

B8.2 Continuous Martingales and Stochastic Calculus

Itô's formula and its applications

Applications of Itô's formula

Samuel Cohen
Hilary Term 2021



Oxford
Mathematics

- ▶ As a first application, suppose that M is a continuous local martingale and A is a process of finite variation.
- ▶ Then $\langle M, A \rangle \equiv 0$ and applying Itô's formula with $X^1 = M$ and $X^2 = A$ yields

$$F(M_t, A_t) = F(M_0, A_0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial m}(M_s, A_s) dM_s \\ + \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial a}(M_s, A_s) dA_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial m^2}(M_s, A_s) d\langle M \rangle_s.$$

Note that this gives us the semimartingale decomposition of $F(M_t, A_t)$ and we can, for example, read off the conditions on F under which we recover a local martingale. (Even the fact that $F(M_t, A_t)$ is a semimartingale isn't obvious otherwise!)

In particular, taking $F(x, y) = \exp(\lambda x - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} y)$ with $X^1 = M$ and $X^2 = \langle M, M \rangle$, we obtain:

Proposition

Let M be a continuous local martingale and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_t := \exp\left(\lambda M_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \langle M \rangle_t\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

is a continuous local martingale. In fact the same holds true for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with the real and imaginary parts being local martingales.

Proof.

Let $F(x, y) = \exp\left(\lambda x - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}y\right)$. $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ so we may apply Itô's formula to $\mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_t = F(M_t, \langle M \rangle_t)$. Computing the partial derivatives and simplifying gives:

$$\mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_t = \mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_0 + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F^\lambda(M_s, \langle M \rangle_s) dM_s.$$



Note that we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x, y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ so we could have written this as

$$\mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_t = \mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_0 + \lambda \int_0^t \mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_s dM_s$$

or in ‘differential form’ as

$$d\mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_t = \lambda \mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)_t dM_t$$

which shows $\mathcal{E}^\lambda(M)$ solves the stochastic exponential differential equation driven by M : $dY_t = \lambda Y_t dM_t$.

Lévy's characterization

Here is a beautiful application of exponential martingales:

Theorem (Lévy's characterisation of Brownian motion)

Let M be a continuous local martingale starting at zero. Then M is a standard Brownian motion if and only if $\langle M \rangle_t = t$ a.s. for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof

The ‘only if’ direction is easy; we consider the ‘if’ direction. Suppose M is a continuous local martingale starting in zero with $\langle M \rangle_t = t$ a.s. for all $t \geq 0$. Then, by Proposition 1,

$$\exp\left(i\xi M_t + \frac{\xi^2}{2}t\right), \quad t \geq 0$$

is a local martingale for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and, since it is bounded, it is a martingale. Let $0 \leq s < t$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(i\xi M_t + \frac{\xi^2}{2}t\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] = \exp\left(i\xi M_s + \frac{\xi^2}{2}s\right)$$

which we can rewrite as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\xi(M_t - M_s)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] = e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}(t-s)}. \quad (2)$$

In other words, $M_t - M_s$ is centred Gaussian with (conditional) variance $t - s$.

It follows also from (2) that for $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[1_A e^{i\xi(M_t - M_s)} \right] = \mathbb{P}[A] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\xi(M_t - M_s)} \right],$$

so fixing $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ with $\mathbb{P}[A] > 0$ and writing $\mathbb{P}_A = \mathbb{P}[\cdot \cap A] / \mathbb{P}[A]$ (which is a probability measure on \mathcal{F}_s) for the conditional probability given A , we have that $M_t - M_s$ has the same distribution under \mathbb{P} as under \mathbb{P}_A .

Therefore, $M_t - M_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s and we have that M is an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -Brownian motion. □

- ▶ So the quadratic variation is capturing all the information about M .
- ▶ This is surprising – recall that it is a special property of Gaussians that they are characterised by their means and the variance-covariance matrix, but in general we need to know much more.
- ▶ It also shows we didn't really need the Gaussian assumption in our definition of Brownian motion, it's guaranteed by the independence and variance assumptions.

Dambis–Dubins–Schwarz Theorem

It turns out that what we just saw for Brownian motion has a powerful consequence for all continuous local martingales

- ▶ they are characterised by their quadratic variation and,
- ▶ in fact, they are all time changes of Brownian motion.

Theorem (Dambis–Dubins–Schwarz Theorem)

Let M be an $(\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ -continuous local martingale with $M_0 = 0$ and $\langle M \rangle_\infty = \infty$ a.s. Let $\tau_s := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \langle M \rangle_t > s\}$. Then the process B defined by $B_s := M_{\tau_s}$, is an $(\{\mathcal{F}_{\tau_s}\}_{s \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ -Brownian motion and $M_t = B_{\langle M \rangle_t}$, $\forall t \geq 0$ a.s.

Note that τ_s is the first hitting time of an open set (s, ∞) for an adapted process $\langle M \rangle$ with continuous sample paths, and hence τ_s is a stopping time (recall that $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous).

Further, $\langle M \rangle_\infty = \infty$ a.s. implies that $\tau_s < \infty$ a.s. The process $(\tau_s : s \geq 0)$ is non-decreasing and right-continuous (in fact $s \rightarrow \tau_s$ is the right-continuous inverse of $t \rightarrow \langle M \rangle_t$).

Let $\mathcal{G}_s := \mathcal{F}_{\tau_s}$. Note that it satisfies the usual conditions.

The process B is right continuous by continuity of M and right-continuity of τ . We have

$$\lim_{u \uparrow s} B_u = \lim_{u \uparrow s} M_{\tau_u} = M_{\tau_{s-}}.$$

But $[\tau_{s-}, \tau_s]$ is either a point or an interval of constancy of $\langle M \rangle$.

The latter are known (exercise) to coincide a.s. with the intervals of constancy of M and hence $M_{\tau_{s-}} = M_{\tau_s} = B_s$ so that B has a.s. continuous paths.

To conclude that B is a (\mathcal{G}_s) -Brownian motion, by Lévy's theorem, it remains to show that (B_s) and $(B_s^2 - s)$ are (\mathcal{G}_s) -local martingales.

Note that M^{τ_n} and $(M^{\tau_n})^2 - \langle M \rangle^{\tau_n}$ are uniformly integrable martingales. Taking $0 \leq u < s < n$ and applying the Optional Stopping Theorem we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s | \mathcal{G}_u] = \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_s}^{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_u}] = M_{\tau_u}^{\tau_n} = M_{\tau_u} = B_u$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[B_s^2 - s | \mathcal{G}_u] &= \mathbb{E}[(M_{\tau_s}^{\tau_n})^2 - \langle M \rangle_{\tau_s}^{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_u}] \\ &= (M_{\tau_u}^{\tau_n})^2 - \langle M \rangle_{\tau_u}^{\tau_n} = (M_{\tau_u})^2 - \langle M \rangle_{\tau_u} \\ &= B_u^2 - u, \end{aligned}$$

where we used continuity of $\langle M \rangle$ to write $\langle M \rangle_{\tau_u} = u$. It follows that B is indeed a (\mathcal{G}_s) -Brownian motion.

Finally, $B_{\langle M \rangle_t} = M_{\tau_{\langle M \rangle_t}} = M_t$, again since the intervals of constancy of M and of $\langle M \rangle$ coincide a.s. so that $s \rightarrow \tau_s$ is constant on $[t, \tau_{\langle M \rangle_t}]$. □