

Introduction to Cryptology

12.2 - A Hash-based Signature, and Pairings

Federico Pintore

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford (UK)



UNIVERSITY OF
OXFORD

Hash-based Signatures

No reliance on number-theoretic hardness assumptions.

Security proofs given in the **standard model**.

Believed to be **post-quantum secure**.

Lamport's Signature Scheme

Proposed by Leslie Lamport in 1979.

It is a **one-time secure** signature scheme.

One-time security: \mathcal{A} can query the signing oracle on one message in the $\text{Sig}_{\mathcal{A},S}^{\text{forge}}(n)$ experiment.

One-time secure signature schemes are usually used as **building blocks** for other cryptosystems.

Lamport's Signature Scheme

Example (Katz-Lindell book)

- ❖ Consider a **3-bit** message $m = \mathbf{011}$ and a hash function H .
- ❖ Let the private key and public key be as follows:

$$\text{SK} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,0} & x_{2,0} & x_{3,0} \\ x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & x_{3,1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{PK} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,0} & y_{2,0} & y_{3,0} \\ y_{1,1} & y_{2,1} & y_{3,1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{x_{i,j}\}$ are chosen uniformly at random from $\{0, 1\}^n$ and $y_{i,j} = H(x_{i,j})$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1$.

- ❖ The signature is $\sigma = (x_{1,\mathbf{0}}, x_{2,\mathbf{1}}, x_{3,\mathbf{1}})$.
- ❖ The verification-algorithms checks if

$$H(x_{1,\mathbf{0}}) \stackrel{?}{=} y_{1,0} \quad H(x_{2,\mathbf{1}}) \stackrel{?}{=} y_{2,1} \quad H(x_{3,\mathbf{1}}) \stackrel{?}{=} y_{3,1}$$

Lamport's Signature Scheme

Given a hash function H , the Lamport's signature scheme (KeyGen, Sign, Verify) for messages of length $\ell(n)$ is defined as follows.

- ❖ $(PK, SK) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(n)$: on input a security parameter n , it sets

$$PK = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,0} & x_{2,0} & \dots & x_{\ell,0} \\ x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \dots & x_{\ell,1} \end{pmatrix} \quad SK = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,0} & y_{2,0} & \dots & y_{\ell,0} \\ y_{1,1} & y_{2,1} & \dots & y_{\ell,1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{x_{i,j}\}$ are chosen uniformly at random from $\{0, 1\}^n$ and $y_{i,j} = H(x_{i,j})$, for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $j = 0, 1$.

- ❖ $\text{Sign} \leftarrow \text{Sign}(SK, m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell)$: the signature σ is set to $(x_{1,m_1}, \dots, x_{\ell,m_\ell})$, where $m = m_1 \dots m_\ell$.
- ❖ $\text{Verify}(PK, m, \sigma)$: given a public key, a message $m_1 \dots m_\ell$ and a signature $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell)$, it outputs 1 if $H(\sigma_i) = y_{i,m_i} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, 0 otherwise.

Lamport's Signature Scheme

In the security game, \mathcal{A} can learn only one signature on a message m of their choice.

\mathcal{A} has to output a signature on a new message m' . The signature will then involve some new $x_{i,j}$, say $x_{1,1}$.

If the forged signature is valid, \mathcal{A} managed to compute the **preimage** of $y_{1,1}$, that is part of the public key.

This cannot happen if H is a **preimage-resistant** hash function.

Security of Lamport's Signature Scheme

Theorem

If H is a preimage-resistant hash function, then the Lamport's signature scheme is one-time secure.

Bilinear Maps (Pairings)

Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ and \mathbb{G}_T be three groups of the same prime order p .

A pairing is an efficiently computable function

$$e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_T$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- ❖ $e(g_1^a, g_2^b) = e(g_1, g_2)^{ab}$, for every $g_1 \in \mathbb{G}_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{G}_2$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$;
- ❖ if g_1 is a generator of \mathbb{G}_1 and g_2 is a generator of \mathbb{G}_2 , then $e(g_1, g_2)$ is a generator of \mathbb{G}_T (non-degeneracy).

Pairing-based Signatures

The **Tate/Weil** pairing maps pairs of elements of elliptic-curve groups to elements of the multiplicative group of a finite field.

Pairing-based Signatures

The **Tate/Weil** pairing maps pairs of elements of elliptic-curve groups to elements of the multiplicative group of a finite field.

- ❖ Boneh-Lynn-Shacham signature scheme (2004).
 - ❖ $\text{PK} = (\mathbb{G}_1 = \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_T, p, g, h := g^x, H), \text{SK} := (x, H)$, where $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_1$;
 - ❖ the signature σ on a message m is $H(m)^x$;
 - ❖ the verification algorithm checks whether $e(\sigma, g) = e(H(m), h)$.
- ❖ Boneh-Boyen signature scheme (2004)

Further Reading I



Carlisle Adams and Steve Lloyd.

Understanding PKI: concepts, standards, and deployment considerations.

Addison-Wesley Professional, 2003.



Dan Boneh, Ben Lynn, and Hovav Shacham.

Short signatures from the Weil pairing.

Journal of cryptology, 17(4):297–319, 2004.



Tim Dierks.

The transport layer security (TLS) protocol version 1.2.
2008.



Carl Ellison and Bruce Schneier.

Ten risks of PKI: What you're not being told about public key infrastructure.

Comput Secur J, 16(1):1–7, 2000.

Further Reading II



Amos Fiat and Adi Shamir.

How to prove yourself: Practical solutions to identification and signature problems.

In *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO'86*, pages 186–194. Springer, 1987.



Martin Georgiev, Subodh Iyengar, Suman Jana, Rishita Anubhai, Dan Boneh, and Vitaly Shmatikov.

The most dangerous code in the world: validating SSL certificates in non-browser software.

In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM conference on Computer and communications security*, pages 38–49. ACM, 2012.

Further Reading III



Hugo Krawczyk.

Cryptographic extraction and key derivation: The HKDF scheme.

In Annual Cryptology Conference, pages 631–648. Springer, 2010.



Hugo Krawczyk, Kenneth G Paterson, and Hoeteck Wee.

On the security of the TLS protocol: A systematic analysis.

In Advances in Cryptology–CRYPTO 2013, pages 429–448. Springer, 2013.



Leslie Lamport.

Constructing digital signatures from a one-way function.

Technical report, Technical Report CSL-98, SRI International Palo Alto, 1979.