## Sheet 2. Prerequisites: sections 1-8. Week 5

**Q1.** Consider the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1 := (x, y)$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2 := (x, z)$  and  $\mathfrak{m} := (x, y, z)$  of K[x, y, z], where K is a field. Show that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  is a minimal primary decomposition of  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2$ . Determine the isolated and the embedded prime ideals of  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2$ .

Solution. For future reference, note that we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^2 = ((x) + (y) + (z))^2 = (x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz, yz)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 = ((x) + (y))((x) + (z)) = (x^2, xz, yx, yz).$$

We have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$  and that we also clearly have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$  since  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  are prime since the rings  $K[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{p}_1 \simeq K[z]$  and  $K[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{p}_2 \simeq K[y]$  are domains. Note also that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal, since  $K[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{m} \simeq K$  is a field. Thus  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  is primary (see after Lemma 6.4 for the latter). The radicals of the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  are  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  (see again Lemma 6.4 for the latter). These three ideals are distinct. Finally, we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  (because  $z^2 \notin \mathfrak{p}_1$  but  $z^2 \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ ),  $\mathfrak{p}_2 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  (because  $y^2 \notin \mathfrak{p}_2$  but  $y^2 \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ ) and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$  (because  $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$  but  $x \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$ ). Hence if  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  then this decomposition is indeed primary and minimal. Thus we only have to show that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ . From the above, we have to show that

$$(x,y) \cap (x,z) \cap (x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz, yz) \subseteq (x^2, xz, yx, yz)$$

Now note that we have  $P(x, y, z) \in (x, y)$  iff P(0, 0, z) = 0 (because a polynomial lies in (x, y) iff it has no monomial containing only the variable z). Similarly, we have  $P(x, y, z) \in (x, z)$  iff P(0, y, 0) = 0. Thus we have  $P(x, y, z) \in (x, y) \cap (x, z)$  iff P(0, y, 0) = P(0, 0, z) = 0.

Now an element Q(x, y, z) of  $(x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz, yz)$  has the form

$$Q(x, y, z) = P_1(x, y, z)x^2 + P_2(x, y, z)y^2 + P_3(x, y, z)z^2 + P_4(x, y, z)xy + P_5(x, y, z)xz + P_6(x, y, z)yz$$

and Q(x, y, z) will thus lie in  $(x, y) \cap (x, z)$  iff

$$Q(0, y, 0) = Q(0, 0, z) = P_2(0, y, 0) = P_3(0, 0, z) = 0.$$

In other words, the element  $Q(x, y, z) \in (x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz, yz) = \mathfrak{m}^2$  will lie in  $(x, y) \cap (x, z)$  iff  $P_2(x, y, z) \in (x, z)$  and  $P_3(x, y, z) \in (x, y)$ . Consequently, if  $Q(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  then

$$Q(x,y,z) \in (x^2) + (x,z)(y^2) + (x,y)(z^2) + (xy) + (xz) + (yz) = (x^2, xy^2, zy^2, xz^2, yz^2, xy, xz, yz) = (x^2, xy, xz, yz) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 + (xy^2, zy^2, zy^2,$$

as required.

The prime ideals associated with the decomposition are  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{p}_2)$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{m}^2)$ . The ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  contains  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  and there are no other inclusions between the prime ideals. So  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an embedded ideal and  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  are isolated ideals.

**Q2.** Let K be a field. Show that the ideal  $(x^2, xy, y^2) \subseteq K[x, y]$  is a primary ideal, which is not irreducible.

**Solution.** We first show that  $(x^2, xy, y^2)$  is primary. This simply follows from the fact that (x, y) is maximal ideal and from the fact that  $(x^2, xy, y^2) = (x, y)^2$  (see after Lemma 6.4).

Now note that  $(x^2, xy, y^2) = (x^2, y) \cap (x, y^2)$ . Indeed, we clearly have  $(x^2, xy, y^2) \subseteq (x^2, y) \cap (x, y^2)$ . On the other hand, if  $P(x, y) \in (x^2, y)$  then P(x, y) has the form  $P_1(x, y)x^2 + P_2(x, y)y$ . Since  $P_1(x, y)x^2$  is

already in  $(x^2, xy, y^2)$ , we thus only have to show that a polynomial of the form  $P_2(x, y)y$ , which lies in  $(x, y^2)$ , necessarily lies in  $(x^2, xy, y^2)$ . A polynomial in  $(x, y^2)$  is of the form  $Q_1(x, y)y^2 + Q_2(x, y)x$ . Now if we have  $P_2(x, y)y = Q_1(x, y)y^2 + Q_2(x, y)x$  then  $Q_2(x, y)$  is divisible by y and hence  $Q_2(x, y)x = Q'_2(x, y)xy$  for some polynomial  $Q'_2(x, y)$  so that  $P_2(x, y)y \in (y^2, xy) \subseteq (x^2, xy, y^2)$ , as required.

**Q3.** Let R be a noetherian ring and let T be a finitely generated R-algebra. Let G be a finite subgroup of the group of automorphisms of T as a R-algebra. Let  $T^G$  be the fixed point set of G (ie the subset of T, which is fixed by all the elements of G).

- Show that T is integral over  $T^G$ .

- Show that  $T^G$  is a subring of T, which contains R and that  $T^G$  is finitely generated over R.

**Solution.** It is clear from the definitions that  $T^G$  is a subring which contains R. Let  $t \in T$ . Then t satisfies the polynomial equation

$$\prod_{g \in G} (t - g(t)) = 0$$

The polynomial  $M_t(x) := \prod_{g \in G} (x - g(t))$  has coefficients in  $T^G$ , because the coefficients are symmetric functions in the g(t), which are invariant under G. Hence t is integral over  $T^G$ . Since t was arbitrary, Tis integral over  $T^G$ . Since T is also finitely generated as a  $T^G$ -algebra (because it is finitely generated as a R-algebra, and  $R \subseteq T$ ), we thus see that T is finite over  $T^G$  (see after Lemma 6.6). Hence  $T^G$  is finitely generated over R by the Theorem of Artin-Tate.

**Q4**. Show that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is integrally closed and that the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

**Solution.** We first prove that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is integrally closed. Let  $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , where p and q are coprime integers, and let  $P(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic polynomial. Suppose that P(p/q) = 0. Then we have

$$q^{n}P(p/q) = p^{n} + a_{n-1}p^{n-1}q + a_{n-2}p^{n-2}q^{2} + \dots + a_{0}q^{n} = 0.$$

Since  $a_{n-1}p^{n-1}q + a_{n-2}p^{n-2}q^2 + \cdots + a_0q^n$  is divisible by q and  $p^n$  is coprime to q, this implies that  $q = \pm 1$ , so  $p/q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

To prove that the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , note first that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is part of the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Indeed we have  $(a + ib)^2 - 2a(a + ib) + a^2 + b^2 = 0$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So we only have to prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  (see Lemma 8.6). Note furthermore that  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is the fraction field of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . To see this, write let  $r + it \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$ , where  $r, t \in \mathbb{Q}$  (any element of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  can be written in this form because  $\mathbb{Q}(i) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2+1)$ ). Let r = p/q and t = u/v. We then have r + it = (vp + uqi)/(vq), which is a fraction of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , proving our claim. Finally, recall that we know from Rings and Modules that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain, where the Euclidean function is given by the norm (the norm of c + id is  $c^2 + d^2$  if  $c + id \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ). In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID and every ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is generated by an element of smallest norm.

To prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ , we may now proceed as for  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let

$$P(x) = x^{n} + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}[i](x)$$

and let r + it = B/A, where  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID, it is factorial and we may thus assume that  $(A, B) = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . We can now write as before

$$A^{n}P(B/A) = B^{n} + a_{n-1}B^{n-1}A + a_{n-2}B^{n-2}A^{2} + \dots + a_{0}A^{n} = 0.$$

Since  $a_{n-1}B^{n-1}A + a_{n-2}B^{n-2}A^2 + \cdots + a_0A^n$  is divisible by A and  $B^n$  is coprime to A, this implies that A is a unit, so  $B/A \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Note that the proof above actually shows that any UFD (Unique Factorisation Domain) is integrally closed.

**Q5.** Let S be a ring and let  $R \subseteq S$  be a subring of S. Suppose that R is integrally closed in S. Let  $P(x) \in R[x]$  and suppose that P(x) = Q(x)J(x), where  $Q(x), J(x) \in S[x]$  and Q(x) and J(x) are monic. Show that  $Q(x), J(x) \in R[x]$ . Use this to give a new proof of the fact that if  $T(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $T(x) = T_1(x)T_2(x)$ , where  $T_1(x), T_2(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  are monic polynomials, then  $T_1(x), T_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Solution. We first prove the

**Lemma.** Let A be a ring and let  $U(x) \in A[x]$  be a non zero monic polynomial. Then there exists a ring B containing A, which is integral over A and such that

$$U(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\deg(U)} (x - b_i)$$

for some  $b_i \in B$ , where we set  $\prod_{i=1}^{\deg(U)} (x - b_i) = 1$  if  $\deg(U) = 0$ .

**Proof of the lemma.** By induction on the degree  $d = \deg(U)$  of U(x). If d = 0, 1, there is nothing to prove. So suppose that d > 1 and that the result holds for any smaller value of d. The ring C := A[y]/(P(y)) is integral over A by Proposition 8.2. The element y of C satisfies the equation P(y) = 0 by construction. By Euclidean division (see Preamble), we thus have P(x) = (x - y)Z(x) for some  $Z(x) \in C[x]$ . Since Z(x) has degree < d, we may apply the inductive hypothesis and we obtain a ring B, which contains C and where Z(x) splits. The polynomial P(x) also splits in B, so we are done.  $\Box$ 

We now apply the lemma to Q(x) and J(x) successively and we obtain a ring B, which contains S, such that B is integral over S and such that

$$Q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\deg(Q)} (x - b_i)$$

and

$$J(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\deg(J)} (x - c_i)$$

where  $b_i, c_i \in B$ . Now we have  $P(b_i) = P(c_i) = 0$  by construction, so the  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  are actually integral over R. Since the integral closure of R in B is a subring, we conclude that the coefficients of Q(x) and J(x)are integral over R (and in S, by assumption). But since R is integrally closed in S, this means that these coefficients lie in R.

Note that we did not actually use the fact that B was integral over S in the proof.

**Q6.** Let *R* be a subring of a ring *T* and suppose that *T* is integral over *R*. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be prime ideal of *R* and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime ideal of *T*. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_k$  be primes ideal of *R* and suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$ . Show that there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_k$  of *T* such that  $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

**Solution.** By induction on k, we only need to treat the case k = 2. Consider the extension of rings  $R/\mathfrak{p} \subseteq T/\mathfrak{q}$ . This is also an integral extension. Furthermore, there is a unique prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}'_2$  in  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ , which corresponds to  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  via the quotient map. By Theorem 8.8, there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}'_2$  in  $T/\mathfrak{q}$ , which is such that  $\mathfrak{q}'_2 \cap R/\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'_2$ . The prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}_2$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{q}'_2$  via the quotient map has the required properties.

**Q7.** Let R be a ring. Let S be the set of ideals in R, which are not finitely generated.

(i) Let I be maximal element of  $\mathcal{S}$  (with respect to the relation of inclusion). Show that I is prime.

(ii) Suppose that all the prime ideals of R are finitely generated. Prove that R is noetherian.

[Hint: exploit the fact that R/I is noetherian.]

## Solution.

(i): Let  $x, y \notin I$  and suppose for contradiction that  $x, y \in I$ . Let  $I_x := (x) + I$  and  $I_y = (y) + I$ . Write  $J := I_x \cdot I_y$ . By assumption  $I_x, I_y$  and hence J are finitely generated, and we have  $J \subseteq I$ . Consider the image  $I \pmod{J}$  of I in the  $R/I_y$ -module  $I_x/J$ . Note that  $I_x/J$  is finitely generated as a  $R/I_y$ -module since  $I_x$  is finitely generated as a R-module. Note also that the ring  $R/I_y$  is noetherian, since every ideal of  $R/I_y$  is the image of either the zero ideal or of an ideal of R strictly containing I. Hence  $I \pmod{J}$  is also finitely generated as a  $R/I_y$ -module by Lemma 7.4. Let  $m_1, \ldots, m_k$  be preimages in I of a finite set of generators of  $I \pmod{J}$  as a  $R/I_y$ -module and let  $y_1, \ldots, y_l$  be generators of J. Then  $m_1, \ldots, m_k, y_1, \ldots, y_l$  is a finite set of generators of I, which is a contradiction.

(ii): If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a totally ordered subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  then the ideal  $J := \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{S}} H$  also lies in  $\mathcal{S}$  (because if J were finitely generated then a finite set of generators of J would lie in one of the ideals in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and thus generate it, which is a contradiction). The ideal J is an upper bound for  $\mathcal{T}$  and thus we may apply Zorn's lemma to conclude that there are maximal elements in  $\mathcal{S}$ , if  $\mathcal{S}$  is not empty. By definition,  $\mathcal{S}$  is empty iff R is noetherian. Hence, by (i), if R is not noetherian, there is a prime ideal, which is not finitely generated. The contraposition of this implication gives (i).

**Q8**. (optional). Let R be a ring. Let S be the set of non-principal ideals in R. Let I be a maximal element of S. Prove that I is a prime ideal.

## Solution.

Let  $x, y \notin I$  and suppose for contradiction that  $xy \in I$ . Let  $I_x := (x) + I$ . By assumption, we have  $I_x = (g_x)$  for some  $g_x \in R$ . Let  $\phi : R \to I_x$  be the surjection of *R*-modules given by the formula  $\phi(r) = rg_x$ . We then have  $I \subseteq \phi^{-1}(I)$ .

Suppose first that  $I = \phi^{-1}(I)$ . In other words, for all  $r \in R$ , we have  $rg_x \in I$  iff  $r \in I$ . This contradicts the fact that  $yg_x \in I$ . So we conclude that  $I \subsetneq \phi^{-1}(I)$ . From the definition of I, we then see that  $\phi^{-1}(I)$  is a principal ideal of R, and hence so is  $I = \phi(\phi^{-1}(I))$ . This is a contradiction, so we cannot have  $xy \in I$  if  $x, y \notin I$ . In other words, I is prime.