#### Lecture 4: Linesearch methods (continued)

Coralia Cartis, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

C6.2/B2: Continuous Optimization

## A generic linesearch method (Lecture 2)

(UP): minimize f(x) subject to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$  or  $\mathcal{C}^2$ .

A Generic Linesearch Method (GLM)

Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , do: While  $\| 
abla f(x^k) \| > \epsilon$ , REPEAT:

 $\blacksquare$  compute a <u>descent</u> search direction  $s^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k < 0;$$

 ${\color{red} \blacksquare}$  compute a stepsize  $lpha^k > 0$  along  $s^k$  such that

$$f(x^k + \alpha^k s^k) < f(x^k);$$

set  $x^{k+1}:=x^k+lpha^ks^k$  and k:=k+1.  $\Box$ 

Recall property of descent directions (Lemma 1, Lecture 1).

## **Global convergence of GLM (Lecture 3)**

•  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n); \nabla f$  is Lipschitz continuous (on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) iff  $\exists L > 0$ ,  $\| \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x) \| \leq L \|y - x\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$ 

Lemma 2. Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\nabla f$  Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant *L*. Assume a GLM is applied to minimizing *f*. Then at the *k*th iteration, the Armijo condition :

$$\begin{split} f(x^k + \alpha s^k) &\leq f(x^k) + \beta \alpha \nabla f(x^k)^T s^k \quad \text{(ac)}\\ \text{is satisfied for all } \alpha \in [0, \alpha_{\max}^k], \text{ where } \alpha_{\max}^k = \frac{(\beta - 1) \nabla f(x^k)^T s^k}{L \|s^k\|^2}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3. Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\nabla f$  Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant *L*. Assume a GLM is applied to minimizing *f*. Then at the *k*th iteration, the bArmijo stepsize  $\alpha^k$  satisfies

$$\alpha^k \geq \min\{\alpha_{(0)}, \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\} \text{ for all } k \geq 0,$$
  
where  $\alpha_{\max}^k$  is defined in Lemma 2.

## **Global convergence of GLM (continued)**

Theorem 4. Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be bounded below on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $f_{low}$ . Let  $\nabla f$  Lipschitz continuous. Apply GLM with bArmijo linesearch to minimizing f with  $\epsilon := 0$ . Then either

there exists  $l \ge 0$  such that  $\nabla f(x^l) = 0$ 

or

$$\lim_{k o\infty}\min\left\{rac{|
abla f(x^k)^Ts^k|}{\|s^k\|},|
abla f(x^k)^Ts^k|
ight\}=0.$$

# **Global convergence of GLM (continued)**

Theorem 4. Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be bounded below on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $f_{low}$ . Let  $\nabla f$  Lipschitz continuous. Apply GLM with bArmijo linesearch to minimizing f with  $\epsilon := 0$ . Then either

there exists  $l \ge 0$  such that  $\nabla f(x^l) = 0$ 

or

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \min \left\{ \frac{|\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k|}{\|s^k\|}, |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k| \right\} = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. If there exists  $l \ge 0$  such that  $\nabla f(x^l) = 0$ , we are done. Assume now that  $\nabla f(x^k) \ne 0$  for all  $k \ge 0$ . Then Armijo condition (ac) with  $\alpha := \alpha^k$ , and  $x^{k+1} = x^k + \alpha^k s^k$ , give

 $f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) + \beta \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k)^T s^k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , or equivalently, for all  $k \geq 0$ , Proof of Theorem 4. or equivalently, for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1}) \ge -\beta \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k)^T s^k = \beta \alpha^k |\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k|$ , (1) where in the last equality, we used  $(-\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k = |\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k|$ since  $\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k < 0$  ( $s^k$  is descent).

Part of Proof of Theoremy let i.z.o. Then (1) implies f(x°) - f(x1) > Bx° | Pf(x°) Ts=1 f(x') - f(x2) = Bx' 10f(x')Ts') f(xi-2) - f(xi-1) > foxi-2 | 0 f(xi-2) TSi-2 | f(xi-1) - f(xi) > pxi-1 [pf(xi-1) Tsi-1] f(xii) - f(xi+1) = [sai 10f(xi) Tsi]  $f(x^{o}) - f(x^{i+1}) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{L} p_{x^{k}} \left[ O f(x^{k})^{T} S^{k} \right].$ 1 telescopic Sum

Proof of Theorem 4. or equivalently, for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1}) \ge -\beta \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k)^T s^k = \beta \alpha^k |\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k|$ , (1) where in the last equality, we used  $(-\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k = |\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k|$ since  $\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k < 0$  ( $s^k$  is descent). Let  $i \ge 0$ . Summing up (1) from k = 0 to k = i (see next slide),

we find that consecutive terms on the left-hand side cancel to give

$$f(x^{0}) - f(x^{i+1}) \ge \beta \sum_{k=0}^{i} \alpha^{k} |\nabla f(x^{k}))^{T} s^{k}|.$$
 (2)

Proof of Theorem 4. or equivalently, for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $f(x^k) - f(x^{k+1}) \ge -\beta \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k)^T s^k = \beta \alpha^k |\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k|$ , (1) where in the last equality, we used  $(-\nabla f(x^k))^T s^k - |\nabla f(x^k)|^T s^k|$ 

$$(-\nabla f(x^{\kappa}))^T s^{\kappa} = |\nabla f(x^{\kappa})|^T s'$$

since  $\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k < 0$  (s<sup>k</sup> is descent).

Let  $i \ge 0$ . Summing up (1) from k = 0 to k = i (see next slide), we find that consecutive terms on the left-hand side cancel to give

$$f(x^0) - f(x^{i+1}) \ge \beta \sum_{k=0}^{i} \alpha^k |\nabla f(x^k)|^T s^k|.$$
 (2)

As f is bounded below by  $f_{\text{low}}$ ,  $f(x^{i+1}) \ge f_{\text{low}}$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . Thus, letting  $i \longrightarrow \infty$  in (2), we deduce that

 $\infty > f(x^{0}) - f_{\text{low}} \ge \beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{k} |\nabla f(x^{k})|^{T} s^{k}|, \quad (3)$ We deduce from the convergence of the series in (3) that  $\lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} \alpha^{k} |\nabla f(x^{k})|^{T} s^{k}| = 0. \quad (4)$   $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Proof of Theorem 4.} & \mbox{Recall Lemma 3 (i.e.,} \\ \alpha^k \geq \min\{\alpha_{(0)}, \tau \alpha^k_{\max}\}). \mbox{ Define the following index sets} \\ & \mathcal{K}_1 = \{k: \, \alpha_{(0)} \geq \tau \alpha^k_{\max}\} \mbox{ and } \mathcal{K}_2 = \{k: \, \alpha_{(0)} < \tau \alpha^k_{\max}\}, \\ \mbox{where } \alpha^k_{\max} \mbox{ is defined in Lemma 2. Note that every iteration } k \\ \mbox{ belongs either to } \mathcal{K}_1 \mbox{ or } \mathcal{K}_2. \end{array}$ 

Proof of Theorem 4. Recall Lemma 3 (i.e.,  $\alpha^k \ge \min\{\alpha_{(0)}, \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\}$ ). Define the following index sets  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{k : \alpha_{(0)} \ge \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{k : \alpha_{(0)} < \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\}$ , where  $\alpha_{\max}^k$  is defined in Lemma 2. Note that every iteration kbelongs either to  $\mathcal{K}_1$  or  $\mathcal{K}_2$ .

For all  $k \in \mathcal{K}_1$ , we have from Lemmas 2 & 3 that

$$|lpha^k|
abla f(x^k))^T s^k| \geq rac{(1-eta) au}{L} \cdot \left(rac{|
abla f(x^k)^T s^k|}{\|s^k\|}
ight)^2 \geq 0$$

and so (4) implies  $\lim_{k\to\infty,k\in\mathcal{K}_1} |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k| / ||s^k|| = 0$ .

Proof of Theorem 4. Recall Lemma 3 (i.e.,

 $\alpha^k \geq \min\{\alpha_{(0)}, \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\}$ ). Define the following index sets

 $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{k : \alpha_{(0)} \ge \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\} \text{ and } \mathcal{K}_2 = \{k : \alpha_{(0)} < \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\},\$ where  $\alpha_{\max}^k$  is defined in Lemma 2. Note that every iteration k belongs either to  $\mathcal{K}_1$  or  $\mathcal{K}_2$ .

For all  $k \in \mathcal{K}_1$ , we have from Lemmas 2 & 3 that

$$|lpha^k|
abla f(x^k))^T s^k| \geq rac{(1-eta) au}{L} \cdot \left(rac{|
abla f(x^k)^T s^k|}{\|s^k\|}
ight)^2 \geq 0$$

and so (4) implies  $\lim_{k\to\infty,k\in\mathcal{K}_1} |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k| / ||s^k|| = 0$ . Lemma 3 gives that  $\alpha^k \ge \alpha_{(0)}$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{K}_2$  and so (4) provides  $\lim_{k\to\infty,k\in\mathcal{K}_2} |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k| = 0$ . Proof of Theorem 4. Recall Lemma 3 (i.e.,

 $\alpha^k \geq \min\{\alpha_{(0)}, \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\}$ ). Define the following index sets

 $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{k : \alpha_{(0)} \ge \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\} \text{ and } \mathcal{K}_2 = \{k : \alpha_{(0)} < \tau \alpha_{\max}^k\},\$ where  $\alpha_{\max}^k$  is defined in Lemma 2. Note that every iteration k belongs either to  $\mathcal{K}_1$  or  $\mathcal{K}_2$ .

For all  $k \in \mathcal{K}_1$ , we have from Lemmas 2 & 3 that

$$lpha^k |
abla f(x^k))^T s^k| \geq rac{(1-eta) au}{L} \cdot \left(rac{|
abla f(x^k)^T s^k|}{\|s^k\|}
ight)^2 \geq 0$$

and so (4) implies  $\lim_{k\to\infty,k\in\mathcal{K}_1} |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k| / ||s^k|| = 0$ . Lemma 3 gives that  $\alpha^k \ge \alpha_{(0)}$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{K}_2$  and so (4) provides  $\lim_{k\to\infty,k\in\mathcal{K}_2} |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k| = 0$ . These two limits for the  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  subsequences, and the property  $\min\{a_k, b_k\} \le a_k, b_k, \forall k$ , give the required limit, namely,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \min\left\{\frac{|\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k|}{||s^k||}, |\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k|\right\} = 0$ .  $\Box$  Interpretation of Theorem 4: Recall

$$\cos heta_k = rac{(-
abla f(x^k))^T s^k}{\|
abla f(x^k)\| \cdot \|s^k\|} = rac{|
abla f(x^k)^T s^k|}{\|
abla f(x^k)\| \cdot \|s^k\|}.$$

Then Th 4 gives, if  $\nabla f(x^k) \neq 0$  for all k,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\nabla f(x^k)\| \cdot \cos \theta_k \cdot \min\{1, \|s^k\|\} = 0.$ 



A descent direction  $p_{k}$ .

Thus to ensure global convergence of GLM, namely,  $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \longrightarrow 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ , it is not sufficient to have  $s^k$  be descent for each k; we need  $\cos \theta_k \ge \delta > 0$  for all k, so that  $s^k$  is prevented from becoming orthogonal to the gradient as k increases. Linesearch methods:

- Linesearch: how to choose the stepsize  $\alpha^k$ , from any  $x^k$  and along any descent direction  $s^k$ .
- How to choose a descent direction  $s^k$ ? What are the important such choices of  $s^k$ ?
  - Steepest descent direction (next).
  - Newton direction.