ASSIGNMENT 3 SOLUTIONS

1. A well-conditioned problem: interpolation in Chebyshev points

What is the smallest integer n for which this bound does not ensure $\|\Delta p\| \le 10 \|\Delta f\|$?

The equation to solve is $1 + (2/\pi) \log(n+1) = 10$, i.e., $n = \exp(9\pi/2) - 1$, whose solution is

```
format short
n_real = exp(9*pi/2) - 1
```

```
n_{real} = 1.3794e+06
```

So the answer is

n = ceil(n_real)

n = 1379410

2. An unstable algorithm: polyval(polyfit)

(a) Look up Matlab polyfit and polyval and use them to compute Chebyshev interpolants of f(x) = |x| on [-1,1] for n = 40 and 80. What is the ∞ -norm of the vector c? Use polyval to compute p(xx) for xx = linspace(-1,1,500), and plot xx against p(xx).

Note the Matlab warnings. This not very helpful message goes back decades.

```
xx = linspace(-1,1,500)';
for k = 1:2
    n = 40*k; subplot(1,2,k)
    x = cos((0:n)'*pi/n);
    c = polyfit(x,abs(x),n); normc = norm(c,inf)
    plot(xx,polyval(c,xx)), grid on
end
```

Warning: Polynomial is badly conditioned. Add points with distinct X values, reduce the degree of the polynomial, or try centering and scaling as described in HELP POLYFIT. normc = 2.9374e+11

Warning: Polynomial is badly conditioned. Add points with distinct X values, reduce the degree of the polynomial, or try centering and scaling as described in HELP POLYFIT. normc = 1.2884e+23



(b) Comment on how the norms you just calculated relate to the plots you just plotted in light of the value of machine epsilon of around 10^{-16} .

For n = 60 the coefficients c_k are of order 10^{23} , so in 16-digit arithmetic, computations involving them can be expected to introduce rounding errors on the order of 10^7 . This is just what we saw.

(c) Calculate the condition numbers of A for n = 40 and 80. Comment on their significance in the light of machine epsilon.

The condition numbers come out as $O(10^{14})$ and $O(10^{18})$, respectively. Presumably the first number is mathematically accurate, but the second is surely an underestimate of the mathematical truth; there is no trusting anything bigger than $O(10^{16})$. But in any case its size much bigger than 10^{16} confirms that solutions to Ac = f must be expected to have no useful accuracy.

```
n = 40; x = cos((0:n)*pi/n); cond40 = cond(vander(x))
n = 80; x = cos((0:n)*pi/n); cond80 = cond(vander(x))
cond40 = 7.7166e+14
```

cond80 = 5.0763e+18

3. A stable algorithm: polyval(polyfit) + Arnoldi

Use these codes to compute the same interpolants for n = 40 and n = 80 as in problem 2. Plot the results as before and give the ∞ -norm of c. Comment on what you see.

Now the norms of c are O(1) and the computation is successful.

```
for k = 1:2
    n = 40*k; subplot(1,2,k)
    x = cos((0:n)'*pi/n);
    [c,H] = polyfitA(x,abs(x),n); normc = norm(c,inf)
    plot(xx,polyvalA(c,H,xx)), grid on
end
normc = 0.6452
normc = 0.6410
                   1.2
                                                 1.2
                     1
                                                   1
                   0.8
                                                 0.8
                                                 0.6
                   0.6
                   0.4
                                                 0.4
```

0

0.2

-1

0.2

1

0

-1

0

1

4. Least-squares on a more general domain

(a) Discretize X by a vector xx with 300 equispaced points on the left and 700 on the right. Use polyfit and polyval to compute least-squares fits of degrees n = 40 and 80, and plot the error function in each case.

Again, terribly unstable.

```
xx = [linspace(-4,-1,300) linspace(1,8,700)]';
for k = 1:2
    n = 40*k; subplot(1,2,k)
    c = polyfit(xx,sign(xx),n);
    plot(xx,sign(xx) - polyval(c,xx),'.'), grid on
end
```

Warning: Polynomial is badly conditioned. Add points with distinct X values, reduce the degree of the polynomial, or try centering and scaling as described in HELP POLYFIT.

Warning: Polynomial is badly conditioned. Add points with distinct X values, reduce the degree of the polynomial, or try centering and scaling as described in HELP POLYFIT.



(b) Likewise with polyfitA and polyvalA. Comment on the difference

Again, much better.

```
for k = 1:2
    n = 40*k; subplot(1,2,k)
    [c,H] = polyfitA(xx,sign(xx),n);
    plot(xx,sign(xx) - polyvalA(c,H,xx),'.'), grid on
end
```

