Lecture 11, Sci. Comp. for DPhil Students

Nick Trefethen, Tuesday 19.11.19

Today

- III.3 Newton's method for minimizing a function of one variable
- III.4 Newton's method for minimizing a function of several variables
- III.5 From Newton's method to practical optimization

Handouts

- `m22_pureNewtonmin.m` and `m23_fminunc.m, m23b.m, m23c.m, m23d.m`
- Table of contents of Nocedal and Wright, *Numerical Optimization*
- Bring Griewank and Walther to pass around
- Solns. to Assmt. 3. Note that we have just written a paper on this called "Vandermonde with Arnoldi", posted under Papers at my web page.

Announcements

- Assmt. 3 is due now

Recall last lecture, where we began optimization

```
III. OPTIMIZATION
  III.1 Newton's method for a single equation
  III.2 Newton's method for a system of equations
```

$F(x)$ - vector function to be zeroed

III.3 Newton's method for minimising a function of one variable

Given: function $f(x)$.

Goal: find a **minimum** x^* s.t. $f(x^*) =$ minimum .

Global minimum: typically hard or impossible to be sure.
So instead we usually seek a **local minimum**:

$$f(x) \geq f(x^*) \text{ for all } x \text{ in a neighbourhood of } x^*.$$

Obvious idea: use Newton's method to solve $f'(x) = 0$.

Newton's method (pure and impractical in this form!)

```
 ------------------------------
|                              |
|  Given initial guess  x      |
|                        0     |
|                              |
|  For k = 0, 1, ...           |
|                              |
|                              |
|      s  =  - f'(x ) / f"(x )  |
|       k          k        k  |
|                              |
|      x    = x  + s           |
|       k+1    k    k          |
|                              |
 ------------------------------
```

Equivalent formulation:

(1) Approximate $f(x)$ near x_k by a parabola

(2) Set x_{k+1} = minimum of this parabola (or maximum?!)

[Draw a sketch.]

III.4 Newton's method for minimizing a function of several variables

Consider now $f : R^n \to R$. Seek $x^* \in R^n$ s.t. $f(x^*)$ = local minimum, i.e.,

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \text{local minimum.}$$

Of course there's an analogous Newton method to what we had for a system of equations. But now we'll need *second derivatives*.

Given $f : R^n \to R$, the **gradient** of f at $x \in R^n$ is the n-vector

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The **Hessian** of f at $x \in R^n$ is the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix

$$\Delta f(x) = [\nabla f]'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the Hessian is the Jacobian of the gradient.

Newton's method

```
 ---------------------------------------------
|                                             |
|  Given initial guess  x                     |
|                        0                    |
|                                             |
|  For k = 0, 1, ...                          |
|                                             |
|                                             |
|     Evaluate GRAD f(x ) and DELTA f(x )     |
|                     k               k       |
|                                             |
|     Solve DELTA f(x )s  = - GRAD f(x ) for s |
|                    k  k             k       k |
|                                             |
|     x    = x  + s                           |
|      k+1    k    k                          |
|                                             |
 ---------------------------------------------
```

Note how this relates to last lecture's Newton method for zerofinding for a system:

Given $F : R^n \to R^n$, seek $x^* \in R^n$ s.t. $F(x^*) = 0$.

Note also that the linear algebra at each step involves a symmetric matrix.

```
 ----------------------------------
| For k = 0, 1, ...                |
|                                  |
|    Evaluate F(x ) and F'(x )     |   F' = Jacobian matrix
|                k          k      |
|                                  |
|    Solve F'(x )s  = -F(x ) for s |
|               k  k       k       k |
|                                  |
|    x    = x  + s                 |
|     k+1    k    k                |
 ----------------------------------
```

In MATLAB: the far more robust codes than these pure Newton ideas are `fminbnd` for a scalar problem, `fminunc` for a system.

With pure Newton, at points where the objective function is not convex, the Newton step isn't even a descent direction.

`[ m22_pureNewtonmin.m ]` starting from e.g. $(2, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, $(2, -2)$

III.5 From Newton's method to practical optimization

Look at Nocedal & Wright table of contents, e.g. chaps. 3, 4, 7, 8, 9

A fundamental observation

Newton's method is 2nd-order accurate, and this may seem somewhat arbitrary. It sounds better than 1st-order, worse than 3rd-order,. . . .

The reality is different. **All algorithms of order > 1 are equivalent** up to constant factors. (E.G., 2 steps of Newton has 4th order.) Thus Newton really is special: the simplest superlinear method.

Limitations to Newton's method

1. Speed

(a) How to compute all the necessary derivatives? These days, often with **automatic differentiation**: see book by Griewank and Walther and also `www.autodiff.org`.

(b) How to solve the large linear algebra problems repeatedly? These days, often with CG and related iterative methods.

Practical algorithms settle for inexact derivatives: **inexact Newton**
Also, for both (a) and (b), sparsity is invaluable (and common).

2. Robustness

Pure Newton iteration is nearly useless in practice, and a long way from software. For making algorithms more robust here are some big ideas:

(a) Modified Newton
Instead of the true Hessian H, use e.g. $H + aI$ for some a. This is the flavor of a true citation classis: a 1963 SIAM paper by Marquardt for which Google Scholar lists 32,255 citations! (The details are more complicated.)

(b) Line searches
Instead of $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$, use $x_{k+1} = x_k + a_k s_k$, where the **step length** a_k is chosen small enough to guarantee monotonic descent towards solution.

(c) Trust regions
A different concept, but similar efficacy to line searches in practice.

We now demonstrate `fminunc` with a code to illustrate how it estimates derivatives and takes more cautious steps than a pure Newton method.

[m23_fminunc.m]

Here is a harder example, which has been a test example for decades: the **Rosenbrock function**,

$$f(x, y) = (1 - x)^2 + 100(y - x^2)^2.$$

We also modify the call to `fminunc` to use gradients as well as function values.

`[ m23b.m ]`

Here is a harder variant of the Rosenbrock function, which we show in more of a movie mode:

$$f(x, y) = (1 - x)^2 + 100(y + \cos(\pi x))^2.$$

`[ m23c.m ]` (starting e.g. from $(-2.5, 2)$)

Chebfun2 does well at this problem, using its methods of global optimization (which are however restricted to 1D/2D/3D).

`[ m23d.m ]`

If time permits, tell the story of `cheb.gallery2('challenge')` and `cheb.gallery3('wagon')`. The first was invented as a difficult global minimization problem in 2D, and the second as a far harder problem in 3D. Chebfun finds both surprisingly easy because of low rank structure.