all } k \in \mathcal{L} \text{ where we also used Lemma 12} \end{array}$$

for all  $k \in S$ , where we also used Lemma 12.

#### Proof of Theorem 17 (continued).

 $\begin{array}{l} \nabla f \text{ Lipschitz cont. with Lips const } L \geq 1 \Longrightarrow \|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \leq L \; \forall x. \\ \text{Thus since } \|\nabla f(x^k)\| \geq \epsilon \text{ for all } k \text{, we have for all } k \in \mathcal{S} \text{ that} \\ f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1}) \geq 0.05\epsilon \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{2L}, \Delta_k\right\} \geq 0.05\epsilon \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{2L}, \frac{0.45}{2L}\epsilon\right\}, \\ \text{where we also used Lemma 15. Thus} \end{array}$ 

for all  $k \in \mathcal{S}$ :  $f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1}) \ge \frac{0.01}{2L}\epsilon^2$ . (\*) Since  $f(x^k) \ge f_{\text{low}}$  for all k, we deduce  $f(x^0) - f_{\text{low}} \ge f(x^0) - \lim_{k \to \infty} f(x^k) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f(x^i) - f(x^{i+1}))$  $= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} (f(x^i) - f(x^{i+1})) \ge |\mathcal{S}| \frac{0.01}{2L} \epsilon^2$  (\*\*)

where in '=' we used  $f(x^k) = f(x^{k+1})$  on all unsuccessful k, and in the last ' $\geq$ ', we used (\*) and  $|\mathcal{S}| =$ no. of successful iterations. But LHS of (\*\*) is finite while RHS of (\*\*) is infinite since  $|\mathcal{S}| = \infty$ . Thus there must exist k such that  $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| < \epsilon$ .