

VIII. 2 Spectrum

and resolvent set

For rest of the chapter:

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a

$\mathbb{C}$ -normed space.

Let $T \in L(X) = L(X, X)$

Def. 15:

The spectrum of T

$$:= \sigma(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{Id} \text{ NOT invertible} \}$$

$\rho(T)$ = resolvent set

$$= \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$$

$$= \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{Id} \text{ is invertible} \}$$

For $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, define resolvent operator

$$R_\lambda(T) = (T - \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \in L(X).$$

Note:

$\lambda \in \sigma(T) \Leftrightarrow$ we resolve
eq

$$Tx - \lambda x = b$$

uniquely for every
 $b \in X$ and map

$b \mapsto$ solution

ie. $b \mapsto R_\lambda(T)(b)$
is continuous.

Recall:

$T - \lambda Id$ invertible

$\Leftrightarrow T - \lambda Id$ biject.

& (*) holds

(*) $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$\delta \|x\| \leq \|Tx - \lambda x\|.$$

So $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ can have
different reasons,

- $T - \lambda Id$ can fail to be injective
- $T - \lambda Id$ fails (*)
- $T - \lambda Id$ can fail to be
surjective while still satisfying (*)

Def 16: Let $T \in L(X)$

- $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue if

$$\exists x \in X \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } Tx = \lambda x$$

- point spectrum of T

$$\sigma_p(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \text{ eigenvalue} \}$$

- $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an approximate eigenvalue

if $\exists (x_n) \subset X$ s.t. $x_n \neq 0$

$$\text{and } \frac{\|Tx_n - \lambda x_n\|}{\|x_n\|} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

- Approximate point spectrum

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \text{ approx eigenvalue} \}$$

Remark (off-syl. $\rightarrow$ B4.2)

Often one splits $\sigma(T)$ into

- $\sigma_p(T)$

- continuous spectrum

$$\sigma_c(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda Id \text{ injective, } (T - \lambda Id)(X) \subset X \text{ dense} \}$$

- residual spectrum

$$\sigma_R(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus (\sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_c(T))$$

Recall : Lemma II.5 / Cor II.6

Let X Banach, $T_0 \in L(X)$
invertible, $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\|T_0^{-1}\|} > 0$.

Then $\forall S \in L(X)$ with

$\|S\| < \varepsilon$ we have

$T_0 - S$ is invertible

and

$$\begin{aligned}(T_0 - S)^{-1} &= (Id - T_0^{-1}S)^{-1} T_0^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (T_0^{-1}S)^k T_0^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Applying this for

$$T_0 = T - \lambda_0 Id \text{ for } \lambda_0 \in \sigma(T)$$

and for

$$S = (\lambda - \lambda_0) Id,$$

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}(T)\|}$$

we get is that

$T - S = T - \lambda Id$ is invertible

so $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0) \subset \sigma(T)$

and

$$R_\lambda(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{\lambda_0}(T)^{k+1} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k$$

Note: For $|\lambda| > \|T\|$ have

$$\|R_\lambda(T)\| = \left\| -\lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-T}{\lambda}\right)^j \right\|$$

$$\leq |\lambda| \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|T\|}{|\lambda|}\right)^j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^j\| &\leq \|T\|^j \\ \|T^j\| &\leq \|T\|^j \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda| - \|T\|}$$

Remains to prove

Claim: $\sigma(T) \neq \emptyset$

Proof: By contradiction,
use Liouville's theorem from
 $\mathbb{C}$ -analysis.

Proof: Assume wrong,
in particular $\lambda = 0$ not in $\sigma(T)$.

Thus: $T \neq 0$

T^2 is invertible as T is
invertible.

so $T^{-2} \in L(X)$

so by Corollary of Hahn-Banach

$\exists f \in (L(X))^* \text{ s.t. } f(T^{-2}) \neq 0.$

Let $g(\lambda) := f(\underbrace{R_\lambda(T)}_{\in L(X)})$

$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

since $\sigma(T) = \emptyset$.

Thm. VIII.3: (Basic properties of spectrum).

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ $\mathbb{C}$ -Banach space.

Let $T \in L(X)$.

Then:

(i) $\sigma(T)$ is open and

$\sigma(T) \ni \lambda \mapsto R_\lambda(T) \in L(X)$

is analytic, i.e. $\forall \lambda_0 \in \sigma(T)$

$\exists$ nbhd U of λ_0

and $\exists A_j = A_j(\lambda_0, T) \in L(X)$

s.t.
$$R_\lambda(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j$$

(ii) $\sigma(T)$ is closed,

we have $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{B_{\|T\|}(0)}$
 $\subset \mathbb{C}$

and $\sigma(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof:

(i) done $U = B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$, $A_j = R_{\lambda_0}(T)^j$.

(ii) $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ closed
 $\uparrow$ open by (i)

• For $|\lambda| > \|T\|$

$$T - \lambda \text{Id} = \underbrace{(-\lambda)}_{\neq 0} \cdot \left(\text{Id} - \frac{-T}{\lambda} \right)$$

$\|-\| = \frac{\|T\|}{|\lambda|} < 1$

invert. by lemma II.5.

So $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

and $\forall \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ using the expansion on $U \ni \lambda_0$

$$R_\lambda(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j$$

and that f is continuous to get that on U

$$g(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(A_j) (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j.$$

So $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

As expansion around $\lambda_0 = 0$

has $A_1 = T^{-2}$ we get near $\lambda_0 = 0$

$$g(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1 \lambda + \sum_{j \geq 2} a_j \lambda^j$$

$f(T^{-2}) \neq 0$

so g is NOT constant.

BUT: g is bounded on $\mathbb{C}$ and hence by Liouville's thm $\searrow$ const. since

- g is bounded on $\overline{B_{2\|T\|}(0)} \subset \mathbb{C}$.
as g continuous $\nearrow$ compact.

- For $|\lambda| \geq 2\|T\| \xrightarrow{T \neq 0} \|T\|$

$$\|R_\lambda(T)\| \leq \frac{1}{\|T\|} \text{ so}$$

$$|g(\lambda)| = |f(R_\lambda(T))| \leq \|f\|_{(L(X))^*} \cdot \frac{1}{\|T\|}$$