Iterative methods

We've covered direct methods (LU for $Ax = b$, QR for $\min \|Ax - b\|_2$, QRalg for $Ax = \lambda x$). These are

- \blacktriangleright Incredibly reliable, backward stable
- \blacktriangleright Works like magic if $n \leq 10000$
- \blacktriangleright But not if *n* larger!

A 'big' matrix problem is one for which direct methods aren't feasible. Historically,

- **1950**: $n > 20$
- **1965**: $n > 200$
- **1980**: $n \ge 2000$
- **1995**: $n \ge 20000$
- \blacktriangleright 2010: $n \ge 100000$

 \triangleright 2020: $n \geq 1000000$ ($n \geq 50000$ on a standard desktop)

was considered 'very large'. For such problems, we need to turn to alternative algorithms: we'll cover **iterative** and **randomised** methods.

Direct vs. iterative methods

Idea of iterative methods:

- \blacktriangleright gradually refine solution iteratively
- **I** each iteration should be (a lot) cheaper than direct methods, usually $O(n^2)$ or less
- \triangleright can be (but not always) much faster than direct methods
- \triangleright tends to be (slightly) less robust, nontrivial/problem-dependent analysis
- \triangleright often, after $O(n^3)$ work it still gets the exact solution (ignoring roundoff errors)

image from [Trefethen-Bau]

We'll focus on **Krylov subspace methods**.

Basic idea of Krylov: polynomial approximation

In Krylov subspace methods, we look for an (approximate) solution \hat{x} (for $Ax=b$ or $Ax = \lambda x$) of the form (after *k*th iteration)

where p_{k+1} is a polynomial of degree $k-1$, and $v\in \mathbb{R}^n$ arbitrary (usually $v=b$ for linsys, for eigenproblems *v* usually random)

 $\hat{x} = p_{k-1}(A)v,$

Natural questions:

- \triangleright Why would this be a good idea?
	- ▶ Clearly, 'easy' to compute
	- \blacktriangleright One example: recall power method $\hat{x} = \frac{A^{k-1}v}{14k^2}$ $\frac{p_{k-1}(A)v}{14k^2}$
	- ${\sf K}$ rylov finds a "better/optimal" polynomial ${}^{\backprime\!}\tilde{p}_k{}^{\backprime\!}\tilde{p}_k(A)$
	- ▶ We'll see more cases where Krylov is powerful
- \blacktriangleright How to turn into an algorithm?
	- \blacktriangleright Arnoldi (next), Lanczos

Orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Find approximate solution $\hat{x} = p_{k-1}(A)b$, i.e. in Krylov subspace

$$
\overbrace{\phi \mathcal{C}}^{A} = \mathcal{K}_k(A, b) := \text{span}\left(\overbrace{[b, Ab, A^2b, \dots, A^{k-1}b]}^{k} \right)
$$

First step: form an orthonormal basis \widehat{Q} , s.t. solution can be written as $x = Qy$

- ▶ Naive idea: Form matrix $[b, Ab, A^2b, ..., A^{k-1}b]$, then QR
	- $\blacktriangleright~ [b, Ab, A^2b, \ldots, A^{k-1}b]$ is usually terribly conditioned! Dominated by leading eigvec \triangleright Q is therefore extremely ill-conditioned, inaccurately computed

$$
\sqrt{8}
$$
 (6) $g_{\text{row}} = \frac{0}{2}$ with k

Orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$

Find approximate solution $\hat{x} = p_{k-1}(A)b$, i.e. in Krylov subspace

$$
\mathcal{K}_k(A,b) := \text{span}([b, Ab, A^2b, \dots, A^{k-1}b])
$$

First step: form an orthonormal basis Q , s.t. solution can be written as $x = Qy$

- ▶ Naive idea: Form matrix $[b, Ab, A^2b, ..., A^{k-1}b]$, then QR
	- \blacktriangleright $[b, Ab, A^2b, \ldots, A^{k-1}b]$ is usually terribly conditioned! Dominated by leading eigvec

 \triangleright Q is therefore extremely ill-conditioned, inaccurately computed

Vanterhoude + Afrishi \blacktriangleright Much better solution: Arnoldi process \triangleright Multiply *A* once at a time to the latest orthonormal vector q_i Then orthogonalise Aq_i against previous q_j 's ($j = 1, ..., i - 1$) (as in Gram-Schmidt)
 $q_i = \frac{b}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{q_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{Aq_i}{Aq_i} \right)$
 $q_{i} = \frac{q_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\pi}}^{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{b_i A_i}{B_i A_j} \right)$
 q_{i}

Arnoldi iteration

Set $q_1 = b / ||b||_2$ For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, set $v = Aq_k$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., k$ $h_{jk} = q_j^T v$, $v = v - h_{jk} q_j$ % orthogonalise against q_j via modified G-S end for $h_{k+1,k} = ||v||_2$, $q_{k+1} = v/h_{k+1,k}$ End for ▶ After *k* steps, $AQ_k = Q_{k+1}H_k = Q_kH_k + q_{k+1}[0, \ldots, 0, h_{k+1,k}]$, with $Q_k = [q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k], Q_{k+1} = [Q_k, q_{k+1}],$ span $(Q_k) = \text{span}([b, Ab, \ldots, A^{k-1}b])$ $\sqrt{ }$ I $h_{1,1}$ $h_{1,2}$ \ldots $h_{1,k}$ *h*²*,*¹ *h*²*,*² *... h*²*,k* W W W W W U $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$ $A \parallel Q_k \parallel = \parallel Q_{k+1} \parallel \tilde{H}_k \parallel, \quad \tilde{H}_k =$ *... . . . ,* $Q_{k+1}^T Q_{k+1} = I_{k+1}$ $h_{k,k-1}$ *h*_{k,k} $h_{k+1,k}$ $\overline{\chi} = \overline{Q_{\epsilon}\gamma} = P_{\epsilon^{-1}}(A) b.$ $\overbrace{\mathbb{R}^{(k+1)\times k}}$ upper Hessenberg

Cost *k A*-multiplications+ $O(k^2)$ inner products $(O(nk^2))$

Lanczos iteration $=$ Arnoldi Jren $A = A^T$

When *A* symmetric, Arnoldi simplifies to

$$
AQ_k = Q_k T_k + q_{k+1} [0, \ldots, 0, h_{k+1,k}],
$$

where T_k is symmetric tridiagonal (proof: just note $H_k = Q_k^T A Q_k$ in Arnoldi)

- \triangleright 3-term recurrence, orthogonalisation necessary only against last two vecs q_k, q_{k-1}
- Significant speedup over Arnoldi; cost k A-mult. $+O(k)$ inner products $(O(nk))$
- In floating-point arithmetic, sometimes computed Q_k lose orthogonality and reorthogonalisation necessary (nonexaminable)

The Lanczos algorithm for symmetric eigenproblem

Rayleigh-Ritz: given symmetric *A* and orthonormal *Q*, find approximate eigenpairs
1. Compute $Q^T \cancel{AQ} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$

- 1. Compute $Q^T \overline{A} Q \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$
- 2. Eigenvalue decomposition $Q^T A Q = V \hat{\Lambda} V^T$
- 3. Approximate eigenvalues diag $(\hat{\Lambda})$ (Ritz values) and eigenvectors QV (Ritz vectors)

This is a **projection** method (similar alg. available for SVD) Lanczos algorithm=Lanczos iteration+Rayleigh-Ritz
Lanczos algorithm=Lanczos iteration+Rayleigh-Ritz

- \blacktriangleright In this case $Q = Q_k$, so simply $Q_k^T A Q_k = T_k$ (tridiagonal eigenproblem)
- \triangleright Very good convergence to extremal eigenpairs
	- **I** Recall from Courant-Fisher $\lambda_{\max}(A) = \max_{x} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$

Hence
$$
\lambda_{\max}(A) \ge \max_{x \in \mathcal{K}_k(A,b)} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \ge \frac{v^T A v}{v^T v}, \quad v = A^{k-1}b
$$

Lanczos output
 λ_{\min} , similar for e.g. λ_2
 λ_3
 λ_4
 λ_5
 λ_6
 λ_7
 λ_8
 λ_9
 λ_8
 λ_9
 λ_9

Experiments with Lanczos

