B4.4 Fourier Analysis HT21

Lecture 10: The Paley-Wiener theorem for compactly supported test functions

- 1. The Fourier transform of a compactly supported test function
- 2. The Fourier-Laplace transform
- 3. The Paley-Wiener theorem for test functions
- 4. An example

The material corresponds to pp. 38-41 in the lecture notes and should be covered in Week 5.

What is the Fourier transform of $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$?

When $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\phi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi \cdot x} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

is a Schwartz test function, but does it have other additional properties that reflect it has compact support? The Paley-Wiener theorem we discuss and prove in this lecture characterizes the Fourier transforms of functions from $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The starting point is the observation that the function

$$x \mapsto \phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot x}$$

remains integrable over $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ when $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Note that this is clear exactly because ϕ has compact support.

Definition The Fourier-Laplace transform of $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is

$$\widehat{\phi}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot x} \, \mathrm{d}x, \, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Note that the Fourier-Laplace transform is denoted by the same symbol as the Fourier transform and that it will be clear from context in which capacity we consider $\hat{\phi}$.

Write
$$\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$$
 as $\zeta = \xi + \mathrm{i}\eta$ with ξ , $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the function

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n} \ni (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \widehat{\phi}(\xi + \mathrm{i}\eta)$$

A standard application of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem shows that $\hat{\phi}$ is C¹ and its partial derivatives can be computed by differentiation behind the integral sign.

Denote $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Then we can check the Cauchy-Riemann equation in the variables ζ_j :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\zeta_j}}\widehat{\phi}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\zeta_j}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot x} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathbb{C} \ni \zeta_j \mapsto \widehat{\phi}(\zeta)$ is holomorphic, where the remaining variables ζ_k for $k \neq j$ are kept fixed. The function $\widehat{\phi}(\zeta)$ is therefore separately holomorphic in the variables $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ and we refer to such functions as simply holomorphic (or entire) functions on \mathbb{C}^n . We can quantify the fact that the support of ϕ is compact as follows. Take R > 0 so ϕ is supported in $\overline{B_R(0)}$. Then

$$\left|\widehat{\phi}(\zeta)\right| \leq \int_{B_{R}(0)} |\phi(x)| \mathrm{e}^{\eta \cdot x} \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \|\phi\|_{1} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. So the size of the ball centered at 0 containing the support is giving a bound on the growth of the Fourier-Laplace transform.

Lecture 10 (B4.4)

We can improve on this by a calculation similar to the proof for the differentiation rule: let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and calculate using integration by parts to get

$$\widehat{\partial^{\alpha}\phi}(\zeta) = (\mathrm{i}\zeta)^{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta \cdot x} \, \mathrm{d}x = (\mathrm{i}\zeta)^{\alpha} \widehat{\phi}(\zeta)$$

and so

$$|\zeta^{\alpha}| | \widehat{\phi}(\zeta) | = | \widehat{\partial^{\alpha} \phi}(\zeta) | \le ||\partial^{\alpha} \phi||_{1} e^{R|\eta|}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We combine this estimate with the following bound (a consequence of the bound (1) derived in lecture 9):

$$(1+|\zeta|^2)^m \leq (2n)^{m-1}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} |\zeta^{\alpha}|^2$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we write $|\zeta| = \sqrt{\zeta \cdot \zeta} = \sqrt{|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2}$ and

$$|\zeta^{\alpha}|^{2} = \left|\prod_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\right|^{2} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\zeta_{j}|^{2\alpha_{j}}.$$

Combination of the bounds yields:

$$\begin{aligned} (1+|\zeta|^2)^m \big| \widehat{\phi}(\zeta) \big|^2 &\leq (2n)^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \big| \zeta^\alpha \widehat{\phi}(\zeta) \big|^2 \\ &\leq (2n)^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \| \partial^\alpha \phi \|_1^2 e^{2R|\eta|} \end{aligned}$$

and so, taking square roots, we arrive at

$$\left(1+|\zeta|^2\right)^{rac{m}{2}}\left|\widehat{\phi}(\zeta)
ight|\leq c\mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $c = c(n, m, \phi) \ge 0$ is a constant. By inspection it follows that we can take

$$c = (2n)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{\mathsf{W}^{m,1}}.$$

The Paley-Wiener theorem for test functions

Theorem (1) If $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has support in the closed ball $\overline{B_R(0)}$, then the Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi}$ admits an extension to \mathbb{C}^n as an entire function (denoted $\widehat{\phi}(\zeta)$ and called the Fourier-Laplace transform of ϕ) satisfying the boundedness condition: for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $c_m = c_m(n, \phi) \ge 0$ such that

$$\left|\widehat{\phi}(\zeta)\right| \le c_m (1+|\zeta|^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|} \tag{1}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. (2) If $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function satisfying the boundedness condition (1) for some $R \ge 0$, then there exists (a unique) $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in $\overline{B_R(0)}$ such that $\Phi = \widehat{\phi}$.

We have established the first part (1) and we turn to (2).

We focus on the case n = 1. [The proof of (2) for n > 1 is not examinable]

Assume $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function satisfying the boundedness condition (1): there exists an $R \ge 0$ with the property that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $c_m \ge 0$ such that

$$\left|\Phi(\zeta)\right| \leq c_m (1+|\zeta|^2)^{-rac{m}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta|}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $\varphi := \Phi|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Our first aim is to prove that $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ because then we can use the Fourier inversion formula in \mathscr{S} to say that φ is the Fourier transform of a Schwartz test function. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We must show that

$$S_{k,m}(\varphi) = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \xi^k \varphi^{(m)}(\xi) \right|$$

is finite.

Since Φ is holomorphic we have that $\varphi^{(m)}(\xi) = \Phi^{(m)}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where the derivative on the right-hand side is the *m*-th complex derivative. We have a growth condition on Φ and use the Cauchy integral formula to get bounds on its derivatives:

$$\Phi^{(m)}(\zeta) = \frac{m!}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|z-\zeta|=1} \frac{\Phi(z)}{(z-\zeta)^{m+1}} \,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Indeed in combination with the estimation lemma we find

$$\left|\Phi^{(m)}(\zeta)\right| \leq m! \max_{z \in \partial B_1(\zeta)} \left|\Phi(z)\right|.$$

These inequalities are sometimes called Cauchy inequalities.

We now invoke the growth condition satisfied by Φ and corresponding to $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we find $c_k \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Phi(z)| \leq c_k \left(1+|z|^2\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|y|}$$

holds for all $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$.

If $\zeta = \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|z - \xi| = 1$, then $|y| \le 1$ and $|z| \ge \left| |\xi| - 1 \right|$, hence

$$egin{aligned} &ertarphi^{(m)}(\xi) ig| = ig| \Phi^{(m)}(\xi) ig| &\leq m! \max_{z \in \partial B_1(\xi)} ig| \Phi(z) ig| \ &\leq m! \max_{z \in \partial B_1(\xi)} ig(c_k ig(1+|z|^2)^{-rac{k}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R|y|} ig) \ &\leq c_k m! ig(1+(|\xi|-1)^2)^{-rac{k}{2}} \mathrm{e}^R \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\xi^{k}\varphi^{(m)}(\xi)\right| &\leq c_{k}m! \left(\frac{\xi^{2}}{1+(|\xi|-1)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{R} \\ &\leq 2^{k}c_{k}m! \mathrm{e}^{R} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus $S_{k,m}(\varphi) < \infty$. Because $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ were arbitrary it follows that $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

We can now use the Fourier inversion formula in \mathscr{S} and find $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi = \widehat{\phi}$. Indeed, the function

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x\xi} \,\mathrm{d}\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x\xi} \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,, \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$

will do the job!

Lecture 10 (B4.4)

A key trick that we will use now is that in the formula for $\phi(x)$ we can deform the integration contour using Cauchy's theorem.

We start by noting that for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $\zeta \mapsto \Phi(\zeta)e^{ix\zeta}$ is entire so for r > 0 and $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we have by Cauchy's theorem

$$\int_{\Gamma_r} \Phi(\zeta) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x \zeta} \, \mathrm{d} \zeta = 0$$

where Γ_r is the rectangular contour traversed anti-clockwise and with vertices $\pm r$, $\pm r + i\eta$.

We seek to pass to the limit $r \to \infty$ and in order to estimate the integrals over the two vertical sides we invoke the boundedness property with k = 2. Hereby we find a constant $c = c_2 \ge 0$ such that

$$\left|\Phi(\zeta)\right| \le \frac{c}{1+|\zeta|^2} e^{R|\eta|} \tag{2}$$

holds for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Using the bound (2) and the estimation lemma it is easy to show that the integrals over the two vertical sides vanish in the limit $r \to \infty$:

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_0^1 \Phi\big(\pm r + \mathrm{i}\eta t\big) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x(\pm r + \mathrm{i}\eta t)} \mathrm{i}\eta \,\mathrm{d}t \right| &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{c}{1 + |\pm r + \mathrm{i}\eta t|^2} \mathrm{e}^{R|\eta| - x\eta t} |\eta| \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq \frac{c|\eta| \mathrm{e}^{(R+|x|)|\eta|}}{1 + r^2} \to 0. \end{split}$$

Consequently we get

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\eta} \Phi(\zeta) e^{ix\zeta} d\zeta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall use this formula with the freedom in the choice of η to show that ϕ is supported in [-R, R].

The Paley-Wiener theorem for test functions-proof of (2) We estimate for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\mathbf{x})| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Phi(\xi + i\eta) e^{i\mathbf{x}(\xi + i\eta)} \right| d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{1 + \xi^2 + \eta^2} e^{(R - |\mathbf{x}|)|\eta|} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2} e^{(R - |\mathbf{x}|)|\eta|} \end{aligned}$$

If we take |x| > R, then we get as $\eta \to \infty$ that $\phi(x) = 0$, that is, ϕ is supported in [-R, R].

Example: The Fourier transform of a distribution supported in $\{0\}$

Assume $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is supported in $\{0\}$. By a result from B4.3 we have that

 $u \in \operatorname{span} \{ \partial^{\alpha} \delta_{0} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n} \},\$

that is, for some $d\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $c_lpha\in\mathbb{C}$ we have

$$u = \sum_{|lpha| \leq d} c_{lpha} \partial^{lpha} \delta_0.$$

Now $\widehat{\delta_0} = 1$ and so by the differentiation rule

$$\widehat{u} = \sum_{|lpha| \leq d} c_{lpha} (\mathrm{i}\xi)^{lpha} =: p(\xi),$$

a polynomial. By the Fourier inversion formula we see that *any* polynomial is the Fourier transform of a distribution supported in $\{0\}$.

Example: The Fourier transform of a distribution supported in $\{0\}$

When *u* has Fourier transform $\hat{u} = p$, then it clearly admits an extension as an entire function on \mathbb{C}^n . Furthermore, with $c = \max_{|\alpha| \le d} |c_{\alpha}|$, we have

$$\left|\widehat{u}(\zeta)\right| \le c \left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \tag{3}$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

In fact, the converse is also true: Assume $\Phi \colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function satisfying (3) (so is of polynomial growth). Then by Liouville's theorem Φ is a polynomial of degree at most d and using the Fourier inversion formula in \mathscr{S}' there exists $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in $\{0\}$ and such that $\hat{u} = \Phi$.

The Paley-Wiener theorem we discuss in the next lecture will address the situation when the distribution is supported in the ball $\overline{B_R(0)}$.