

## B8.2 Continuous Martingales and Stochastic Calculus

Stochastic Integration

# Intrinsic characterisation of stochastic integrals

Samuel Cohen  
Hilary Term 2021



Oxford  
Mathematics

- ▶ We can also characterise the Itô integral in a more abstract way.
- ▶ This is less intuitive than the last method (as it's not constructive), but gives a particularly useful way to analyse the properties of the integral.

## Theorem

Let  $M \in \mathcal{H}^{2,c}$ . For any  $K \in L^2(M)$  there exists a unique element in  $\mathcal{H}_0^{2,c}$ , denoted  $K \bullet M$ , such that

$$\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle = K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle, \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{H}^{2,c}. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore,  $\|K \bullet M\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,c}} = \|K\|_{L^2(M)}$  and the map

$$\begin{aligned} K &\mapsto K \bullet M \\ L^2(M) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^{2,c} \end{aligned}$$

is a linear isometry.

We first check uniqueness. Suppose that there are two such elements,  $X$  and  $X'$ . Then

$$\langle X, N \rangle - \langle X', N \rangle = \langle X - X', N \rangle \equiv 0, \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{H}^{2,c}.$$

Taking  $N = X - X'$ , as the only martingale with zero quadratic variation is identically zero, we conclude that  $X = X'$ .

Now let us verify  $\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle = K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle$  for the Itô integral.

Fix  $N \in \mathcal{H}^{2,c}$ . First note that for  $K \in L^2(M)$  the Kunita–Watanabe inequality shows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty |K_s| |d\langle M, N \rangle_s| \right] \leq \|K\|_{L^2(M)} \|N\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,c}} < \infty$$

and thus the variable

$$\int_0^\infty K_s d\langle M, N \rangle_s = \left( K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle \right)_\infty$$

is well defined and in  $L^1$ .

If  $K$  is an elementary process, writing  $K = \sum K^{(i)} 1_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}$ , and  $M_s^i = K^{(i)}(M_{s \wedge t_{i+1}} - M_{s \wedge t_i})$  we have

$$\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^m \langle M^i, N \rangle$$

and

$$\langle M^i, N \rangle_t = K^{(i)} \left( \langle M, N \rangle_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - \langle M, N \rangle_{t_i \wedge t} \right),$$

so

$$\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle_t = \sum K^{(i)} \left( \langle M, N \rangle_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - \langle M, N \rangle_{t_i \wedge t} \right) = \int_0^t K_s d\langle M, N \rangle_s.$$

Now observe that the mapping  $X \mapsto \langle X, N \rangle_\infty$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{H}^{2,c}$  into  $L^1$ . Indeed, by Kunita–Watanabe

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |\langle X, N \rangle| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle X, X \rangle_\infty \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle N, N \rangle_\infty \right]^{1/2} = \|N\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,c}} \|X\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,c}}.$$

So if  $K^n$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $K^n \rightarrow K$  in  $L^2(M)$ ,

$$\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle K^n \bullet M, N \rangle_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( K^n \cdot \langle M, N \rangle \right)_\infty = \left( K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle \right)_\infty,$$

where the convergence is in  $L^1$  and the last equality is again a consequence of Kunita–Watanabe by writing

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_0^\infty (K_s^n - K_s) d\langle M, N \rangle_s \right| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle N, N \rangle_\infty \right]^{1/2} \|K^n - K\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

We have thus obtained

$$\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle_{\infty} = \left( K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle \right)_{\infty},$$

but replacing  $N$  by the stopped martingale  $N^t$  in this identity also gives

$$\langle K \bullet M, N \rangle_t = \left( K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle \right)_t.$$

We have therefore shown that this property uniquely identifies the integral.

That it is linear (in the integrand) and an isometry (from  $L^2(M)$  to  $\mathcal{H}^2$ ) comes from the earlier construction that we gave.  $\square$