

Numerical Solution of Differential Equations I

Endre Süli

Mathematical Institute
University of Oxford
2020

Lecture 13

Model problem: heat equation in one space dimension

As a simple but representative model problem we focus on the unsteady diffusion equation (heat equation) in one space dimension:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad (1)$$

which we shall consider for $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $t \geq 0$, subject to the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where u_0 is a given function.

Finite difference approximation of the heat equation

We take our computational domain to be

$$\{(x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T]\},$$

where $T > 0$ is a given final time.

Finite difference approximation of the heat equation

We take our computational domain to be

$$\{(x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T]\},$$

where $T > 0$ is a given final time.

We consider a finite difference mesh with spacing $\Delta x > 0$ in the x -direction and spacing $\Delta t = T/M$ in the t -direction, with $M \geq 1$, and we approximate the partial derivatives appearing in (1) using divided differences as follows.

Finite difference approximation of the heat equation

We take our computational domain to be

$$\{(x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, T]\},$$

where $T > 0$ is a given final time.

We consider a finite difference mesh with spacing $\Delta x > 0$ in the x -direction and spacing $\Delta t = T/M$ in the t -direction, with $M \geq 1$, and we approximate the partial derivatives appearing in (1) using divided differences as follows.

Let $x_j = j\Delta x$ and $t_m = m\Delta t$, and note that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_j, t_m) \approx \frac{u(x_j, t_{m+1}) - u(x_j, t_m)}{\Delta t}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x_j, t_m) \approx \frac{u(x_{j+1}, t_m) - 2u(x_j, t_m) + u(x_{j-1}, t_m)}{(\Delta x)^2}.$$

This motivates us to approximate the heat equation at the point (x_j, t_m) by the following **explicit Euler scheme**:

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

This motivates us to approximate the heat equation at the point (x_j, t_m) by the following **explicit Euler scheme**:

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Equivalently, we can write this as

$$U_j^{m+1} = U_j^m + \mu(U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m),$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

where $\mu = \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$.

This motivates us to approximate the heat equation at the point (x_j, t_m) by the following **explicit Euler scheme**:

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Equivalently, we can write this as

$$U_j^{m+1} = U_j^m + \mu(U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m),$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

where $\mu = \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$.

Thus, U_j^{m+1} can be explicitly calculated, for all $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, from the values U_{j+1}^m , U_j^m , and U_{j-1}^m from the previous time level.

Alternatively, if instead of time level m the expression on the right-hand side of the explicit Euler scheme is evaluated on the time level $m + 1$, we arrive at the **implicit Euler scheme**:

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^{m+1} - 2U_j^{m+1} + U_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

The explicit and implicit Euler schemes are special cases of a more general one-parameter family of numerical methods for the heat equation, called the θ -**method**, which is a convex combination of the two Euler schemes, with a parameter $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

The explicit and implicit Euler schemes are special cases of a more general one-parameter family of numerical methods for the heat equation, called the θ -**method**, which is a convex combination of the two Euler schemes, with a parameter $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

The θ -method is defined as follows:

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} = (1 - \theta) \frac{U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} + \theta \frac{U_{j+1}^{m+1} - 2U_j^{m+1} + U_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2},$$
$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter.

The explicit and implicit Euler schemes are special cases of a more general one-parameter family of numerical methods for the heat equation, called the θ -**method**, which is a convex combination of the two Euler schemes, with a parameter $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

The θ -method is defined as follows:

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} = (1 - \theta) \frac{U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} + \theta \frac{U_{j+1}^{m+1} - 2U_j^{m+1} + U_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2},$$
$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter.

For $\theta = 0$ it coincides with the explicit Euler scheme, for $\theta = 1$ it is the implicit Euler scheme, and for $\theta = 1/2$ it is the arithmetic average of these, and is called the **Crank–Nicolson scheme**.

Accuracy of the θ -method

In order to assess the accuracy of the θ -method for the Dirichlet initial-boundary-value problem for the heat equation we define its **consistency error** by

$$T_j^m := \frac{u_j^{m+1} - u_j^m}{\Delta t} - (1 - \theta) \frac{u_{j+1}^m - 2u_j^m + u_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} - \theta \frac{u_{j+1}^{m+1} - 2u_j^{m+1} + u_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2},$$

where

$$u_j^m \equiv u(x_j, t_m).$$

We shall explore the size of the consistency error by performing a Taylor series expansion about a suitable point.

We shall explore the size of the consistency error by performing a Taylor series expansion about a suitable point.

Note that

$$u_j^{m+1} = \left[u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t u_t + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^2 u_{tt} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^3 u_{ttt} + \cdots \right]_j^{m+1/2},$$

$$u_j^m = \left[u - \frac{1}{2} \Delta t u_t + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^2 u_{tt} - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^3 u_{ttt} + \cdots \right]_j^{m+1/2}.$$

We shall explore the size of the consistency error by performing a Taylor series expansion about a suitable point.

Note that

$$u_j^{m+1} = \left[u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t u_t + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^2 u_{tt} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^3 u_{ttt} + \cdots \right]_j^{m+1/2},$$

$$u_j^m = \left[u - \frac{1}{2} \Delta t u_t + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^2 u_{tt} - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right)^3 u_{ttt} + \cdots \right]_j^{m+1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{u_j^{m+1} - u_j^m}{\Delta t} = \left[u_t + \frac{1}{24} (\Delta t)^2 u_{ttt} + \cdots \right]_j^{m+1/2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \theta) \frac{u_{j+1}^m - 2u_j^m + u_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} + \theta \frac{u_{j+1}^{m+1} - 2u_j^{m+1} + u_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \\
 &= \left[u_{xx} + \frac{1}{12} (\Delta x)^2 u_{xxxx} + \frac{2}{6!} (\Delta x)^4 u_{xxxxxx} + \dots \right]_j^{m+1/2} \\
 &\quad + \left(\theta - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \left[u_{xxt} + \frac{1}{12} (\Delta x)^2 u_{xxxxt} + \dots \right]_j^{m+1/2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{8} (\Delta t)^2 [u_{xxtt} + \dots]_j^{m+1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_j^m &= \boxed{[u_t - u_{xx}]_j^{m+1/2}} \\
 &+ \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) \Delta t u_{xxt} - \frac{1}{12} (\Delta x)^2 u_{xxxx} \right]_j^{m+1/2} \\
 &+ \left[\frac{1}{24} (\Delta t)^2 u_{ttt} - \frac{1}{8} (\Delta t)^2 u_{xxtt} \right]_j^{m+1/2} \\
 &+ \left[\frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) \Delta t (\Delta x)^2 u_{xxxxt} - \frac{2}{6!} (\Delta x)^4 u_{xxxxxx} \right]_j^{m+1/2} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_j^m = & \boxed{[u_t - u_{xx}]_j^{m+1/2}} \\
 & + \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) \Delta t u_{xxt} - \frac{1}{12} (\Delta x)^2 u_{xxxx} \right]_j^{m+1/2} \\
 & + \left[\frac{1}{24} (\Delta t)^2 u_{ttt} - \frac{1}{8} (\Delta t)^2 u_{xxtt} \right]_j^{m+1/2} \\
 & + \left[\frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) \Delta t (\Delta x)^2 u_{xxxxt} - \frac{2}{6!} (\Delta x)^4 u_{xxxxxx} \right]_j^{m+1/2} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Note however that the term contained in the box vanishes, as u is a solution to the heat equation. Hence,

$$T_j^m = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta t)^2) & \text{for } \theta = 1/2, \\ \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + \Delta t) & \text{for } \theta \neq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, in particular, the explicit and implicit Euler schemes have consistency error

$$\tau_j^m = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + \Delta t),$$

while the Crank–Nicolson scheme has consistency error

$$\tau_j^m = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta t)^2).$$