### STRING THEORY J





[4.] Generalities

QFT: to understand interactions one adds ma-linear times to the action Lo do son't work br the string because anything up hug to add breaks gaing inversione. scattering amplitudio -> teynman diagrams eg X eti interactions encoded at vertices say >-Lo in string theory this is replaced to , construct or The such vertice !

<u>In string theory</u>: Want to compute to example the amplitude of a given contignention of quantized strings at an initial time to evolve into a new configuration at a later time

Problem: it is mt known how to do this ....

we need to work with the first quantited formalism

#### We start by considering processes in which a string preaks into two cor two roin to give a ringle string?





Support 12 > is a physical state which is emitted (abrouved.

We describe emission or absorption of a given

quantum state (say 12>) won a shing world sheet

by the action of a local opwator or Vertex opwator



One explanations for this is that 12>, as a obyrical state emitted or absorved, is a <u>quantum</u> state with mass squared and width of order to? Argna bly in the dassical limit these states behave like point particles.

Instead: (Chapter 1 GSW)

· Wick rotation of the world sheet

( loventzion signature -> Enclidean signature)

Constraint i gnature-s
 constraint transportation



#### 4.2 Vertex operators: Introduction

Require:

- time evolution on the worl sheet is a gange transpondion => porition of the vertex opwator should it be meaninged.
  - opm string vertex grantos  $\int d \zeta V_2(\tau)$ 
    - VID) institud on the boundary
    - cbæl string verter prontor Vhilige

insuited on the interior

 $\int d\bar{L} d\sigma \, V_{(\bar{L}, \sigma)}$ 



## let's su have this works for the open strings first consider the action of the vertex operator on a state 100

[dt V(t,0) 1\$

physical state comditions:





```
[L_m, V(t_1 \circ)] = \partial_t (local spanator)
it
```

#### null states:

## $\int d\tau V(\tau, 0) \left[ L - m \left[ \phi \right] \right] \qquad m \ge 1$

## $= \int d\bar{U} \{ [V(\bar{U}, 0), L_m] | \phi \rangle + L_m V(\bar{U}, 0) | \phi \rangle \}$

need this to veriable up to a total devivative CUPMESIL -n V(C, O) L& 3=0

This is nul) if [V(T,O), L-m]~ 2; (local p)

#### Thun [ Lm, V(0,0)]~ 2+(--)

#### Morvouer, comprimal transformations

## of the open string of the hum T -> TIT).

We want



#### Définition: an opnator A(2) is a plimary

opwators f weight h if under the homsess mation

 $T \rightarrow \tilde{t}(\tau)$ it transions as  $A(\tau) \longrightarrow \tilde{A}(\tilde{\tau}) = A(\tau) \left(\frac{d\tau}{d\tilde{\tau}}\right)^{h}$ 

For an approach with h=1  $\int \widetilde{A}(\widetilde{t}) d\widetilde{t} = \int A(t) d\widetilde{t} d\widetilde{t} = \int A(t) d\widetilde{t} d\widetilde{t} d\widetilde{t} = \int A(t) d\widetilde{t} d\widetilde{t}$ ie the integrated operator is invaviant.

For infinitesimal transformations  $T \rightarrow \tilde{T} = T + E(G)$ we have

 $A(t) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\tilde{t}) = A(t) \left(1 + h dG \right)$ OTOH

 $\widetilde{A}(\widetilde{\tau}) = \widetilde{A}(\tau + \varepsilon) = \widetilde{A}(\tau) + \varepsilon \partial_{\tau} A(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})$ 

Then we find the variation of A

 $\delta A(\tau) = A(\tau) - A(\tau) = - \epsilon \partial_{\tau} A - h(\partial_{\tau} \epsilon) A$ 

 $= - \partial_{\tau}(eA) - (h-1) \partial_{\tau}eA$ 

[which is a total dividive when h=1]

The Viravoro operators generate the transformations  $T \rightarrow \overline{T} = \overline{T} + E(\overline{T})$  with  $G = i e^{im\overline{T}}$ hm Thun  $SA(t) = e^{imt}(-i\partial_t A + hm A)$ so the action of the Viranovo opwators is  $\left[ \left[ L_{m}, A(\tau) \right] - e^{im\tau} \left( -i \partial_{\tau} + mh \right) A(\tau) \right]$ Equivalently, this is the condition for to to have comformal weight h. h = 1 [Lm,  $b(\tau)$ ] =  $\partial_{\tau}$  (-ie<sup>im T</sup>  $b(\tau)$ )  $\sim$ 

We need to identify primaries of weight 1 which correspond to the physical states in the string Hilbert space. We use this to compute string complitudes.

Closed strings:

A primanz opwator of <u>dimmin</u> (h, h) is an opwator Hansforming under compressional transformation as

 $A(\mathcal{G}_+, \mathcal{G}_-) \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_+, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_-) = \left(\frac{d\mathcal{G}_+}{d\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_+}\right)^h \left(\frac{d\mathcal{G}_-}{d\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_+}\right)^h \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}_+, \mathcal{G}_-)$ 

The corresponding infinite rimal Wansformations are  $\delta \not= 0$ ,  $(\varepsilon \not= -\partial_{+}(\varepsilon \not= \partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{+}\varepsilon)\not= -\partial_{-}(\varepsilon \not= \partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon)\not= -\partial_{+}(\varepsilon \not= \partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon)\not= -\partial_{-}(\varepsilon \not= \partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(\varepsilon \not= \partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(\varepsilon \not= \partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(h-1)(\partial_{-}\varepsilon), -\partial_{-}(h-1$ 

(This is a total derivative if  $h = \tilde{h} = 1$ )

For  $e = \frac{i}{a} e^{\lim \sigma_{i}}$  this gives the action of Lm:

 $[L_m, \bigstar(\nabla_{\pm})] = \frac{1}{2} e^{i m \sigma_{\pm}} (-i \partial_{\pm} + \partial m h) \bigstar(\sigma_{\pm})$ 

 $\int \left[ \widetilde{L}_{m}, \mathscr{H}(\mathcal{T}_{\pm}) \right]^{2} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\lim \mathcal{T}_{-}} \left( -i \partial_{-} + \partial_{m} \widetilde{h} \right) \mathscr{H}(\mathcal{T}_{\pm})$   $\int \mathcal{L}_{-} = \frac{i}{2} e^{\lim \mathcal{T}_{-}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{-} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\lim \mathcal{T}_{-}} \left( -i \partial_{-} + \partial_{m} \widetilde{h} \right)$ 



# comiden the boundary scalar spreator X<sup>(I)</sup>, 0): $\begin{array}{c} (l-1) \\ (a'-ih) \\ We can check \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{m} t \ \overline{b} \ p^{n} + i \ \overline{b} \ a^{n} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i} \ \overline{b} \ a^{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i} \ \overline{b} \ a^{i} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i} \ \overline{b} \ a^{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i} \ \overline{b} \ a^{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i} \ a^{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i} \ a^{i} \\ \end{array}$

- - $Lm = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{n} dm n \cdot \alpha_n$
- By direct computation  $\begin{bmatrix} L_m, X^m(\overline{U}, 0) \end{bmatrix} = -i \sum_{n=1}^{N} Q_n e^{-i(n-m)\overline{U}} = -i \left( \frac{d}{d\overline{U}} X^m(\overline{U}, 0) \right) e^{im\overline{U}}$ 
  - so h = 0



This means Ve(C) must depend on e "K-x(T)

A noive guess (SN Velc) is  $V_{K}(t) = e^{iK \cdot X(t)}$ 

As it stands, it is not well defined: it still needs normal or dwing.

Convident the normal ordered or provide  $a_{m}$  of  $d_{m}$  of  $d_$ Kuku [am, an]

Remark:  $i K \cdot dm = i K \cdot dn = e$  e = e = e = e

so residuring is free if K2=0

Next, we need to compute the computed dimension

This is a good unter aquator for  $\ell k^2 = 2$ some as tachyon mass-shell undition  $(d' k^2 = 1)$ s'= e<sup>2</sup>/2

Building vertex operators & livel one states

- For these states 12=0
- to we are looking for the photon emission/ abortion vertex grantes
  - $V_{s}(t) = (\cdot - -) : e^{i k \cdot x(t)}$

 $o_{2} k^{2} = 0$ : h = 0. m ordering issu

- · some appropriate local operator
- no zero mole dependence
  - Sconsider 35 XM

 $\begin{bmatrix} Lm, \partial_{\tau} \chi^{m}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \begin{bmatrix} Lm, \chi^{m}(\tau) \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( -i \left( \partial_{\tau} X^{M}(\tau) \right) e^{im\tau} \right)$  $= e^{im\tau} \left( -i \partial_{\tau} + m \right) \partial_{\tau} X^{M}(\tau)$ 

Thin  $\partial_T \chi^m(T)$  is primary of weight h=1

We could try polarization  $V_{s}(\tau) = (S \cdot \partial_{\tau} \chi(\tau)): e$ :

and deal with normal ordining issues.

(Note that h(AB) is not necessarily haths)

#### In S. JTX(I): each oscillator privator is contracted with S

- In e (K·XII) : each oscillator grivator is contracted with K
- Moreover:
- [dm·S, dn·K]=m Smin, o (S·K)

#### . when S.K=O (physical polarization) the operator product

(S· ZiX(I)): e : is well befined

(- 6 minero mon creiterrouch)

#### This we have:

 $V_{g}(T) = (S \cdot X(T)):e : with K^{2}=0, S \cdot K=0 (h=1)$ 

Note that  $(k \cdot \dot{x}):e^{ik \cdot x} = -i \partial_{\overline{u}}(e^{ik \cdot x})$ 

which vanishes after integrating over 5.

This means that the knyitudinal mode devalues

• It is not a coincidence that the rules for anstructing vertex apprentors is closely pavallel to the construction of physical states.

Hore we have for level 1

vertix appropriates with 2-1, physical states h=1

## Building vertex opwators a livel two states

## Harder. At level two we guess



mind ording porketion:

 $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v}$  Oscillator rovdurings ave proportional to  $\mathcal{T}_{m}^{m}$   $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v}$   $\mu$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup>: Oscillator rorderings proportional  $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v} \mu$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup>: Oscillator rorderings proportional  $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v} \mu$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup>: Oscillator rorderings proportional  $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v} \mu$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup>: Oscillator rorderings proportional  $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v} \mu$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup>: Oscillator rorderings proportional  $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v} \mu$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup>: Oscillator rorderings proportional  $\mathcal{T}_{mv} \dot{X}^{m} \dot{X}^{v} \dot{X}^{v} \dot{X}^{v} \dot{X}^{v} \dot{X}^{v}$ 



These are the conditions for a manie spin two state, that is a symmetric traceless 2-times of SO(D-1] = SO(25)

It is the only possibility in D=26(see GSW)

 $\dot{X}^{M}$ : e<sup>ik.x</sup> 17

## Next: Vertex gonator & mynical states

