

II. Bounded linear operators (video 4)

Last time:

- Y Banach $\Rightarrow L(X, Y)$ Banach

This time:

- Composition of bounded lin. op.
gives a - - - - -
- Neumann series & invertibility
of $Id - T$
- One more example

Recall also:

Lemma I.4

X Banach $\Leftrightarrow$ absolute convergence
implies convergence

Prop. II.4:

Let X, Y, Z n.s.o.

and let $T \in L(X, Y)$
 $S \in L(Y, Z)$.

Then $ST = S \circ T \in L(X, Z)$

and $\|ST\|_{L(X, Z)} \leq \|S\|_{L(Y, Z)} \cdot \|T\|_{L(X, Y)}$

Proof: • ST linear as S, T are linear

• $\forall x \in X$

$$\|STx\|_Z = \|S(Tx)\| \leq \|S\| \cdot \|Tx\|_Y \leq \|S\| \cdot \|T\| \cdot \|x\|_X$$

so $ST \in L(X, Z)$, $\|ST\| \leq \|S\| \cdot \|T\|$

Application 1:

Suppose $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $L(X, Y)$

$S_n \rightarrow S$ in $L(Y, Z)$

Then $S_n T_n \rightarrow ST$ in $L(X, Z)$.

Proof:

$$\|S_n T_n - ST\| \stackrel{\Delta}{\leq} \|S_n T_n - S_n T\| + \|S_n T - ST\|$$

$$= \|S_n (T_n - T)\|$$

$$+ \|(S_n - S)T\|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\|S_n\|}_{\leq C} \cdot \underbrace{\|T_n - T\|}_{\rightarrow 0}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\|S_n - S\|}_{\rightarrow 0} \cdot \underbrace{\|T\|}_{\text{fixed}}$$

$\rightarrow 0$
where $C = \sup \|S_n\| < \infty$ as convergent sequences are bounded.

Application 2: $\exp(T)$, $T \in L(X)$

Let X Banach, $T \in L(X)$

Then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^j}{j!}$ converges

in $L(X)$, define

$$\exp(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^j}{j!} \in L(X).$$

Proof: As X is Banach also

$L(X)$ is Banach.

Furthermore $\left\| \frac{T^j}{j!} \right\| \leq \frac{\|T\|^j}{j!}$

so as $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|T\|^j}{j!} = \exp(\|T\|) < \infty$

by comparison we know that

$$\sum \frac{T^j}{j!} \text{ converges absolutely}$$

so $\sum \frac{T^j}{j!}$ converges in Banach space $L(X)$. $\square$

Important application: Neumann series:

Lemma II.5 (Neumann series)

Let X Banach space, $T \in L(X)$,
assume $\|T\| < 1$.

Then $\text{Id}_X - T$ is invertible,
and $(\text{Id} - T)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T^j \in L(X)$.

Def.: $S \in L(X)$ is invertible if
 $\exists T \in L(X)$ s.t. $ST = TS = \text{Id}_X$.

Γ S bijective, linear $\nRightarrow S$ invertible

$\Downarrow$

$\exists$ "algebraic inverse" $T: X \rightarrow X$
s.t. T linear $ST = TS = \text{Id}$

If this T is also a bounded lin. operator then S is invertible

Proof: As X Banach also $L(X)$ Banach.

As $\|T\| < 1$ we know that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|T^j\| < \infty \quad \text{since } \|T^j\| \leq \|T\|^j$$

Hence by Lemma I.4,

$$S_n := \sum_{j=0}^n T^j \text{ converges in } L(X),$$

say $S_n \rightarrow S$ in $L(X)$

Note: $S_n \circ (\text{Id} - T) = \text{Id} - T + T - \dots - T^{n+1}$
 $= \text{Id} - T^{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Id}$

$$\text{as } \|T^{n+1}\| \leq \|T\|^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

As $S_n \rightarrow S$ also

$$S_n (Id - T) \rightarrow S (Id - T)$$

$$\text{so } S (Id - T) = Id.$$

$$\text{Similarly } (Id - T) S = Id$$

$$\text{so } \boxed{(Id - S)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T^j} \quad \square$$

Cor. II.6:

Let

- X Banach
- $T \in L(X)$ invertible

- $S \in L(X)$ s.t.

$$\|S\| < \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$$

Then $T - S$ is invertible.

Remark:

This implies that

$$GL(X) := \{T \in L(X) : \text{invertible}\}$$

is open subset of $L(X)$.

$$\uparrow GL(X)$$

Given T take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$

$$\text{get } B_\varepsilon(T) \subset GL(X) \quad \sqcap$$

Proof of cor:

Use: Composition of invertible operators is invertible ($\rightarrow$ p.s. 2)

$$T - S = \underbrace{T}_{\text{inv.}} (Id - \underbrace{T^{-1}S})$$

$$\underbrace{\|T^{-1}S\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|S\| < 1}_{\text{inv. by Lemma II.5}}$$

$\square$

Ex 5 Integral operator on
 $X = C(I, \mathbb{R}), I \subset \mathbb{R}$
compact interval

Given: $k: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous

we define

$$T: f \mapsto Tf$$

$$(Tf)(t) := \int_I k(t,s) f(s) ds$$

Note:

- $Tf: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well defined function as $s \mapsto k(t,s)f(s)$ continuous $\forall t$ so $\int$ is well defined.

- As I compact, also $I \times I$ compact so $|k|$ is bounded on $I \times I$

$$\|k\|_{\sup} = \sup_{I \times I} |k| < \infty.$$

We can bound $\forall (t,s) \in I \times I$

$$|k(t,s) f(s)| \leq \underbrace{\|k\|_{\sup}}_{\text{over } I \times I} \cdot \underbrace{\|f\|_{\sup}}_{\text{over } I}$$

and hence

$$|(Tf)(t)| \leq \underbrace{(|I| \cdot \|k\|_{\sup})}_M \cdot \|f\|_{\sup}$$

ie. Tf is bounded with

$$\|Tf\|_{\sup} \leq M \cdot \|f\|_{\sup}$$

! Not enough to say $T \in L(X)$

Remains to show:

Claim: $\forall f \in C^0(I)$ also

$Tf : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous

Proof: Let $t_0 \in I$, t_n any sequence in I s.t. $t_n \rightarrow t_0$

Need to show

$$(Tf)(t_n) \rightarrow (Tf)(t_0).$$

$$\text{Let } g_n(s) := k(t_n, s) \cdot f(s)$$

$$g(s) := k(t_0, s) f(s)$$

Note: As k is continuous we have $g_n(s) \rightarrow g(s) \forall s \in I$

$$\text{also } |g_n(s)| \leq \|k\|_{\sup} \cdot \|f\|_{\sup} \in L^1(I)$$

as I bounded

So by DCT from integration we get

$$(Tf)(t_n) = \int_I g_n(s) ds \rightarrow \int_I g(s) ds = (Tf)(t_0)$$

$\square$ claim.

$$\text{So } T \in L(X)$$

$$\text{with } \|T\| \leq |I| \cdot \|k\|_{\sup}$$

$\square$