

## 12. A formal system for Predicate Calculus

### 12.1 Definition

Associate to each first-order language  $\mathcal{L}$  the formal system  $K(\mathcal{L})$  with the following axioms and rules (for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $t \in \text{Term}(\mathcal{L})$ ):

#### Axioms

**A1**  $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha))$

**A2**  $((\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)))$

**A3**  $((\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta))$

**A4**  $(\forall x_i \alpha \rightarrow \alpha[t/x_i])$ , where  $t$  is free for  $x_i$  in  $\alpha$

**A5**  $(\forall x_i (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \forall x_i \beta))$ , provided that  $x_i \notin \text{Free}(\alpha)$

**A6**  $\forall x_i x_i \doteq x_i$

**A7**  $(x_i \doteq x_j \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi'))$ , where  $\phi$  is *atomic* and  $\phi'$  is obtained from  $\phi$  by replacing some (not necessarily all) occurrences of  $x_i$  in  $\phi$  by  $x_j$

## Rules

**MP (Modus Ponens)** From  $\alpha$  and  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  infer  $\beta$

**$\forall$  (Generalisation)** From  $\alpha$  infer  $\forall x_i \alpha$

**Thinning Rule** see 12.6

$\phi$  is a **theorem of  $K(\mathcal{L})$**  (write ' $\vdash \phi$ ') if there is a sequence (a **derivation**, or a **proof**)  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas with  $\phi_n = \phi$  such that each  $\phi_i$  either is an axiom or is obtained from earlier  $\phi_j$ 's by MP or  $\forall$ .

For  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\phi \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$  define similarly that  $\phi$  is **derivable in  $K(\mathcal{L})$  from the hypotheses  $\Gamma$**  (write ' $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ '), except that the  $\phi_i$ 's may now also be formulas from  $\Gamma$ , *but we make the restriction that  $\forall$  may only be used for variables  $x_i$  not occurring free in any formula in  $\Gamma$ .*

## 12.2 Soundness Theorem for Pred. Calc.

*If  $\Gamma \vdash \phi$  then  $\Gamma \models \phi$ .*

*Proof:* Induction on length of derivation

Clear that **A1**, **A2**, and **A3** are logically valid.  
So are **A4** and **A5** by Cor. 11.5 resp. Cor. 10.4.

Also **A6** is logically valid: easy exercise.

**A7:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and let  $v$  be any assignment in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that

$$\mathcal{A} \models x_i \doteq x_j[v] \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \models \phi[v].$$

We want to show that  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi'[v]$  (with  $\phi$  atomic).

Now  $v(x_i) = v(x_j)$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{v}(t') = \tilde{v}(t)$  for any term  $t'$  obtained from  $t$   
by replacing some of the  $x_i$  by  $x_j$   
(easy induction on terms)

If  $\phi$  is  $P(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  then  $\phi'$  is  $P(t'_1, \dots, t'_k)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \models \phi[v] & \text{ iff } P_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{v}(t_1), \dots, \tilde{v}(t_k)) \\ & \text{ iff } P_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{v}(t'_1), \dots, \tilde{v}(t'_k)) \\ & \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \models P(t'_1, \dots, t'_k)[v] \\ & \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \models \phi'[v] \text{ as required} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $\phi$  is  $t_1 \doteq t_2$ .

So now all axioms are logically valid.

**MP is sound:** for any  $\mathcal{A}, v$

$$\mathcal{A} \models \alpha[v] \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)[v] \text{ imply } \mathcal{A} \models \beta[v]$$

**Generalisation: IH** for any  $\mathcal{A}, v$

$$\text{if } \mathcal{A} \models \psi[v] \text{ for all } \psi \in \Gamma \text{ then } \mathcal{A} \models \alpha[v] \quad (\star)$$

*to show:*  $\mathcal{A} \models \forall x_i \alpha[v]$  for such  $\mathcal{A}, v$ .

So let  $v^*$  agree with  $v$  except possibly at  $x_i$ .

$x_i \notin \text{Free}(\psi)$  for any  $\psi \in \Gamma$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \models \psi[v^*]$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma$  (by Lemma 10.3)

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \models \alpha[v^*]$  (by  $(\star)$ )

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \models \forall x_i \alpha[v]$  as required. □

## 12.3 Deduction Theorem for Pred. Calc.

*If  $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash \phi$  then  $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ .*

*Proof:* same as for prop. calc. (Theorem 6.6) with one more step in the induction (on the length of the derivation).

**IH:**  $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \phi_j)$

*to show:*  $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \forall x_i \phi_j)$ ,

where generalisation ( $\forall$ ) has been used to infer  $\forall x_i \phi_j$  under the hypotheses  $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\}$

$\Rightarrow x_i \notin \text{Free}(\gamma)$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x_i \notin \text{Free}(\psi)$

$\Rightarrow$  by IH and  $\forall$ :  $\Gamma \vdash \forall x_i (\psi \rightarrow \phi_j)$

**A5**  $\vdash (\forall x_i (\psi \rightarrow \phi_j) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \forall x_i \phi_j))$ , since  $x_i \notin \text{Free}(\psi)$

$\Rightarrow$  by **MP**,  $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \forall x_i \phi_j)$  as required.

□

## 12.4 Tautologies

If  $A$  is a tautology of the *Propositional Calculus* with propositional variables among  $p_0, \dots, p_n$ , and if  $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$  are formulas of *Predicate Calculus*, then the formula  $A'$  obtained from  $A$  by replacing each  $p_i$  by  $\psi_i$  is a **tautology of  $\mathcal{L}$** :

Since **A1**, **A2**, **A3** and **MP** are in  $K(\mathcal{L})$ , one also has  $\vdash A'$  in  $K(\mathcal{L})$ .

May use the tautologies in derivations in  $K(\mathcal{L})$ .

## 12.5 Example Swapping variables

Suppose  $x_j$  does not occur in  $\phi$ .

Then  $\{\forall x_i \phi\} \vdash \forall x_j \phi[x_j/x_i]$

- |   |  |                |
|---|--|----------------|
| 1 | $\forall x_i \phi$                             | $[\in \Gamma]$ |
| 2 | $(\forall x_i \phi \rightarrow \phi[x_j/x_i])$ | $[A4]$         |
| 3 | $\phi[x_j/x_i]$                                | $[MP\ 1,2]$    |
| 4 | $\forall x_j \phi[x_j/x_i]$                    | $[\forall]$    |

where  $\forall$  may be applied in line 4, since  $x_j$  does not occur in  $\phi$ .

This proof would not work if

$\Gamma = \{\forall x_i \phi, x_j \doteq x_j\}$  (say). Hence need (besides **MP** and  $(\forall)$ )

## 12.6 Thinning Rule

*If  $\Gamma \vdash \phi$  and  $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma$  then  $\Gamma' \vdash \phi$ .*

## 12.7 Example

$$(\exists x_i \phi \rightarrow \psi) \vdash \forall x_i (\phi \rightarrow \psi),$$

where  $x_i \notin \text{Free}(\psi)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\Gamma = \{(\exists x_i \phi \rightarrow \psi), \neg\psi\}$

- |   |   |                    |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | $(\neg\forall x_i \neg\phi \rightarrow \psi)$   | $[\in \Gamma]$     |
| 2 | $((\neg\forall x_i \neg\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\neg\psi \rightarrow \forall x_i \neg\phi))$ | $[\text{taut.}]$   |
| 3 | $(\neg\psi \rightarrow \forall x_i \neg\phi)$   | $[\text{MP } 1,2]$ |
| 4 | $\neg\psi$  | $[\in \Gamma]$     |
| 5 | $\forall x_i \neg\phi$  | $[\text{MP } 3,4]$ |
| 6 | $(\forall x_i \neg\phi \rightarrow \neg\phi)$   | $[\text{A4}]$      |
| 7 | $\neg\phi$  | $[\text{MP } 5,6]$ |

Note that in line 6,  $x_i$  is free for  $x_i$  in  $\phi$ .

Hence  $\Gamma \vdash \neg\phi$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}(\exists x_i \phi \rightarrow \psi) &\vdash (\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\phi) && [\text{DT}] \\(\exists x_i \phi \rightarrow \psi) &\vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi) && [\text{A3, MP}] \\(\exists x_i \phi \rightarrow \psi) &\vdash \forall x_i (\phi \rightarrow \psi) && [\forall]\end{aligned}$$

□