# Eigenvalue problem $Ax = \lambda x$

First of all,  $Ax = \lambda x$  no explicit solution (neither  $\lambda$  nor x); huge difference from Ax = b for which  $x = A^{-1}b$ 

- Eigenvalues are roots of characteristic polynomial
- ▶ For any polynomial p,  $\exists$  (infinitely many) matrices whose eigvals are roots of p

### Eigenvalue problem $Ax = \lambda x$

First of all,  $Ax = \lambda x$  no explicit solution (neither  $\lambda$  nor x); huge difference from Ax = b for which  $x = A^{-1}b$ 

- Eigenvalues are roots of characteristic polynomial
- For any polynomial p,  $\exists$  (infinitely many) matrices whose eigvals are roots of p

• Let 
$$p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$$
,  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $p(\lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda$  eigenvalue of

### Eigenvalue problem $Ax = \lambda x$

First of all,  $Ax = \lambda x$  no explicit solution (neither  $\lambda$  nor x); huge difference from Ax = b for which  $x = A^{-1}b$ 

- Eigenvalues are roots of characteristic polynomial
- ▶ For any polynomial p,  $\exists$  (infinitely many) matrices whose eigvals are roots of p
- So no finite-step algorithm exists for  $Ax = \lambda x$

Eigenvalue algorithms are necessarily iterative and approximate

- Same for SVD, as  $\sigma_i(A) = \sqrt{\lambda_i(A^T A)}$
- But this doesn't mean they're inaccurate!

Usual goal: compute the Schur decomposition  $A = UTU^{\ast} : \ U$  unitary, T upper triangular

- ▶ For normal matrices  $A^*A = AA^*$ , automatically diagonalised (*T* diagonal)
- ► For nonnormal A, if diagonalisation  $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$  really necessary, done via Sylvester equations but nonorthogonal/unstable (nonexaminable)

### Schur decomposition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  (square arbitrary matrix). Then  $\exists$  unitary  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  s.t.

$$A = UTU^*,$$

with T upper triangular.

Proof:

### Schur decomposition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  (square arbitrary matrix). Then  $\exists$  unitary  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  s.t.

$$A = UTU^*,$$

with T upper triangular.

# Recap: Matrix decompositions

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ SVD } A = U\Sigma V^T$
- Eigenvalue decomposition  $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$ 
  - Normal: X unitary  $X^*X = I$
  - Symmetric: X unitary and  $\Lambda$  real
- ► Jordan decomposition:  $A = XJX^{-1}$ ,  $J = \text{diag}(\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & \dots & \lambda_i \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ )
- **Schur decomposition**  $A = QTQ^*$ : Q orthogonal, T upper triangular
- ▶ QR: Q orthonormal, U upper triangular
- ▶ LU: L lower triangular, U upper triangular

Red: Orthogonal decompositions, stable computation available

# Recap: Matrix decompositions

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ SVD } A = U\Sigma V^T$
- Eigenvalue decomposition  $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$ 
  - Normal: X unitary  $X^*X = I$
  - Symmetric: X unitary and  $\Lambda$  real

► Jordan decomposition:  $A = XJX^{-1}$ ,  $J = \text{diag}(\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & \dots & \lambda_i \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ )

- Schur decomposition  $A = QTQ^*$ : Q orthogonal, T upper triangular
- ▶ QR: Q orthonormal, U upper triangular
- ▶ LU: L lower triangular, U upper triangular
- ▶ QZ for  $Ax = \lambda Bx$ : (genearlised eigenvalue problem) Q, Z orthogonal s.t. QAZ, QBZ are both upper triangular

Red: Orthogonal decompositions, stable computation available

Power method for  $Ax = \lambda x$ 

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n :=$$
random vector,  $x = Ax$ ,  $x = rac{x}{\|x\|}$ ,  $\hat{\lambda} = x^T Ax$ , repeat

#### Power method for $Ax = \lambda x$

- $x\in \mathbb{R}^n:=$ random vector, x=Ax,  $x=\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}=x^TAx$ , repeat
  - Convergence analysis: suppose A is diagonalisable (generic assumption). We can write  $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$ ,  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$  with  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \cdots$ . Then after k iterations,  $x = C \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k c_i v_i \to Cc_1 v_1$  as  $k \to \infty$
  - Converges geometrically  $(\lambda, x) \to (\lambda_1, x_1)$  with linear rate  $\frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\lambda_1|}$
  - What does this imply about  $A^k = QR$  as  $k \to \infty$ ? First vector of  $Q \to v_1$

#### Power method for $Ax = \lambda x$

- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n:=$ random vector, x=Ax,  $x=\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}=x^TAx$ , repeat
  - Convergence analysis: suppose A is diagonalisable (generic assumption). We can write  $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$ ,  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$  with  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \cdots$ . Then after k iterations,  $x = C \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k c_i v_i \to Cc_1 v_1$  as  $k \to \infty$
  - Converges geometrically  $(\lambda, x) \to (\lambda_1, x_1)$  with linear rate  $\frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\lambda_1|}$
  - What does this imply about  $A^k = QR$  as  $k \to \infty$ ? First vector of  $Q \to v_1$

Notes:

- Google pagerank & Markov chain linked to power method
- As we'll see, power method is basis for refined algs (QR algorithm, Krylov methods (Lanczos, Arnoldi,...))

## Why compute eigenvalues? Google PageRank

'Importance' of websites via dominant eigenvector of column-stochastic matrix

$$A = \alpha P + (1 - \alpha) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
P: adjacency matrix,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ 



image from wikipedia

Google does (did) a few steps of Power method: with initial guess  $x_0$ , k = 0, 1, ...

1. 
$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k$$
  
2.  $x_{k+1} = x_{k+1}/||x_{k+1}||_2$ ,  $k \leftarrow k+1$ , repeat.

►  $x_k \rightarrow \mathsf{PageRank}$  vector  $v_1 : Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$ 

#### Inverse power method

Inverse (shift-and-invert) power method:  $x := (A - \mu I)^{-1}x$ , x = x/||x||

• Converges with improved linear rate  $\frac{|\lambda_{\sigma(2)}-\mu|}{|\lambda_{\sigma(1)}-\mu|}$  to eigval closest to  $\mu$  ( $\sigma$ : permutation)

#### Inverse power method

Inverse (shift-and-invert) power method:  $x := (A - \mu I)^{-1}x$ , x = x/||x||

- Converges with improved linear rate  $\frac{|\lambda_{\sigma(2)} \mu|}{|\lambda_{\sigma(1)} \mu|}$  to eigval closest to  $\mu$  ( $\sigma$ : permutation)
- $\mu$  can change adaptively with the iterations. The choice  $\mu := x^T A x$  gives Rayleigh quotient iteration, with **quadratic** convergence  $\|Ax^{(k+1)} - \lambda^{(k+1)}x^{(k+1)}\| = O(\|Ax^{(k)} - \lambda^{(k)}x^{(k)}\|^2)$  (cubic if A symmetric)