## C2.1a Lie algebras

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

## Problem Sheet 3

Assume throughout the problems that we work over a field k which is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, and all Lie algebras and representations are finite dimensional over k, unless the contrary is explicitly stated.

**1.** Let  $\kappa$  denote the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}_+, \mathfrak{n}_-$  denote the subspaces of diagonal, strictly upper triangular and strictly lower triangular matrices respectively.

- i) Show that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$  and that the restriction of  $\kappa$  to  $\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$  is nondegenerate. (*Hint*: It is probably useful to calculate the values of the Killing form on matrix coefficients).
- ii) Calculate  $\mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\perp}$ .
- iii) Describe the radical of the restriction of  $\kappa$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  and conclude that the restriction of  $\kappa$  to  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is nondegenerate.
- **2.** Show that the Killing form for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  is given by

$$\kappa(x,y) = 2n.\mathrm{tr}(x.y),$$

(where tr(x.y) denotes the ordinary trace, *i.e.* the trace form for the vector representation).

The next few questions of this exercise sheet classify all the irreducible finite dimensional representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Recall that if we let

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then e, f and h give a basis of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  with relations

$$[h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f$$
 and  $[e, f] = h$ .

Hence, a representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  consists of a vector space V over  $\mathbb{C}$  together with three endomorphisms E, F and H satisfying

$$HE - EH = 2E, HF - FH = -2F$$
 and  $EF - FE = H.$ 

(We recover the representation  $\phi : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  by setting  $\phi(e) = E$ ,  $\phi(f) = F$  and  $\phi(h) = H$ .)

We will also need a partial ordering on k: since k has characteristic zero it contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and we will say that a < b if  $b - a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ . If  $I \subset k$  is a finite subset of k we say  $\lambda \in I$  is maximal if  $\lambda < \mu$ implies  $\mu \notin I$ .

In the rest of this problem set we always assume that V is *finite dimensional*.

**3.** a) Show that the endomorphisms E and H satisfy the relation

$$(H - (\lambda + 2))^k E = E(H - \lambda)^k$$

(Here  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and we write  $\lambda$  instead of  $\lambda \cdot id_V$ .) Deduce that if  $v \in V$  belongs to the generalised  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of H, then Ev belongs to the generalised  $(\lambda + 2)$ -eigenspace.

- b) Deduce a similar statement for the action of F on the generalised eigenspaces of H.
- c) Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue for H which is a maximal element of the set of eigenvalues of H in the sense described above. Use a) to show that  $EV_{\lambda} = 0$ .
- d) Use b) to deduce that for large enough n we have  $F^n(v) = 0$ .
- **4.** a) Show the relation (for  $n \ge 1$ )

$$HF^n = F^n H - 2nF^n.$$

b) Show  $(n \ge 1 \text{ as before})$ 

$$EF^{n} = F^{n}E + nF^{n-1}H - n(n-1)F^{n-1}.$$

c) Deduce that, if  $v \in V$  is a vector such that Ev = 0 then

$$E^{n}F^{n}v = nE^{n-1}F^{n-1}(H - (n-1))v = n!\prod_{i=1}^{n}(H - (i-1))v.$$

- d) Let  $\lambda$  be a maximal eigenvalue of H (in the above sense) and let  $V_{\lambda}$  denote the generalised  $\lambda$ -eigenspace. Use 4(d) and (c) to deduce that H acts diagonalisably on  $V_{\lambda}$  and that  $\lambda$  is a non-negative integer.
- 5. a) Let  $\lambda$  be a maximal eigenvalue of H as in the previous question, and choose a non-zero vector  $v \in V_{\lambda}$ . We know by Questions 2 and 3 that Ev = 0 and that  $\lambda$  is an non-negative integer. Show the relations:

$$HF^{k}v = (\lambda - 2k)F^{k}v,$$
$$EF^{k}v = k(\lambda - (k-1))F^{k-1}v$$

Deduce that  $F^{\lambda+1}v = 0$  and that the  $F^i v$  for  $0 \le i \le \lambda$  are linearly independent and span a simple submodule of V.

b) Check that the above relations define an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module for any non-negative integer  $\lambda$ . Deduce that there is (up to isomorphism) a unique simple module  $V(\lambda)$  of dimension  $\lambda + 1$  for all non-negative integers  $\lambda$ .

**6.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra, and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  be its Cartan decomposition. To each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  one may attach an element  $h_{\alpha} = \frac{2t_{\alpha}}{\kappa(t_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha})} \in \mathfrak{h}$  (where  $\lambda \mapsto t_{\lambda}$  is the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}$  induced by the Killing form restricted to  $\mathfrak{h}$ ). Show that if V is a finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  then the eigenvalues of  $h_{\alpha}$  on V are all integers. (Here the elements  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}$  are as given in Proposition 17.5 of the online lecture notes – you may just assume that Proposition when doing this question.)

7. (Optional harder exercise) Let V be an arbitrary finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

- a) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  be maximal amongst the eigenvalues of H, and let  $V_{\lambda} \subset V$  denote the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace. Suppose that V has the property that Ev = 0 implies that  $v \in V_{\lambda}$ . Show that V is completely reducible.
- b) Consider the endomorphism  $c = EF + FE + \frac{1}{2}H^2$ . Show that c commutes with E, F and H. (c is called the *Casimir* element.) Deduce from Schur's lemma that c acts as a scalar on any irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Compute the scalar with which c acts on V(m).
- c) Show that V is completely reducible.

(This result also follows from the more general complete reducibility result due to Weyl, but it is nice to see a more explicit proof for an algebra as small as  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .)