BO1 History of Mathematics Lecture VII Infinite series Part 3: The 18th century

MT 2020 Week 4

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

Move on to the 18th century

Eighteenth century:

as in 17th century, much progress;

also many questions and doubts

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ



Brook Taylor, The method of direct and inverse increments (1715)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

(23) (22) $\frac{z-zz}{3z} = \frac{z}{z}$, Erc., Proinde quo tempore z crefcendo fit z-z, DEMONSTRATIO. codem tempore se erefeendo fiet s-+ s COROLL L Et ipfis z, s, z, z, tcc. iiflem mantentibus, mutato figno ipfius v, quo tempore a decreficendo fit $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{v}, \ \text{codem tempore} \ s$ decreficendo firt $x = x \frac{v}{v_1} + x \frac{vv}{1.2\varepsilon^2} = x \frac{vvv}{1.2\varepsilon} \frac{v}{2\varepsilon^2}$ Sec. vel juxta notatiorem nofiram $x = s \frac{v}{12} + s \frac{vv}{1.25^3} = s \frac{vvv}{1.2.323}$ Sec. ipfis $v_1 v_2$ Sec. Valores fucceffivi ipfius a per additionem continuam collecti funt x, x+x, x+2x+x, x+3x+3x+x, Scc. ut pater per operationen converfis in - v, -v, sec. in tabula annexa exprellam. Sed in his valoribus a coefficientes numerales terminorum x, x, x, &c. codem modo formantur, ac cedentes ipfinn . Units figue a fribare coefficientes terminorum correspondentium in dignitate binomil. Et (per Theorema Newtonianam) fi dignitatis index fit a, coeffici-Si pro Incrementis evanescentibus feribantur fluxiones ipfis procientes crunt $1, \frac{n}{1}, \frac{n}{1}, \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n}{1} \times \frac{n-1}{2} \times \frac{n-2}{2}, \text{ &c. Ec.}$ portionales, fictis jam omnibus ,, v, v, v, y, & &c, aqualibus quo tempore = uniformiter flacado fit = + v fiet x, x + x = + gò quo tempore z crefcendo fit z + nz, hoc eft z + n, fiet x aqua-¹⁰ ¹¹/_{1,257} + ²¹/_{1,21,357} ¹¹/₃ U + 1 O H D ≥ ¹²/₁ ¹²/_{1,225} ¹³/₃ ¹⁴/_{1,225} ¹⁴/₃ ¹⁴/_{1,225} ¹⁴/₃ ¹⁴/_{1,225} ¹⁴/₃ ¹⁴/₁ lis ferici $x + \frac{n}{3}x + \frac{n}{1} \times \frac{n-3}{2}x + \frac{n}{3} \times \frac{n-1}{2}x + \frac{n}{3} \times \frac{n-1}{2} \times \frac{n-2}{3} + n Rc.$ vites al. avantice offers increase wite inferiorities, poli course sume Set funt $\frac{\pi}{1} = \left(\frac{\pi c}{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \right)_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\pi - 1}{2} = \left(\frac{\pi c - \pi}{\frac{2c}{2}} = \right)_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\pi - 1}{3}$ pore z dorrellendo fit z — σ_s × decreticendo fiet w — $\frac{1}{2}$ — 4 $\frac{e^{2}}{1,2e^{2}} + \frac{e^{2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{1,2\frac{e^{2}}{2}} + \frac{e^{2}}{8e} + \frac{e^{2}}{8e} + \frac{e^{2}}{6e} + \frac{e^{2}$ PROP.

(See: Mathematics emerging, §8.2.1.)

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx),

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), ...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), and so on

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), and so on

Dependent variable x; independent variable z increases uniformly with time

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), and so on

Dependent variable x; independent variable z increases uniformly with time

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

x increases to $x + \delta x$ in time δt ;

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), and so on

Dependent variable x; independent variable z increases uniformly with time

x increases to $x + \delta x$ in time δt ; after a further interval of δt , x has become $x + \delta x + \delta(x + \delta x) = x + 2\delta x + \delta(\delta x)$;

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), and so on

Dependent variable x; independent variable z increases uniformly with time

x increases to $x + \delta x$ in time δt ; after a further interval of δt , x has become $x + \delta x + \delta(x + \delta x) = x + 2\delta x + \delta(\delta x)$; continuing:

$$x + \frac{n}{1}\delta x + \frac{n(n-1)}{1\cdot 2}\delta(\delta x) + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3}\delta(\delta(\delta x)) + \cdots$$

Taylor denoted a small change in x by x (our δx), a small change in x by x (our $\delta(\delta x)$), and so on

Dependent variable x; independent variable z increases uniformly with time

x increases to $x + \delta x$ in time δt ; after a further interval of δt , x has become $x + \delta x + \delta(x + \delta x) = x + 2\delta x + \delta(\delta x)$; continuing:

$$x+\frac{n}{1}\delta x+\frac{n(n-1)}{1\cdot 2}\delta(\delta x)+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3}\delta(\delta(\delta x))+\cdots$$

$$= x + \delta x \frac{n\delta z}{1\delta z} + \delta(\delta x) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot (\delta z)^2} + \delta(\delta(\delta x)) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z(n-2)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3(\delta z)^3} + \cdots$$

$$x + \delta x \frac{n\delta z}{1\delta z} + \delta(\delta x) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot (\delta z)^2} + \delta(\delta(\delta x)) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z(n-2)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3(\delta z)^3} + \cdots$$

$$x + \delta x \frac{n\delta z}{1\delta z} + \delta(\delta x) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot (\delta z)^2} + \delta(\delta(\delta x)) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z(n-2)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3(\delta z)^3} + \cdots$$

Assumptions:

•
$$(n-k)\delta z \approx n\delta z$$
, since δz is small, so replace each $(n-k)\delta z$ by v , a constant

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$x + \delta x \frac{n\delta z}{1\delta z} + \delta(\delta x) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot (\delta z)^2} + \delta(\delta(\delta x)) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z(n-2)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3(\delta z)^3} + \cdots$$

Assumptions:

- $(n-k)\delta z \approx n\delta z$, since δz is small, so replace each $(n-k)\delta z$ by v, a constant
- ► $\delta x \propto \dot{x}$ and $\delta z \propto \dot{z}$, so in each case the former can be replaced by the latter

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

$$x + \delta x \frac{n\delta z}{1\delta z} + \delta(\delta x) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot (\delta z)^2} + \delta(\delta(\delta x)) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z(n-2)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3(\delta z)^3} + \cdots$$

Assumptions:

- $(n-k)\delta z \approx n\delta z$, since δz is small, so replace each $(n-k)\delta z$ by v, a constant
- $\delta x \propto \dot{x}$ and $\delta z \propto \dot{z}$, so in each case the former can be replaced by the latter

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

In essence (in modern terms):
$$\frac{\delta x}{\delta z} \rightarrow \frac{dx}{dz}$$
, $\frac{\delta(\delta x)}{(\delta z)^2} \rightarrow \frac{d^2 x}{dz^2}$, and so on

$$x + \delta x \frac{n\delta z}{1\delta z} + \delta(\delta x) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot (\delta z)^2} + \delta(\delta(\delta x)) \frac{n\delta z(n-1)\delta z(n-2)\delta z}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3(\delta z)^3} + \cdots$$

Assumptions:

- $(n-k)\delta z \approx n\delta z$, since δz is small, so replace each $(n-k)\delta z$ by v, a constant
- $\delta x \propto \dot{x}$ and $\delta z \propto \dot{z}$, so in each case the former can be replaced by the latter

In essence (in modern terms):
$$\frac{\delta x}{\delta z} \to \frac{dx}{dz}$$
, $\frac{\delta(\delta x)}{(\delta z)^2} \to \frac{d^2 x}{dz^2}$, and so on

Again in modern terms, we arrive at:

$$x + \frac{dx}{dz}v + \frac{d^2x}{dz^2}\frac{v^2}{1\cdot 2} + \frac{d^3x}{dz^3}\frac{v^3}{1\cdot 2\cdot 3} + \cdots$$

Cf. Taylor's notation in Mathematics Emerging, §8,1,2

Suppose that y can be expressed as $A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \cdots$

610 Of the inverfe method of Flaxions. Book II.

ties multiplied by k + 1 x + m x & Scc. raifed to a power of any exponent k. De quadrat. curvar. prop. 5. 8t 6. 751. The following theorem is likewife of great ufe in this doctrine. Suppose that y is any quantity that can be expressed by a feries of this form $A + Bz + Cz^3 + Dz^3 + &c.$ where A, B, C, &c. reprefert invariable coefficients as ufual, any of which may be supposed to vanish. When z vanishes, let E be the value of y, and let E, E, E, Scc. be then the refpective values of r, r, r, &cc. z being supposed to flow uniformly. Then $j = E + \frac{E_z}{z} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}$ &c. the law of the continuation of which feries is manifeft. For fince y = A + Bz + Cz' + Dz' + &c. it follows that when z = o, A is equal to y; but (by the supposition) E is then equal to y; confequently A = E. By taking the fluxions, and dividing by $z_1 L = B + 2Cz + 3Dz' + &c.$ and when z = a, B is equal to $\frac{\mu}{2}$, that is to $\frac{E}{2}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by \dot{z} , (which is fuppoled invariable) $\frac{y}{z}$ = 2C + 6Dz + &c. let z = e, and fubfituting E for y, E = 2C, or $C = \frac{E}{C}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by z_1 , $\lambda = 6D + &c.$ and by fuppoling $z = c_1$, we have $D = \frac{E}{c_1}$ Thus it appears that y= A + Bz + Cz' + Dz' + &c. = $E + \frac{E_z}{z} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z z'} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z \times z^{2'}} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z \times 3 \times 4 z'} + \delta c.$ This propolition may be likewife deduced from the binomial theorem. Let

Suppose that y can be expressed as $A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \cdots$

610 Of the inverfe method of Fluxions. Book II.

ties multiplied by k + 1 x + m x & Scc. raifed to a power of any exponent k. De quadrat. curvar. prop. 5. 8t 6. 751. The following theorem is likewife of great ufe in this doctrine. Suppose that y is any quantity that can be expressed by a feries of this form $A + Bz + Cz^{b} + Dz^{3} + &c.$ where A, B, C, &c. reprefent invariable coefficients as ufual, any of which may be supposed to vanish. When z vanishes, let E be the value of y, and let E, E, E, &c. be then the refpective values of y, y, y, &c. z being fuppofed to flow uniformly. Then $j = E + \frac{E_z}{z} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}$ &c. the law of the continuation of which feries is manifeft. For fince y = A + Bz + Cz' + Dz' + &c. it follows that when z = o, A is equal to y; but (by the supposition) E is then equal to y; confequently A = E. By taking the fluxions, and dividing by $z_1 L = B + 2Cz + 3Dz' + &c.$ and when z = a, B is equal to $\frac{y}{2}$, that is to $\frac{E}{2}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by z_i (which is fuppofed invariable) $\frac{y_i}{z_i}$ = 2C + 6Dz + &c. let z = e, and fubfituting E for y, E = $2C_{2}$ or $C = \frac{E_{2}}{T_{1}}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by z_1 , $\lambda = 6D + &c.$ and by fuppoling $z = c_1$, we have $D = \frac{E}{c_1}$ Thus it appears that $y = A + Bz + Cz^* + Dz^* + \delta c. =$ $E + \frac{Ez}{z} + \frac{Ez^*}{z+z^*} + \frac{Ez^*}{z+z^*} + \frac{Ez^*}{z+z+z^*} + \delta c.$ This propolition may be likewife deduced from the binomial theorem. Let

When z vanishes, y = E, $\dot{y} = \dot{E}$, $\ddot{y} = \ddot{E}$, $\dot{y} = \ddot{E}$, and so on

(日本)(同本)(日本)(日本)(日本)

610 Of the inverfe method of Fluxions. Book II.

ties multiplied by k + 1 x + m x & Scc. raifed to a power of the multiplied by k + 1x + mx the function of power of any exponent k. De quadrat. curvar, prop. 5, 86.6. 751. The following theorem is likewife of great use in this doctrine. Suppose that y is any quantity that can be expressed by a feries of this form $A + Bz + Cz^{b} + Dz^{3} + &c.$ where A, B, C, &c. reprefent invariable coefficients as ufual, any of which may be supposed to vanish. When z vanishes, let E be the value of y, and let E, E, E, &c. be then the refpective values of y, y, y, &c. z being supposed to flow uniformly. Then $j = E + \frac{E_z}{z} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}$ &c. the law of the continuation of which feries is manifeft. For fince y = A + Bz + Cz' + Dz' + &c. it follows that when z = o, A is equal to y; but (by the fuppolition) E is then equal to y; confequently A = E. By taking the fluxions, and dividing by $z_1 L = B + 2Cz + 3Dz' + &c.$ and when z = a, B is equal to $\frac{y}{2}$, that is to $\frac{E}{2}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by \dot{z} , (which is fuppoled invariable) $\frac{y}{z}$ = zC + 6Dz + &c. let z = e, and fubfituring E for $y, \frac{E}{2} =$ $2C_{2}$ or $C = \frac{E_{2}}{T_{1}}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by z_1 , $\lambda = 6D + &c.$ and by fuppoling $z = c_1$, we have $D = \frac{E}{c_1}$ Thus it appears that $y = A + Bz + Cz^* + Dz^* + \delta c. =$ $E + \frac{Ez}{z} + \frac{Ez^*}{z+z^*} + \frac{Ez^*}{z+z^*} + \frac{Ez^*}{z+z+z^*} + \delta c.$ This propolition may be likewife deduced from the binomial theorem. Let

Suppose that y can be expressed as $A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \cdots$

When z vanishes, y = E, $\dot{y} = \dot{E}$, $\ddot{y} = \ddot{E}$, $\dot{y} = \ddot{E}$, and so on

z is assumed to flow uniformly, so that $\dot{z} = \text{const}$

610 Of the inverfe method of Fluxions. Book II.

ties multiplied by k + 1 x + m x & Scc. raifed to a power of any exponent k. De quadrat. curvar. prop. 5. & 6. 75t. The following theorem is likewife of great ufe in this doctrine. Suppofe that y is any quantity that can be expressed by a feries of this form $A + Bz + Cz^{b} + Dz^{3} + &c.$ where A, B, C, &c. reprefent invariable coefficients as ufual, any of which may be supposed to vanish. When z vanishes, let E be the value of y, and let E, E, E, &c. be then the refpective values of y, y, y, &c. z being supposed to flow uniformly. Then $j = E + \frac{E_z}{z} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}} + \frac{E_{z'}}{1 \times z \times z^{2} \times z^{2}}$ &c. the law of the continuation of which feries is manifeft. For fince y = A + Bz + Cz' + Dz' + &c. it follows that when z = o, A is equal to y; but (by the fuppofition) E is then equal to y; confequently A = E. By taking the fluxions, and dividing by $z_1 L = B + 2Cz + 3Dz' + &c.$ and when $z = e_{1}B$ is equal to $\frac{y}{2}$, that is to $\frac{E}{2}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by \dot{z} , (which is fuppoled invariable) $\frac{y}{z}$ = zC + 6Dz + &c. let z = e, and fubfituring E for $y, \frac{E}{2} =$ $2C_{2}$ or $C = \frac{E_{2}}{T_{1}}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by z_1 , $\lambda = 6D + &c.$ and by fuppoling $z = c_1$, we have $D = \frac{E}{c_1}$ Thus it appears that $y = A + Bz + Cz^{+} + Dz^{+} + &cz^{-}$ $E + \frac{Ez}{1+z^{+}} + \frac{Ez}{1+z+z^{+}} + \frac{Ez}{1+z+z+z^{+}} + &cc$ This proposition may be likewife deduced from the biaonial theorem. Let

Suppose that y can be expressed as $A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \cdots$

When z vanishes, y = E, $\dot{y} = \dot{E}$, $\ddot{y} = \ddot{E}$, $\dot{y} = \ddot{E}$, and so on

z is assumed to flow uniformly, so that $\dot{z} = \text{const}$

By repeatedly taking fluxions, we may calculate in turn: A = E,

$$B = \dot{E}\dot{z}, \ C = rac{\ddot{E}}{2\ddot{z}^2}, \ D = rac{\ddot{E}}{6\dot{z}^3}, \ ext{etc.}$$

610 Of the inverfe method of Fluxions. Book II.

ties multiplied by $k + 1x^{4} + mx^{26}$ &c. raifed to a power of any exponent *k*. De quadrat. currat. prop. 5 & 6. 751. The following theorem is likewife of great ufe in this doctrine. Suppole that y is any quantity that can be expected by a feries of this form $A + Bz + Cz^{b} + Dz^{3} + &c.$ where A, B, C, &c. reprefent invariable coefficients as ufual, any of which may be supposed to vanish. When z vanishes, let E be the value of y, and let E, E, E, &c. be then the refpective values of y, y, y, &c. z being supposed to flow uniformly. Then $y = E + \frac{Ez}{z} + \frac{Ez^{2}}{1 \times z^{2}} + \frac{Ez^{2}}{1 \times z^{2}$ &c. the law of the continuation of which feries is manifeft. For fince y = A + Bz + Cz' + Dz' + &c. it follows that when z = o, A is equal to y; but (by the supposition) E is then equal to y; confequently A = E. By taking the fluxions, and dividing by $z_1 L = B + 2Cz + 3Dz' + &c.$ and when $z = e_{1}B$ is equal to $\frac{y}{2}$, that is to $\frac{E}{2}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by z, (which is supposed invariable) = zC + 6Dz + &c. let $z = e_1$ and fubflituting E for $y_1 = z_2$ $2C_{1}$ or $C = \frac{E_{1}}{C_{1}}$. By taking the fluxions again, and dividing by $z_{1} = 6D + \&c_{2}$ and by supposing z = a, we have $D = \frac{E}{2}$ Thus it appears that $y = A + Bz + Cz^{+} + Dz^{+} + &cz^{-}$ $E + \frac{Ez}{1+z^{+}} + \frac{Ez}{1+z+z^{+}} + \frac{Ez}{1+z+z+z^{+}} + &cc$ This proposition may be likewife deduced from the biaonial theorem. Suppose that y can be expressed as $A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \cdots$

When z vanishes, y = E, $\dot{y} = \dot{E}$, $\ddot{y} = \ddot{E}$, $\dot{y} = \ddot{E}$, and so on

z is assumed to flow uniformly, so that $\dot{z} = \text{const}$

By repeatedly taking fluxions, we may calculate in turn: A = E,

$$B = \dot{E}\dot{z}, \ C = rac{\ddot{E}}{2\ddot{z}^2}, \ D = rac{\ddot{E}}{6\dot{z}^3}, \ ext{etc.}$$

"the law of the continuation of [the] series is manifest"

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

(Mathematics emerging, §8.2.2.)

Leonhard Euler, *Introduction* to analysis of the infinite (1748)

INTRODUCTIO IN ANALTSIN INFINITORUM. AUCTORE

LEONHARDO EULERO,

Professor Regio BEROLINENSI, & Academia Imperialia Scientiarum PETROPOLITANÆ Socio.

TOMUS PRIMUS.



LAUSANNÆ, Apud Marcum-Michaelem Bousquet & Socios-

MDCCXLVIIL

Incorporated power series into the definition of a function: A function of a variable quantity is an analytic expression composed in any way whatsoever of the variable quantity and numbers or constant quantities.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Incorporated power series into the definition of a function:

A **function** of a variable quantity is an analytic expression composed in any way whatsoever of the variable quantity and numbers or constant quantities.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Euler derived series for sine, cosine, exp, log, etc.;

Incorporated power series into the definition of a function:

A **function** of a variable quantity is an analytic expression composed in any way whatsoever of the variable quantity and numbers or constant quantities.

Euler derived series for sine, cosine, exp, log, etc.;

he also discovered relationships between them, for example:

$$\cos v = \frac{1}{2}(e^{iv} + e^{-iv})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

An application of series

THE DOCTRINE CHANCES: A METHOD of Calculating the Probabilities of Events in PLAY THE SECOND EDITION. Fuller, Clearer, and more Correct than the First. A. DE MOIVRE. Fellow of the ROYAL SOCIETY, and Member of the ROYAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES of Berlin. Printed for the AUTHOR, by H. WOODFALL, without Temple-Bar. M.DCC.XXXVIII.

Abraham de Moivre posed this problem about confidence intervals:

What are the Odds that after a certain number of Experiments have been made concerning the happening or failing of Events, the Accidents of Contingency will not afterwards vary from those of Observation beyond certain Limits?

An application of series

THE DOCTRINE CHANCES: A METHOD of Calculating the Probabilities of Events in PLAY THE SECOND EDITION. Fuller, Clearer, and more Correct than the First. A. DE MOIVRE. Fellow of the ROYAL SOCIETY, and Member of the ROYAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES of Berlin. Printed for the AUTHOR, by H. WOODFALL, without Temple-Bar. M.DCC.XXXVIII.

Abraham de Moivre posed this problem about confidence intervals:

What are the Odds that after a certain number of Experiments have been made concerning the happening or failing of Events, the Accidents of Contingency will not afterwards vary from those of Observation beyond certain Limits?

His answer involved clever (but non-rigorous) summation and manipulation of infinite series.



Doubts



D'Alembert, 1761:

... all reasoning and calculation based on series that do not converge, or that one may suppose not to, always seems to me extremely suspect, even when the results of this reasoning agree with truths known in other ways.

Doubts



D'Alembert, 1761:

... all reasoning and calculation based on series that do not converge, or that one may suppose not to, always seems to me extremely suspect, even when the results of this reasoning agree with truths known in other ways.

Introduced, without proof, what came to be known (in a more general setting) as d'Alembert's ratio test.

(See: *Mathematics emerging*, §8.3.1.)

Lagrange's use of series

J.-L. Lagrange, *Théorie des fonctions analytiques* (1797) Lagrange's use of series: an attempt to liberate calculus from infinitely small quantities (essentially by treating only those functions that may be described by power series)



イロト イポト イラト イラト

Lagrange and convergence

... [one needs] a way of stopping the expansion of the series at any term one wants and of estimating the value of the remainder of the series.

This problem, one of the most important in the theory of series, has not yet been resolved in a general way

Lagrange found bounds for the 'remainder' ... and applied his findings to the binomial series ... thus proving what Newton had taken for granted

(See: *Mathematics emerging*, §8.3.2.)