

## B4.4      Fourier Analysis      HT21

### Lecture 14: Periodic distributions and the Poisson summation formula

1. Examples and the periodisation of a test function
2. Periodic distributions are tempered
3. The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution
4. The Poisson summation formula

The material corresponds to pp. 48–53 in the lecture notes and should be covered in Week 7.

## Periodic distributions

**Definition** Let  $t > 0$ . A distribution  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is  $t$ -periodic (or periodic with period  $t$ ) if

$$\tau_t u = u.$$

**Example 1** Let  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $u$  is  $t$ -periodic if  $u(x+t) = u(x)$  almost everywhere. It follows from the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations that  $u$  is  $t$ -periodic if and only if  $u$  is  $t$ -periodic as a distribution.

**Example 2** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  and  $t > 0$ . Then  $u$  is  $t$ -periodic if and only if the dilated distribution

$$d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} u$$

is  $2\pi$ -periodic. Indeed, this is a consequence of the identity

$$\tau_{2\pi} d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} u - d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} u = d_{\frac{t}{2\pi}} (\tau_{2\pi} u - u).$$

Verify this as an exercise.

## Periodic distributions

Intuitively a  $t$ -periodic distribution is fully determined if we know it on any interval of length  $t$ . This is clear for regular distributions. It is a little vague and unclear how this should be understood for general distributions. We assert that *if  $(a, b)$  is an interval of length  $b - a > t$ , then if we know that  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is  $t$ -periodic and know the values  $\langle u, \phi \rangle$  for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ , then we know  $u$ .*

Given any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  with support contained in an interval  $[c, d]$ . Cover this compact interval with sets from the open cover

$$\{(a + nt, b + nt) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Use a smooth partition of unity for  $[c, d]$  that is subordinated this cover (recall we constructed these in [B4.3](#)), say

$$[c, d] \subset \bigcup_{j=k}^l (a + jt, b + jt), \psi_j \in \mathcal{D}(a + jt, b + jt) \text{ and } \sum_{j=k}^l \psi_j = 1 \text{ on } [c, d].$$

## Periodic distributions

Now because  $u$  is  $t$ -periodic we have

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=k}^l \langle u, \psi_j \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=k}^l \langle u, \tau_{-jt}(\psi_j \phi) \rangle$$

and since  $\tau_{-jt}(\psi_j \phi) \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$  for each  $j$  the value of  $u$  at  $\phi$  is determined.

In the sequel we shall mainly consider  $2\pi$ -periodic and 1-periodic distributions. As we have seen above this is not really restrictive as any period  $t > 0$  can be obtained by dilation from, say, the  $2\pi$ -periodic case.

**Example 3** Use the Fourier bounds to show that

$$u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{inx}$$

is a tempered  $2\pi$ -periodic distribution. [See details in the lecture notes]

## The periodisation of a test function

**Definition** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then *the periodisation of  $\phi$*  is defined for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  as

$$(P\phi)(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x + 2\pi n) \left( := \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ l \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{n=-k}^{n=l} \phi(x + 2\pi n) \right).$$

We assert that  $P\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^\infty$  function. Hereby  $P: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a linear map (valued in  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^\infty$  functions). First note that if  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , then the series becomes a finite sum and it is then clear that  $P\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and also that it is  $2\pi$ -periodic. In the general case  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  the series defining  $P\phi(x)$  is a genuine series and we must present a proof for our assertion:

Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we estimate

$$|\phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi n)| = \frac{1 + (x + 2\pi n)^2}{1 + (x + 2\pi n)^2} |\phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi n)| \leq \frac{2\bar{S}_{2,s}(\phi)}{1 + (x + 2\pi n)^2}$$

## The periodisation of a test function

Consequently, given  $r > 0$ , we have for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $|x| \leq r < |k|$  that

$$|\phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi k)| \leq \frac{2\bar{S}_{2,s}(\phi)}{1 + (2\pi|k| - r)^2}$$

and since

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, |n| > r} \frac{2\bar{S}_{2,s}(\phi)}{1 + (2\pi|n| - r)^2} < \infty$$

we infer from Weierstrass' M-test that the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{(s)}(x + 2\pi n)$  converges uniformly in  $x \in [-r, r]$ . Because  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $r > 0$  were arbitrary we deduce that  $P\phi$  is  $C^\infty$  and that the series, together with the term-by-term differentiated series, converge locally uniformly in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, it is clear that  $P\phi$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic.

## The periodisation of a test function

**Example 4** Show that if  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\sum_{n=-k}^{n=l} \psi(x) \phi(x + 2\pi n) \rightarrow \psi(x) (P\phi)(x) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$$

as  $k, l \rightarrow \infty$ .

Put  $Z_{k,l}(x) = \sum_{n=-k}^{n=l} \phi(x + 2\pi n)$ . Then we have just shown that  $Z_{k,l}^{(s)}(x) \rightarrow (P\phi)^{(s)}(x)$  locally uniformly in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$  as  $k, l \rightarrow \infty$ . Because  $\text{supp}(\psi Z_{k,l}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\psi)$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  and by Leibniz' rule

$$\frac{d^s}{dx^s} \left( \psi Z_{k,l} \right) \rightarrow \frac{d^s}{dx^s} \left( \psi P\phi \right) \text{ uniformly}$$

as  $k, l \rightarrow \infty$ , the result follows.

## Periodic distributions are tempered

**Lemma** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic. Then  $u$  is  $\mathcal{S}$  continuous and hence extends to  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  as a tempered distribution. (We also write  $u$  for this extension that necessarily must be unique.)

*Proof.* Put  $\chi = \rho * \mathbf{1}_{(-1, 2\pi+1]}$ , where as usual  $\rho$  is the standard mollifier kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly,  $\mathbf{1}_{(0, 2\pi]} \leq \chi \leq \mathbf{1}_{(-2, 2\pi+2]}$ . The periodisation  $P\chi$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^\infty$  function, and we must have  $P\chi \geq 1$  everywhere, so that the function

$$\psi = \frac{\chi}{P\chi}$$

is well-defined and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  and it has periodisation

$$P\psi = 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

We use this to give a formula for the extension of  $u$  to  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Periodic distributions are tempered—proof

For  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  we calculate:

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \phi P \Psi \rangle = \left\langle u, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi \tau_{2\pi n} \Psi \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{Example 4}}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u, \phi \tau_{2\pi n} \Psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u, \tau_{2\pi n} (\Psi \tau_{-2\pi n} \phi) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u, \Psi \tau_{-2\pi n} \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{Example 4}}{=} \left\langle u, \Psi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_{-2\pi n} \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \langle u, \Psi P \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## Periodic distributions are tempered—proof

Let  $K = [-2, 2\pi + 2]$ . By the boundedness property of  $u$  we can find constants  $c = c_K \geq 0$ ,  $m = m_K \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| \leq c \sum_{s=0}^m \sup |\varphi^{(s)}|$$

holds for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ . Using the previous identity and this bound with  $\varphi = \Psi P\phi$  we find:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, \phi \rangle| &= |\langle u, \Psi P\phi \rangle| \\ &\leq c \sum_{s=0}^m \sup |(\Psi P\phi)^{(s)}| \\ &\stackrel{\text{Leibniz}}{\leq} c C(\Psi, m) \overline{S}_{0,m}(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C(\Psi, m) = 3(m+1)2^m \overline{S}_{0,m}(\Psi)$ . □

## The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

Assume  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is  $t$ -periodic. Then as we just saw,  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  (abuse of notation...) and for some constants  $c \geq 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \leq c \bar{S}_{0,m}(\phi) \quad (1)$$

holds for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Its Fourier transform  $\hat{u}$  is defined as a tempered distribution. Is there something special about it?

Obviously, we can Fourier transform the identity  $\tau_t u = u$  using the translation rule to get

$$e^{it\xi} \hat{u} = \hat{u}.$$

By the Fourier inversion formula any  $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying this equation, that is,

$$e^{it\xi} v = v, \quad (2)$$

is the Fourier transform of a  $t$ -periodic distribution. Furthermore, from  $(e^{it\xi} - 1)v = 0$  we get that  $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq \frac{2\pi}{t}\mathbb{Z}$ .

## The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

We used the following result:

**Exercise** If  $\nu \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a moderate  $C^\infty$  function, then a *necessary* condition for

$$\Phi \nu = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

to hold is that  $\text{supp}(\nu) \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \Phi(x) = 0\}$ . Prove it. Prove also that the condition is *not* sufficient.

Now consider  $\nu$  restricted to the interval  $(-\frac{2\pi}{t}, \frac{2\pi}{t})$ . It is supported in  $\{0\}$  and so by a result from [B4.3](#) it follows that the restriction has the form

$$\nu|_{(-\frac{2\pi}{t}, \frac{2\pi}{t})} = \sum_{s=0}^m a_s \delta_0^{(s)}$$

for some constants  $a_s \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Inspection shows that  $\delta_0$  satisfies (2). To see that the derivatives of  $\delta_0$  do not, and so that  $m = 0$  above, we construct suitable test functions.

## The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and define

$$\varphi_k(x) = \frac{x^k}{k!} (\rho_\varepsilon * \mathbf{1}_{(-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)})(x)$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  so small that it is supported in  $(-\frac{2\pi}{t}, \frac{2\pi}{t})$ . We then have

$$\left\langle (e^{it\xi} - 1) \sum_{s=0}^m a_s \delta_0^{(s)}, \varphi_{m-1} \right\rangle = m a_m (-1)^m i t$$

and so (2) forces  $a_m = 0$ . Similarly,  $a_{m-1} = a_{m-2} = \dots = a_1 = 0$ . We argue similarly at the other points of  $\frac{2\pi}{t}\mathbb{Z}$  and so conclude that

$$v = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \delta_{\frac{2\pi}{t}k} \tag{3}$$

for some constants  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . The doubly infinite sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  cannot be arbitrary because the distribution (3) is tempered.

## The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

If we employ the Fourier inversion formula on the identity (3), assuming as we may that  $v = \widehat{u}$ , we find that

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{i \frac{2\pi}{t} kx} \quad (4)$$

It follows that any  $t$ -periodic distribution admits an expansion of the form (4) for suitable coefficients  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . In order to see what condition the doubly infinite sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  must satisfy we return to the boundedness condition (1) for  $u$  and combine it with the Fourier bounds to get

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \phi\left(\frac{2\pi}{t} k\right) \right| \leq C \bar{S}_{m+2,0}(\phi)$$

for  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $C \geq 0$  is a constant. We now construct suitable test functions to extract the information.

## The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution

For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2t})$  define

$$\phi_j(x) = \frac{\overline{c_j}}{1 + |c_j|} |j|^{-m-2} (\rho_\varepsilon * \mathbf{1}_{(\frac{2\pi}{t}j - 2\varepsilon, \frac{2\pi}{t}j + 2\varepsilon)})(x).$$

Then  $\phi_j$  and  $\overline{S}_{m+2,0}(\phi_j) \leq (\frac{3\pi}{t})^{m+2}$ , hence

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \phi_j\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}k\right) \right| = \frac{|c_j|^2}{1 + |c_j|} |j|^{-m-2} \leq C \left(\frac{3\pi}{t}\right)^{m+2}$$

holds for all  $j \neq 0$ . The doubly infinite sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  therefore satisfies

$$|c_k| \leq C(1 + k^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \quad (5)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $C \geq 0$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are constants. Such sequences are said to be of *moderate growth*. In turn, if a doubly infinite sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is of moderate growth, then (3) and (4) define tempered distributions.

# The Fourier transform of a periodic distribution—summary

We have shown

**Theorem** The Fourier transform of a  $t$ -periodic distribution has the form

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \delta_{\frac{2\pi}{t}k},$$

where the doubly infinite sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has moderate growth. In turn, any such sum defines a tempered distribution that is the Fourier transform of a  $t$ -periodic distribution.

**Corollary** Any  $t$ -periodic distribution  $u$  admits an expansion

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{i \frac{2\pi}{t} k x} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

where the doubly infinite sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has moderate growth.

## The Poisson summation formula

### Theorem ( $2\pi$ -periodic version)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ikx} = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{2\pi k} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \quad (6)$$

The meaning of the formula is that for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(k) = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2\pi k).$$

If we apply the formula to the translate  $\tau_x \phi$  we get

$$(P\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

At first this convergence is pointwise in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , but it is not difficult to show that it is uniform in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and that the term-by-term differentiated series also all converge uniformly in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## The Poisson summation formula

There are many variants of (6). For instance, for each  $t > 0$ , we get a  $t$ -periodic version by dilation:

**Corollary** ( $t$ -periodic version)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{t} kx} = t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{kt} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$$

As before the identity means that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{2\pi}{t} k \right) = t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(kt) \quad (7)$$

holds for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Again we can apply it to a translate of  $\phi$  and this time one gets an expansion of the  $t$ -periodisation of  $\phi$ .

For which  $\phi$  beyond  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is (7) valid?

## The Poisson summation formula—extension of scope

**Exercise** Let  $L, R$  be the distributions on the left-hand, right-hand side, respectively, of the  $t$ -periodic version of the Poisson summation formula. Show that there exists a constant  $c = c(t) \geq 0$  such that

$$|\langle L, \phi \rangle| \leq c \overline{S}_{2,0}(\widehat{\phi})$$

and

$$|\langle R, \phi \rangle| \leq c \overline{S}_{2,0}(\phi)$$

hold for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Deduce that (7) remains valid for all continuous  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\overline{S}_{2,0}(\phi) + \overline{S}_{2,0}(\widehat{\phi}) < \infty.$$

## The Poisson summation formula–proof

*Proof.* Put

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_k$$

Then it is not difficult to see that  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ , that it is 1-periodic and

$$\hat{u} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik\xi} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\xi}.$$

By inspection,  $e^{i\xi} \hat{u} = \hat{u}$  and  $\tau_{2\pi} \hat{u} = \hat{u}$ . We have seen that the first condition implies that

$$\hat{u} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \delta_{2\pi k}$$

for constants  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . The second condition then implies that  $c_k = c_0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus

$$\hat{u} = c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{2\pi k}.$$

## The Poisson summation formula–proof

For  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $x \in (0, 2\pi]$  we apply  $\hat{u}$  to  $\tau_x \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{u}, \tau_x \phi \rangle &= c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x + 2\pi k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx}.\end{aligned}$$

At first this identity holds pointwise in  $x \in (0, 2\pi]$ , but it is not difficult to see that it holds uniformly in  $x \in (0, 2\pi]$ . We can therefore integrate the identity by integrating the series term-by-term:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x + 2\pi k) \, dx = c_0 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi(k+1)} \phi(x) \, dx = c_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \, dx$$

equates

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx} \, dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx} \, dx = 2\pi \hat{\phi}(0)$$

and so  $c_0 = 2\pi$ .

□