

Supersymmetry and Supergravity — Problem Sheet 1

MMathPhys, University of Oxford, HT2021, Dr Federico Bonetti

These problems refer to material covered in Lectures 1 through 8. They are due by Saturday before the class on week 3 by 11 am. Links to submit:

TA A. Boido: <https://cloud.maths.ox.ac.uk/index.php/s/WP8kazik5pNZjmi>

TA J. McGovern: <https://cloud.maths.ox.ac.uk/index.php/s/oBKgZcaE9F4bw3z>

1 Poincaré symmetry

- 1.a Let us parametrize an element of the Poincaré group as $g(\Lambda, a)$, where $\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu$ is a matrix in the Lorentz group $SO(1, 3)$ (i.e. $\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \Lambda^\rho{}_\sigma \eta_{\mu\rho} = \eta_{\nu\sigma}$) and a^μ is a constant 4-vector. Let $g(\Lambda, a)$ act on the coordinates x^μ from the left according to

$$g(\Lambda, a) \cdot x^\mu = x'^\mu := \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu + a^\mu . \quad (1)$$

Verify the composition law

$$g(\Lambda_2, a_2) g(\Lambda_1, a_1) = g(\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 a_1 + a_2) . \quad (2)$$

This law shows that the Poincaré group is isomorphic to the semidirect product $SO(1, 3) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$. Use (2) to show

$$g(\Lambda, a)^{-1} = g(\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1} a) . \quad (3)$$

- 1.b Let $U(\Lambda, a)$ be the unitary operator in the Hilbert space of the QFT that implements the transformation $g(\Lambda, a)$. We demand the composition law

$$U(\Lambda_2, a_2) U(\Lambda_1, a_1) = U(\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 a_1 + a_2) . \quad (4)$$

For an infinitesimal transformation, the vector a^μ is infinitesimal and $\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \lambda^\mu{}_\nu$ where $\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\rho} \lambda^\rho{}_\nu$ is antisymmetric in $\mu\nu$. Let us write the unitary operator associated to such an infinitesimal transformation as

$$U(\mathbb{I} + \lambda, a) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu} - i a^\mu P_\mu , \quad (5)$$

where $J_{\mu\nu}, P_\mu$ are Hermitian operators. Use the composition law (5) to derive the commutation relations of the Poincaré generators:

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{\mu\nu}, J_{\rho\sigma}] &= i \eta_{\mu\rho} J_{\nu\sigma} - i \eta_{\nu\rho} J_{\mu\sigma} - i \eta_{\mu\sigma} J_{\nu\rho} + i \eta_{\nu\sigma} J_{\mu\rho} , \\ [J_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] &= i \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu - i \eta_{\nu\rho} P_\mu , \quad [P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Hint: Recall (3) and compute $U(\Lambda_2, a_2) U(\Lambda_1, a_1) U(\Lambda_2, a_2)^{-1}$. Specialize to infinitesimal (Λ_1, a_1) . Finally, specialize to infinitesimal (Λ_2, a_2) .

- 1.c By definition, we say that a local operator $\mathcal{O}(x)$ transforms as a scalar under the action of the Poincaré group if

$$\mathcal{O}'(x') = \mathcal{O}(x) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{O}'(x) := U(\Lambda, a)^{-1} \mathcal{O}(x) U(\Lambda, a) \quad \text{and} \quad x'^\mu := \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu + a^\mu. \quad (7)$$

Specialize this definition to an infinitesimal transformation and compute the commutators $[P_\mu, \mathcal{O}(x)]$ and $[J_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{O}(x)]$. Write them in the form

$$[P_\mu, \mathcal{O}(x)] = -\mathbf{P}_\mu \mathcal{O}(x), \quad [J_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{O}(x)] = -\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}(x), \quad (8)$$

and identify the differential operators \mathbf{P}_μ , $\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}$. Verify that these differential operators satisfy the same commutation relations (6) as the abstract generators of the Poincaré algebra.

- 1.d Suppose $\mathcal{O}(x)$ is a scalar operator satisfying (7). Define $\mathcal{O}^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \mathcal{O}$. Verify the transformation law

$$U(\Lambda, a)^{-1} \mathcal{O}^\mu(x') U(\Lambda, a) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \mathcal{O}^\nu(x) \quad \text{where} \quad x'^\mu := \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu + a^\mu. \quad (9)$$

- 1.e Let us consider a local operator that carries an index $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ and transforms as a

$$U(\Lambda, a)^{-1} \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{A}}(x') U(\Lambda, a) = M(\Lambda)^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{B}}(x) \quad \text{where} \quad x'^\mu := \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu + a^\mu. \quad (10)$$

Show that consistency with (4) requires the matrices $M(\Lambda)^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}}$ to form a representation of the Lorentz group, i.e.

$$M(\Lambda_1)^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}} M(\Lambda_2)^{\mathcal{B}}{}_{\mathcal{C}} = M(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2)^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{C}}. \quad (11)$$

- 1.f Let us write

$$M(\mathbb{I} + \lambda)^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}} = \delta^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda^{\mu\nu} (S_{\mu\nu})^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}} \quad (12)$$

for an infinitesimal transformation, where $(S_{\mu\nu})^{\mathcal{A}}{}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the Lorentz generators in the representation with indices \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} . Use (10) to compute the commutators $[P_\mu, \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)]$ and $[J_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)]$.

2 Clifford algebra and Lorentz generators

- 2.a Use the abstract Clifford algebra anticommutation relation $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{I}$ to verify that the objects

$$S_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{4} (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu) \quad (13)$$

satisfy the same commutation relations as the $J_{\mu\nu}$'s in (6).

- 2.b Verify that the gamma matrices are invariant tensors of the Lorentz algebra, i.e.

$$0 = [S_{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\rho] + (S_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vec}})^\rho{}_\sigma \gamma^\sigma, \quad (14)$$

where the generators in the vector representations are defined by the relation $\lambda^\rho{}_\sigma = \frac{i}{2} \lambda^{\mu\nu} (S_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vec}})^\rho{}_\sigma$.

3 The homomorphism $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO(1, 3)$

Our conventions for the σ matrices are

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

as well as

$$\bar{\sigma}^0 = \sigma^0, \quad \bar{\sigma}^1 = -\sigma^1, \quad \bar{\sigma}^2 = -\sigma^2, \quad \bar{\sigma}^3 = -\sigma^3. \quad (16)$$

Notice that all these σ matrices are Hermitian. The index structure on σ^μ is $(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, and the index structure on $\bar{\sigma}^\mu$ is $(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}$. The epsilon symbols $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, $\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, $\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ satisfy $\epsilon_{12} = -1$, $\epsilon^{12} = +1$, both for dotted and undotted indices. We also define

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} (\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu), \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu). \quad (17)$$

Here are some useful identities:

$$\text{Tr}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu) = -2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} = -2\delta_\alpha^\delta \delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} \epsilon^{\beta\delta} (\sigma^\mu)_{\delta\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu = -2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{I}_2, \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu + \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu = -2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{I}_2 \quad (19)$$

$$\text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\rho\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{2} (\eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho}) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad \epsilon^{0123} = +1. \quad (20)$$

3.a We consider the following linear map that sends vectors x^μ in Minkowski space to Hermitian 2×2 matrices,

$$x^\mu \mapsto \sigma_\mu x^\mu. \quad (21)$$

Determine the inverse of this map, i.e. solve the equation $M = \sigma_\mu x^\mu$ for x^μ .

3.b The group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on the vector space of Hermitian 2×2 matrices via

$$M' = A M A^\dagger \quad \text{or equiv.} \quad M'_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = A_\alpha{}^\gamma M_{\gamma\dot{\delta}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\delta}} \quad \text{where} \quad A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}). \quad (22)$$

In our notation $\bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}$ are the entries of the complex conjugate of the matrix A with entries $A_\alpha{}^\beta$. Write $M = \sigma_\mu x^\mu$, $M' = \sigma_\mu x'^\mu$ and compute x'^μ in terms of x^μ and A . Write the result in the form $x'^\mu = \Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu$ and identify the expression for the matrix $\Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu$ in terms of A .

3.c Use the expression $\Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu$ to verify by direct computation that the following composition law holds,

$$\Lambda(A_1)^\mu{}_\nu \Lambda(A_2)^\nu{}_\rho = \Lambda(A_1 A_2)^\mu{}_\rho, \quad (23)$$

and that $\Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu$ is a Lorentz transformation, i.e.

$$\Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu \Lambda(A)^\rho{}_\sigma \eta_{\mu\rho} = \eta_{\nu\sigma}. \quad (24)$$

These relations show that the map $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \ni A \mapsto \Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu \in SO(1, 3)$ is a group homomorphism.

- 3.d Compute the kernel of the homomorphism, i.e. the set of matrices A for which $\Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu$.
- 3.e Let us consider a matrix $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ that is infinitesimally close to the identity, $A = \mathbb{I} + \delta A$. This matrix is mapped to an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation of the form $\Lambda(A)^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \lambda^\mu{}_\nu$. Find the explicit expression for $\lambda^\mu{}_\nu$ in terms of δA and verify $\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \lambda_{[\mu\nu]}$. The map $\delta A \mapsto \lambda^\mu{}_\nu$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$.
- 3.f Show that the Lie algebra homomorphism $\delta A \mapsto \lambda^\mu{}_\nu$ can be inverted (and thus is actually an isomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$) by finding the explicit expression of δA in terms of $\lambda^\mu{}_\nu$. Hint: any complex traceless 2×2 matrix Y can be written uniquely as $Y = y^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ for suitable real coefficients $y^{\mu\nu} = y^{[\mu\nu]}$.

4 Spinor bilinears

All 2-component spinors we consider are anticommuting, i.e. Grassmann-odd. Our raising/lowering conventions are $\psi_\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\beta$, $\psi^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta$. When a pair of contracted α indices is implicit, it is understood as ${}^\alpha{}_\alpha$. The raising/lowering conventions for dotted indices are the same, but when a pair of contracted $\dot{\alpha}$ indices is implicit, it is understood as ${}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}$. Complex conjugation interchanges the order of a product: for any objects a, b , we have $(ab)^* = b^* a^*$. The complex conjugate of spinors satisfies $(\psi_\alpha)^* = \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$. The identities recorded in problem 3 can be useful.

- 4.a Verify the following “flip identities” for spinor bilinears:

$$\chi \psi = \psi \chi, \quad \chi \sigma^\mu \bar{\psi} = -\bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi, \quad \chi \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \psi = \psi \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi. \quad (25)$$

- 4.b Verify the following reality properties of spinor bilinears:

$$(\chi \psi)^* = \bar{\psi} \bar{\chi}, \quad (\chi \sigma^\mu \bar{\psi})^* = \psi \sigma^\mu \bar{\chi}, \quad (\chi \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \psi)^* = \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu \bar{\chi}. \quad (26)$$

- 4.c Verify the following “Fierz identities”:

$$(\chi_1 \chi_2) \chi_{3\alpha} + (\chi_1 \chi_3) \chi_{2\alpha} + (\chi_2 \chi_3) \chi_{1\alpha} = 0, \quad (\psi \phi) \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\phi \sigma^\mu \bar{\chi}) (\psi \sigma_\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (27)$$

$$(\theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}) (\theta \sigma^\nu \bar{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} (\theta \theta) (\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}). \quad (28)$$