◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三 ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Lemma

Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic and has a zero of order k or a pole of order k at $z_0 \in U$. Then f'(z)/f(z) has a simple pole at z_0 with residue k or -k respectively.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ● 三 ● のへで

Lemma

Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic and has a zero of order k or a pole of order k at $z_0 \in U$. Then f'(z)/f(z) has a simple pole at z_0 with residue k or -k respectively.

Proof.

If f(z) has a pole of order k we have $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-k}g(z)$ where g(z) is holomorphic near z_0 and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

Lemma

Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic and has a zero of order k or a pole of order k at $z_0 \in U$. Then f'(z)/f(z) has a simple pole at z_0 with residue k or -k respectively.

Proof.

If f(z) has a pole of order k we have $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-k}g(z)$ where g(z) is holomorphic near z_0 and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

It follows that

$$f'(z)/f(z) = \frac{-k}{z-z_0} + g'(z)/g(z),$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

Lemma

Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic and has a zero of order k or a pole of order k at $z_0 \in U$. Then f'(z)/f(z) has a simple pole at z_0 with residue k or -k respectively.

Proof.

If f(z) has a pole of order k we have $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-k}g(z)$ where g(z) is holomorphic near z_0 and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

It follows that

$$f'(z)/f(z) = \frac{-k}{z-z_0} + g'(z)/g(z),$$

Since $g(z) \neq 0$ near z_0 , g'(z)/g(z) is holomorphic near z_0 so the result follows. The case where *f* has a zero at z_0 is similar.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Thus integrating f'(z)/f(z) along a path γ will measure the change in argument around the origin of the path $f(\gamma(t))$.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 〇 < 〇</p>

Thus integrating f'(z)/f(z) along a path γ will measure the change in argument around the origin of the path $f(\gamma(t))$.

 $\int f'_{f} dz = \log \left(f(b) \right) - L_{oy}(f(\mu)) = x + i\theta$

Thus integrating f'(z)/f(z) along a path γ will measure the change in argument around the origin of the path $f(\gamma(t))$.

We will show using the residue theorem how to relate this to the number of zeros and poles of f inside γ :

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

Theorem

(Argument principle): Suppose that U is an open set and $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic function on U. If $B(a, r) \subseteq U$ and N is the number of zeros (counted with multiplicity) and P is the number of poles (again counted with multiplicity) of f inside B(a, r) and f has neither on $\partial B(a, r)$ then

$$m{N}-m{P}=rac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}rac{f'(z)}{f(z)}dz,$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

where $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ is a path with image $\partial B(a, r)$.

Theorem

(Argument principle): Suppose that U is an open set and $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic function on U. If $B(a, r) \subseteq U$ and N is the number of zeros (counted with multiplicity) and P is the number of poles (again counted with multiplicity) of f inside B(a, r) and f has neither on $\partial B(a, r)$ then

$$N-P=rac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}rac{f'(z)}{f(z)}dz,$$

where $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ is a path with image $\partial B(a, r)$. Moreover this is the winding number of the path $\Gamma = f \circ \gamma$ about the origin.





Proof. Clearly $I(\gamma, z)$ is 1 if $|z - a| \le r$ and is 0 otherwise.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●

.

Clearly $I(\gamma, z)$ is 1 if $|z - a| \le r$ and is 0 otherwise. Recall that by the residue theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}g(z)dz=\sum_{z_0\in S}\operatorname{Res}_{z_0}(g)\cdot I(\gamma,z_0),$$

where the sum ranges over the poles z_0 of g inside γ .

Clearly $I(\gamma, z)$ is 1 if $|z - a| \le r$ and is 0 otherwise. Recall that by the residue theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma} g(z)dz = \sum_{z_0\in S} \operatorname{Res}_{z_0}(g) \cdot I(\gamma, z_0),$$

where the sum ranges over the poles z_0 of g inside γ .

By the previous lemma f'(z)/f(z) has simple poles exactly at the zeros and poles of f with residues the corresponding orders. So the result follows (take g(z) = f'(z)/f(z)).

Clearly $I(\gamma, z)$ is 1 if $|z - a| \le r$ and is 0 otherwise. Recall that by the residue theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma} g(z)dz = \sum_{z_0\in S} \operatorname{Res}_{z_0}(g) \cdot I(\gamma, z_0),$$

where the sum ranges over the poles z_0 of g inside γ .

By the previous lemma f'(z)/f(z) has simple poles exactly at the zeros and poles of f with residues the corresponding orders. So the result follows (take g(z) = f'(z)/f(z)).

For the last part, note that $2\pi i \cdot I(f \circ \gamma, 0)$ is just

$$\int_{f\circ\gamma} dz/z = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{f(\gamma(t))} f'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt = \int_\gamma \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz.$$

Remark

The argument principle also holds, with the same proof, for any closed path γ on which f is continuous and non-vanishing, provided it has winding number +1 around its inside.

Remark

The argument principle also holds, with the same proof, for any closed path γ on which f is continuous and non-vanishing, provided it has winding number +1 around its inside.

Theorem

(Rouché's theorem): Suppose that f and g are holomorphic functions on an open set U in \mathbb{C} and $\overline{B}(a, r) \subset U$. If |f(z)| > |g(z)| for all $z \in \partial B(a, r)$ then f and f + g have the same number of zeros in B(a, r) (counted with multiplicities).



Let $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ be a parametrization of the boundary circle of B(a, r). Note that $f(z) \neq 0$ on γ since |f(z)| > |g(z)|.

Let $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ be a parametrization of the boundary circle of B(a, r). Note that $f(z) \neq 0$ on γ since |f(z)| > |g(z)|.

Consider h = (f + g)/f = 1 + g/f. By hypothesis

$$|h(z) - 1| = |g(z)/f(z)| < 1$$

for all $z \in \gamma^*$.

Let $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ be a parametrization of the boundary circle of B(a, r). Note that $f(z) \neq 0$ on γ since |f(z)| > |g(z)|.

Consider h = (f + g)/f = 1 + g/f. By hypothesis

$$|h(z) - 1| = |g(z)/f(z)| < 1$$

for all $z \in \gamma^*$.

So $\Gamma(t) = h(\gamma(t))$ is contained in the half-plane $\{z : \Re(z) > 0\}$.



Let $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ be a parametrization of the boundary circle of B(a, r). Note that $f(z) \neq 0$ on γ since |f(z)| > |g(z)|.

Consider h = (f + g)/f = 1 + g/f. By hypothesis

$$|h(z) - 1| = |g(z)/f(z)| < 1$$

for all $z \in \gamma^*$.

So $\Gamma(t) = h(\gamma(t))$ is contained in the half-plane $\{z : \Re(z) > 0\}$. Picking a branch of Log defined on this half-plane:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{dz}{z} = \operatorname{Log}(h(\gamma(1)) - \operatorname{Log}(h(\gamma(0))) = 0 \qquad b, \ \mathcal{FTC}$$

Let $\gamma(t) = a + re^{2\pi i t}$ be a parametrization of the boundary circle of B(a, r). Note that $f(z) \neq 0$ on γ since |f(z)| > |g(z)|.

Consider h = (f + g)/f = 1 + g/f. By hypothesis

$$|h(z) - 1| = |g(z)/f(z)| < 1$$

for all $z \in \gamma^*$.

So $\Gamma(t) = h(\gamma(t))$ is contained in the half-plane $\{z : \Re(z) > 0\}$. Picking a branch of Log defined on this half-plane:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{dz}{z} = \operatorname{Log}(h(\gamma(1)) - \operatorname{Log}(h(\gamma(0))) = 0$$

By the argument principle h = (f + g)/f has the same number of zeros as poles in B(a, r). As the number of poles is the number of zeros of f and the number of zeros is the number of zeros of f + g the theorem follows.

Remark

Rouché's theorem can be useful in counting the number of zeros of a function f – one tries to find an approximation to f whose zeros are easier to count and then by Rouché's theorem obtain information about the zeros of f. Just as for the argument principle above, Rouché's theorem also holds for closed paths which have winding number 1 about their inside.

Show that all the roots of $P(z) = z^4 + 5z + 2$ have modulus less than 2.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Show that all the roots of $P(z) = z^4 + 5z + 2$ have modulus less than 2.

On the circle |z| = 2, we have $|z|^4 = 16 > 5 \cdot 2 + 2 \ge |5z + 2|$, so that if g(z) = 5z + 2 so by Rouche's theorem $P - g = z^4$ and P have the same number of roots in B(0, 2).

$$|P-g| > |g|$$

 $|F|$

Show that all the roots of $P(z) = z^4 + 5z + 2$ have modulus less than 2.

On the circle |z| = 2, we have $|z|^4 = 16 > 5 \cdot 2 + 2 \ge |5z + 2|$, so that if g(z) = 5z + 2 so by Rouche's theorem $P - g = z^4$ and P have the same number of roots in B(0, 2).

As 0 has multiplicity 4 for P - g, the four roots of P(z) all have modulus less than 2.



Show that all the roots of $P(z) = z^4 + 5z + 2$ have modulus less than 2.

On the circle |z| = 2, we have $|z|^4 = 16 > 5 \cdot 2 + 2 \ge |5z + 2|$, so that if g(z) = 5z + 2 so by Rouche's theorem $P - g = z^4$ and P have the same number of roots in B(0, 2).

As 0 has multiplicity 4 for P - g, the four roots of P(z) all have modulus less than 2.

We note further that if we take |z| = 1, then $|5z + 2| \ge 5 - 2 = 3 > |z^4| = 1$, hence P(z) and 5z + 2 have the same number of roots in B(0, 1). It follows P(z) has one root of modulus less than 1, and 3 of modulus between 1 and 2.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

Theorem (Open mapping theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and non-constant on a domain U. Then for any open set $V \subset U$ the set f(V) is also open.

Theorem

(Open mapping theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and non-constant on a domain U. Then for any open set $V \subset U$ the set f(V) is also open.

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $w_0 \in f(V)$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(V)$.



Theorem

(Open mapping theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and non-constant on a domain U. Then for any open set $V \subset U$ the set f(V) is also open.

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $w_0 \in f(V)$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(V)$.

Suppose that $w_0 \in f(V)$, say $f(z_0) = w_0$. Then $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$ has a zero at z_0 which, since f is nonconstant, is isolated.

Theorem

(Open mapping theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and non-constant on a domain U. Then for any open set $V \subset U$ the set f(V) is also open.

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $w_0 \in f(V)$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(V)$.

Suppose that $w_0 \in f(V)$, say $f(z_0) = w_0$. Then $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$ has a zero at z_0 which, since f is nonconstant, is isolated.

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

Theorem

(Open mapping theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and non-constant on a domain U. Then for any open set $V \subset U$ the set f(V) is also open.

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $w_0 \in f(V)$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(V)$.

Suppose that $w_0 \in f(V)$, say $f(z_0) = w_0$. Then $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$ has a zero at z_0 which, since f is nonconstant, is isolated.

Thus we may find an r > 0 such that $g(z) \neq 0$ on $\overline{B}(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\} \subset U$.

Since $\partial B(z_0, r)$ is compact, we have $|g(z)| \ge \delta > 0$ on $\partial B(z_0, r)$.

But then if $|w - w_0| < \delta$ it follows $|w - w_0| < |g(z)|$ on $\partial B(z_0, r)$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●
▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● の Q @

Since g(z) has a zero in $B(z_0, r)$ it follows h(z) = f(z) - w does also, that is, f(z) takes the value w in $B(z_0, r)$.

Since g(z) has a zero in $B(z_0, r)$ it follows h(z) = f(z) - w does also, that is, f(z) takes the value w in $B(z_0, r)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● の Q @

Thus $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(B(z_0, r))$ and hence f(U) is open.

Since g(z) has a zero in $B(z_0, r)$ it follows h(z) = f(z) - w does also, that is, f(z) takes the value w in $B(z_0, r)$.

Thus $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(B(z_0, r))$ and hence f(U) is open.

Remark

If $w_0 = f(z_0)$ then the multiplicity d of the zero of the function $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$ at z_0 is called the degree of f at z_0 .



Since g(z) has a zero in $B(z_0, r)$ it follows h(z) = f(z) - w does also, that is, f(z) takes the value w in $B(z_0, r)$.

Thus $B(w_0, \delta) \subseteq f(B(z_0, r))$ and hence f(U) is open.

Remark

If $w_0 = f(z_0)$ then the multiplicity d of the zero of the function $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$ at z_0 is called the degree of f at z_0 . We showed that f(z) - w has as many zeros as $f(z) - w_0$ so fis locally d-to-1, counting multiplicities, that is, there are $r, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for every $w \in B(w_0, \delta)$ the equation f(z) = w has d solutions counted with multiplicity in the disk $B(z_0, r)$.

Inverse function theorem

Theorem

(Inverse function theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is injective and holomorphic and that $f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. If $g: f(U) \to U$ is the inverse of f, then g is holomorphic with g'(w) = 1/f'(g(w)).

Inverse function theorem

Theorem

(Inverse function theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is injective and holomorphic and that $f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. If $g: f(U) \to U$ is the inverse of f, then g is holomorphic with g'(w) = 1/f'(g(w)).

Proof.

g is continuous: Let $V \subseteq U$ open. Then is open by the open mapping theorem.

$$g^{-1}(V) = f(V)$$



Inverse function theorem

Theorem

(Inverse function theorem): Suppose that $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is injective and holomorphic and that $f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. If $g: f(U) \to U$ is the inverse of f, then g is holomorphic with g'(w) = 1/f'(g(w)).

Proof.

g is continuous: Let $V \subseteq U$ open. Then then $g^{-1}(V) = f(V)$ is open by the open mapping theorem.

g is holomorphic: fix $w_0 \in f(U)$ and let $z_0 = g(w_0)$. Note that since g is continuous, if $w \to w_0$ then $g(w) \to z_0$. Writing w = f(z) we have

$$\lim_{w \to w_0} \frac{g(w) - g(w_0)}{w - w_0} = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{z - z_0}{f(z) - f(z_0)} = 1/f'(z_0)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで

Remark In fact the condition that $f'(z) \neq 0$ follows from the fact that f is bijective:

.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●

In fact the condition that $f'(z) \neq 0$ follows from the fact that f is bijective:

.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

if $f'(z_0) = 0$ and f is nonconstant, then $f(z) - f(z_0) = (z - z_0)^k g(z)$ where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and k > 1

In fact the condition that $f'(z) \neq 0$ follows from the fact that f is bijective:

if $f'(z_0) = 0$ and f is nonconstant, then $f(z) - f(z_0) = (z - z_0)^k g(z)$ where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and k > 1But then z_0 is a root of multiplicity k of $f(z) - f(z_0) = 0$ so f(z)is locally k-to-1 near z_0 . Recoll Ke cell 1) $f(z) - f(z_0) = 0$ und f(z) - f(w) = 0 (by prod of open hepping Thu) here same no of roots, country unliplicities 2) f' is not identically =0 so br identity principle f(w) =0 near Zo. 3) Since f' to neer To f(2)-f(w)=0 hes sigle roots ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ミ▶ ◆ ミ▶ ・ ミー のへで

In fact the condition that $f'(z) \neq 0$ follows from the fact that f is bijective:

if $f'(z_0) = 0$ and f is nonconstant, then $f(z) - f(z_0) = (z - z_0)^k g(z)$ where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and k > 1But then z_0 is a root of multiplicity k of $f(z) - f(z_0) = 0$ so f(z)

is locally k-to-1 near z_0 .

In fact the condition that $f'(z) \neq 0$ follows from the fact that f is bijective:

if $f'(z_0) = 0$ and f is nonconstant, then $f(z) - f(z_0) = (z - z_0)^k g(z)$ where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and k > 1But then z_0 is a root of multiplicity k of $f(z) - f(z_0) = 0$ so f(z)is locally k-to-1 near z_0 .

A bijective holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow V$ with differentiable inverse is called a biholomorphism.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ■ りへぐ

The Residue Theorem reduces the problem of calculating path integrals over closed paths to calculating the residues of power series.

The Residue Theorem reduces the problem of calculating path integrals over closed paths to calculating the residues of power series.

Recall that if a is an isolated singularity of f and

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n (z-a)^n, \quad \forall z \in B(a,r) \setminus \{a\}.$$

then the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{a}(f)$ of f at a is c_{-1} and

$$P_a(f) = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(z-a)^n,$$

is the principal part of *f* at *a*. $P_a(f)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$

The Residue Theorem reduces the problem of calculating path integrals over closed paths to calculating the residues of power series.

Recall that if a is an isolated singularity of f and

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n (z-a)^n, \quad \forall z \in B(a,r) \setminus \{a\}.$$

then the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{a}(f)$ of f at a is c_{-1} and

$$P_a(f) = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(z-a)^n,$$

is the principal part of *f* at *a*. $P_a(f)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$

It turns out that it is possible to use this method and calculate ordinary integrals of real functions. There are several tricks that allow us to pass from an integral of a real function to a path integral of a complex function.

Theorem

(Residue theorem): Suppose that U is an open set in \mathbb{C} and γ is a closed path whose inside is contained in U, so that for all $z \notin U$ we have $I(\gamma, z) = 0$. Then if $S \subset U$ is a finite set such that $S \cap \gamma^* = \emptyset$ and f is a holomorphic function on $U \setminus S$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{a \in S} I(\gamma, a) \operatorname{Res}_{a}(f)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 の Q (~)

For each $a \in S$ let $P_a(f)(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(a)(z-a)^n$ be the principal part of f at a, a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$.

For each $a \in S$ let $P_a(f)(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(a)(z-a)^n$ be the principal part of f at a, a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$. Then $f - P_a(f)$ is holomorphic at $a \in S$, and thus $g(z) = f(z) - \sum_{a \in S} P_a(f)$ is holomorphic on all of U.

For each $a \in S$ let $P_a(f)(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(a)(z-a)^n$ be the principal part of f at a, a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$. Then $f - P_a(f)$ is holomorphic at $a \in S$, and thus $g(z) = f(z) - \sum_{a \in S} P_a(f)$ is holomorphic on all of U. So by Cauchy's Theorem $\int_{\gamma} g(z) dz = 0$, hence

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{a \in S} \int_{\gamma} P_a(f)(z) dz$$

For each $a \in S$ let $P_a(f)(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(a)(z-a)^n$ be the principal part of f at a, a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$. Then $f - P_a(f)$ is holomorphic at $a \in S$, and thus $g(z) = f(z) - \sum_{a \in S} P_a(f)$ is holomorphic on all of U. So by Cauchy's Theorem $\int_{\gamma} g(z) dz = 0$, hence

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{a \in S} \int_{\gamma} P_a(f)(z) dz$$

But the series $P_a(f)$ converges uniformly on γ^* so that

$$\int_{\gamma} P_a(f) dz = \int_{\gamma} \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n(a) (z-a)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{c_{-n}(a) dz}{(z-a)^n}$$
$$= \int_{\gamma} \frac{c_{-1}(a) dz}{z-a} = 2\pi i \cdot I(\gamma, a) \operatorname{Res}_a(f),$$

since for n > 1 the function $(z - a)^{-n}$ has a primitive on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$.

In applications the winding numbers $I(\gamma, a)$ will be simple to compute in terms of the argument of (z - a) - in fact most often they will be 0 or ± 1 as we will usually apply the theorem to integrals around some standard contours that are simple closed curves.

Example Calculate the integral $\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{1+3\cos^2(t)}$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のへの

$$\cos(t) = \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(z + 1/z), \text{ so}$$

$$\cos(t) = \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(z + 1/z), \text{ so}$$
$$\frac{1}{1 + 3\cos^2(t)} = \frac{1}{1 + 3/4(z + 1/z)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{4}z^2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}z^{-2}} = \frac{4z^2}{3 + 10z^2 + 3z^4}, \quad z = e^{it}$$

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・ヨークへで

$$\cos(t) = \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(z+1/z), \text{ so}$$
$$\frac{1}{1+3\cos^2(t)} = \frac{1}{1+3/4(z+1/z)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1+\frac{3}{4}z^2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}z^{-2}} = \frac{4z^2}{3+10z^2+3z^4},$$

Let γ be the path $t \mapsto e^{it}$. Note then that

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) i e^{it} dt$$
 so

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

$$\cos(t) = \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(z + 1/z), \text{ so}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + 3\cos^2(t)} = \frac{1}{1 + 3/4(z + 1/z)^2}$$
$$= \frac{4z^2}{1 + \frac{3}{4}z^2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}z^{-2}} = \frac{4z^2}{3 + 10z^2 + 3z^4},$$

Let γ be the path $t \mapsto e^{it}$. Note then that //

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{1+3\cos^{2}(t)} = \int_{\gamma}^{2\pi} \frac{f(e^{it})ie^{it}dt}{-4iz} = 4z^{2}$$

Thus we have turned our real integral into a contour integral, and to evaluate the contour integral we just need to calculate the residues of the meromorphic function $g(z) = \frac{-4iz}{3+10z^2+3z^4}$ at the poles it has inside the unit circle.

Thus we have turned our real integral into a contour integral, and to evaluate the contour integral we just need to calculate the residues of the meromorphic function $g(z) = \frac{-4iz}{3+10z^2+3z^4}$ at the poles it has inside the unit circle.

The poles of g(z) are the zeros of $p(z) = 3 + 10z^2 + 3z^4$, which are at $z^2 \in \{-3, -1/3\}$. Thus the poles inside the unit circle are at $\pm i/\sqrt{3}$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@



Thus we have turned our real integral into a contour integral, and to evaluate the contour integral we just need to calculate the residues of the meromorphic function $g(z) = \frac{-4iz}{3+10z^2+3z^4}$ at the poles it has inside the unit circle.

The poles of g(z) are the zeros of $p(z) = 3 + 10z^2 + 3z^4$, which are at $z^2 \in \{-3, -1/3\}$. Thus the poles inside the unit circle are at $\pm i/\sqrt{3}$.

Since *p* has degree 4 and has four roots, they must all be simple zeros, and so *g* has simple poles at these points.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm i/\sqrt{3}}(g(z)) = \lim_{z \to \pm i/\sqrt{3}} \frac{-4iz(z-\pm i/\sqrt{3})}{3+10z^2+3z^4}$$

(

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm i/\sqrt{3}}(g(z)) = \lim_{z \to \pm i/\sqrt{3}} \frac{-4iz(z-\pm i/\sqrt{3})}{3+10z^2+3z^4}$$
$$= (\pm 4/\sqrt{3}) \cdot \frac{1}{p'(\pm i/\sqrt{3})}$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm i/\sqrt{3}}(g(z)) = \lim_{z \to \pm i/\sqrt{3}} \frac{-4iz(z-\pm i/\sqrt{3})}{3+10z^2+3z^4}$$
$$= (\pm 4/\sqrt{3}) \cdot \frac{1}{p'(\pm i/\sqrt{3})}$$
$$= (\pm 4/\sqrt{3}) \cdot \frac{1}{20(\pm i/\sqrt{3})+12(\pm i/\sqrt{3})^3} = 1/4i.$$

・・・
・・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・</

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm i/\sqrt{3}}(g(z)) &= \lim_{z \to \pm i/\sqrt{3}} \frac{-4iz(z-\pm i/\sqrt{3})}{3+10z^2+3z^4} \\ &= (\pm 4/\sqrt{3}) \cdot \frac{1}{p'(\pm i/\sqrt{3})} \\ &= (\pm 4/\sqrt{3}) \cdot \frac{1}{20(\pm i/\sqrt{3})+12(\pm i/\sqrt{3})^3} = 1/4i. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from the Residue theorem that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{1 + 3\cos^{2}(t)} = 2\pi i \left(\operatorname{Res}_{z=i/\sqrt{3}}((g(z)) + \operatorname{Res}_{z=-i/\sqrt{3}}(g(z))) \right) = \pi$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●
Applications of The Residue Theorem

Theorem

(Residue theorem): Suppose that U is an open set in \mathbb{C} and γ is a path whose inside is contained in U, so that for all $z \notin U$ we have $I(\gamma, z) = 0$. Then if $S \subset U$ is a finite set such that $S \cap \gamma^* = \emptyset$ and f is a holomorphic function on $U \setminus S$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}f(z)dz=\sum_{a\in S}I(\gamma,a)Res_{a}(f)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 の Q (~

Remark

Often we are interested in integrating along a path which is not closed or even finite, for example, we might wish to understand the integral of a function on the positive real axis.

Remark

Often we are interested in integrating along a path which is not closed or even finite, for example, we might wish to understand the integral of a function on the positive real axis.

The residue theorem can still be a powerful tool in calculating these integrals, provided we complete the path to a closed one in such a way that we can control the extra contribution to the integral along the part of the path we add.

U X

If we have a function f which we wish to integrate over the whole real line (so we have to treat it as an improper Riemann integral) then we may consider the contours Γ_R given as the concatenation of the paths $\gamma_1 : [-R, R] \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma_2 : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ where

$$\gamma_1(t)=-oldsymbol{R}+t;\quad \gamma_2(t)=oldsymbol{R}oldsymbol{e}^{i\pi t}.$$

(so that $\Gamma_R = \gamma_2 \star \gamma_1$ traces out the boundary of a half-disk).



If we have a function f which we wish to integrate over the whole real line (so we have to treat it as an improper Riemann integral) then we may consider the contours Γ_R given as the concatenation of the paths $\gamma_1 : [-R, R] \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma_2 : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ where

$$\gamma_1(t)=-oldsymbol{R}+t;\quad \gamma_2(t)=oldsymbol{R}oldsymbol{e}^{i\pi t}.$$

(so that $\Gamma_R = \gamma_2 \star \gamma_1$ traces out the boundary of a half-disk).

In many cases one can show that $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$ tends to 0 as $R \to \infty$, and by calculating the residues inside the contours Γ_R deduce the integral of f on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

 $\int f \rightarrow 0$ $\chi_{n} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ シ۹℃

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4}.$$

This integral exists as an improper Riemann integral, and since the integrand is even, it is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{-R}^{R}\frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4}dx.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4}.$$

This integral exists as an improper Riemann integral, and since the integrand is even, it is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{dx}{1 + x^2 + x^4} dx.$$

If $f(z) = 1/(1 + z^2 + z^4)$, then $\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz$ is equal to $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues inside the path Γ_R .

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4}.$$

This integral exists as an improper Riemann integral, and since the integrand is even, it is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{-R}^{R}\frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4}dx.$$

If $f(z) = 1/(1 + z^2 + z^4)$, then $\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz$ is equal to $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues inside the path Γ_R .

The function $f(z) = 1/(1 + z^2 + z^4)$ has poles at $z^2 = \pm e^{2\pi i/3}$ and hence at $\{e^{\pi i/3}, e^{2\pi i/3}, e^{4\pi i/3}, e^{5\pi i/3}\}$. They are all simple poles and of these only $\{\omega, \omega^2\}$ are in the upper-half plane, where $\omega = e^{i\pi/3}$.

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \big(\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) + \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) \big),$$



$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \big(\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) + \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) \big),$$

We calculate the residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) = \lim_{z \to \omega} \frac{(z - \omega)}{1 + z^2 + z^4} = \frac{1}{2\omega + 4\omega^3} = \frac{1}{2\omega - 4}$$
$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) = \frac{1}{2\omega^2 + 4\omega^6} = \frac{1}{4 + 2\omega^2}$$
$$\omega^3 = -1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \big(\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) + \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) \big),$$

We calculate the residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) = \lim_{z \to \omega} \frac{(z - \omega)}{1 + z^{2} + z^{4}} = \frac{1}{2\omega + 4\omega^{3}} = \frac{1}{2\omega - 4}$$
$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega^{2}}(f(z)) = \frac{1}{2\omega^{2} + 4\omega^{6}} = \frac{1}{4 + 2\omega^{2}}$$
$$\operatorname{if} f(\ell) = 0$$
$$\operatorname{No+e} : \lim_{z \to \rho} \frac{z - \rho}{f(z)} = \lim_{z \to \rho} \lim_{z \to \rho} \frac{1}{\frac{f(z) - f(\rho)}{z - \rho}} = \frac{1}{f(\rho)}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 • ∽ へ ⊙

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \big(\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) + \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) \big),$$

We calculate the residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) = \lim_{z \to \omega} \frac{(z - \omega)}{1 + z^2 + z^4} = \frac{1}{2\omega + 4\omega^3} = \frac{1}{2\omega - 4}$$
$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) = \frac{1}{2\omega^2 + 4\omega^6} = \frac{1}{4 + 2\omega^2}$$
$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2\omega - 4} + \frac{1}{2\omega^2 + 4}\right) = \pi i \left(\frac{1}{\omega - 2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2 + 2}\right)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のへぐ

,

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \big(\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) + \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) \big),$$

We calculate the residues:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(f(z)) &= \lim_{z \to \omega} \frac{(z - \omega)}{1 + z^2 + z^4} = \frac{1}{2\omega + 4\omega^3} = \frac{1}{2\omega - 4} \\ \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^2}(f(z)) &= \frac{1}{2\omega^2 + 4\omega^6} = \frac{1}{4 + 2\omega^2} \\ \int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz &= 2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2\omega - 4} + \frac{1}{2\omega^2 + 4}\right) = \pi i \left(\frac{1}{\omega - 2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2 + 2}\right) \\ &= \pi i \left(\frac{\omega^2 + \omega}{2(\omega - \omega^2) - 5}\right) = -\sqrt{3}\pi/(-3) = \pi/\sqrt{3}, \end{aligned}$$

(where we used the fact that $\omega^2 + \omega = i\sqrt{3}$ and $\omega - \omega^2 = 1$).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三 ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z)dz = \int_{-R}^R \frac{dt}{1+t^2+t^4} + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz,$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ 少へ⊙

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z)dz = \int_{-R}^R \frac{dt}{1+t^2+t^4} + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz,$$

so we need to calculate the limit of $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$ as $R \to \infty$.

as

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{dt}{1 + t^2 + t^4} + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz,$$

so we need to calculate the limit of $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$ as $R \to \infty$. By the estimation lemma we have

$$ig| \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz ig| \leq \sup_{z \in \gamma_2^*} |f(z)| \cdot \widehat{\ell(\gamma_2)} \leq rac{\pi R}{R^4 - R^2 - 1} o 0,$$

 $R o \infty,$

$$|Z^{4}+Z^{2}+1| \ge |Z^{4}| - |z^{2}| - 1$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{dt}{1 + t^2 + t^4} + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz,$$

so we need to calculate the limit of $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$ as $R \to \infty$. By the estimation lemma we have

$$ig| \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz ig| \leq \sup_{z \in \gamma_2^*} |f(z)| \cdot \ell(\gamma_2) \leq rac{\pi R}{R^4 - R^2 - 1} o 0,$$

 $o \infty,$

as $R \rightarrow$ hence

$$\pi/\sqrt{3} = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{1+t^2+t^4}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ◇ ◇ ◇

Digression
Improper Integrals
Def
$$\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to 0} \int_{a}^{R} f(x) dx$$

 $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{a}^{R} f(x) dx$ enists 14
 $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{N}^{M} f(x) dx$ enists 14
 $\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{0}^{N} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{0}^{R} f(x) dx$ enists 140
 $\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{0}^{0} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{0}^{R} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{R} f(x) dx$
 $\int_{-\infty}^{0} f(x) dx = 2 \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{0}^{R} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{R} f(x) dx$
 $\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx$
 $\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx$
 $\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx$
Assuming $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx$

Applications of The Residue Theorem

Theorem

(Residue theorem): Suppose that U is an open set in \mathbb{C} and γ is a path whose inside is contained in U, so that for all $z \notin U$ we have $I(\gamma, z) = 0$. Then if $S \subset U$ is a finite set such that $S \cap \gamma^* = \emptyset$ and f is a holomorphic function on $U \setminus S$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}f(z)dz=\sum_{a\in S}I(\gamma,a)Res_{a}(f)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□ シ へ ()

Lemma
For all
$$\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$$
 we have $\frac{2}{\pi} \leq \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq 1$.
Proof.
Since $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = 1$ and $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = \frac{2}{\pi}$ for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ it suffices to show that $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}$ is decreasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$.

Lemma
For all
$$\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$$
 we have $\frac{2}{\pi} \leq \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq 1$.
Proof.
Since $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = 1$ and $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = \frac{2}{\pi}$ for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ it suffices to
show that $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}$ is decreasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$.
Since
 $(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta})' = \frac{\theta \cos \theta - \sin \theta}{\theta^2}$

it is enough to show that $\theta \cos \theta - \sin \theta \leq 0$ on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ = ▶ ◆ = ⑦ Q @

Lemma
For all
$$\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$$
 we have $\frac{2}{\pi} \leq \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq 1$.
Proof.
Since $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = 1$ and $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = \frac{2}{\pi}$ for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ it suffices to
show that $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}$ is decreasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$.
Since
 $(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta})' = \frac{\theta \cos \theta - \sin \theta}{\theta^2}$

it is enough to show that $\theta \cos \theta - \sin \theta \le 0$ on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$.

Its derivative is $-\theta \sin \theta$ which is clearly negative on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$ so this function is decreasing. Since it is equal to 0 at $\theta = 0$ this function is negative on $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$, so $\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}$ is decreasing.

Lemma

(Jordan's Lemma): Let $f: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be a meromorphic function on the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(z) > 0\}$. Suppose that $f(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$ in \mathbb{H} . Then if $\gamma_R(t) = Re^{it}$ for $t \in [0, \pi]$ we have

$$\int_{\gamma_R} f(z) e^{i lpha z} dz o 0$$

as $R \to \infty$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.



Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Then by assumption we may find an *S* such that for |z| > S we have $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. Thus if R > Sand $z = \gamma_R(t)$, it follows that

 $|f(z)e^{i\alpha z}| \leq \epsilon e^{-\alpha R\sin(t)}.$

< ロ ト (母) (臣) (臣) (臣) (つ へ ())</p>

Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Then by assumption we may find an *S* such that for |z| > S we have $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. Thus if R > Sand $z = \gamma_R(t)$, it follows that

 $|f(z)e^{i\alpha z}| \leq \epsilon e^{-\alpha R\sin(t)}.$

< ロ ト (母) (臣) (臣) (臣) (つ へ ())</p>

Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Then by assumption we may find an *S* such that for |z| > S we have $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. Thus if R > Sand $z = \gamma_R(t)$, it follows that

 $|f(z)e^{i\alpha z}| \leq \epsilon e^{-\alpha R\sin(t)}.$

By the previous lemma we have

Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Then by assumption we may find an *S* such that for |z| > S we have $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. Thus if R > Sand $z = \gamma_R(t)$, it follows that

$$|f(z)e^{i\alpha z}| \leq \epsilon e^{-lpha R\sin(t)}$$

By the previous lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)e^{i\alpha z}| &\leq \begin{cases} \epsilon \cdot e^{-2\alpha Rt/\pi}, & t \in [0, \pi/2] \\ \epsilon \cdot e^{-2\alpha R(\pi-t)/\pi} & t \in [\pi/2, \pi] \end{cases} \\ \text{But then it follows that} & \int_{\pi/k}^{\pi} e^{-\frac{2\alpha Rt/\pi}{\pi}} dt = s \cdot \pi \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha R}}{\alpha} < \epsilon \cdot \pi/\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Then by assumption we may find an *S* such that for |z| > S we have $|f(z)| < \epsilon$. Thus if R > Sand $z = \gamma_R(t)$, it follows that



But then it follows that

$$\left|\int_{\gamma_{R}} f(z) e^{i\alpha z} dz\right| \leq 2 \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \epsilon R \cdot e^{-2\alpha Rt/\pi} dt = \epsilon \cdot \pi \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha R}}{\alpha} < \epsilon \cdot \pi/\alpha,$$

But π/α is constant, so $\int_{\gamma_R} f(z) e^{i\alpha z} dz \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$

Remark

If η_R is an arc of a semicircle in the upper half plane, say $\eta_R(t) = Re^{it}$ for $0 \le t \le 2\pi/3$, then the same proof shows that

$$\int_{\eta_R} f(z) e^{i\alpha z} dz \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad R \to \infty.$$

This is sometimes useful when integrating around the boundary of a sector of disk.



Remark

If η_R is an arc of a semicircle in the upper half plane, say $\eta_R(t) = Re^{it}$ for $0 \le t \le 2\pi/3$, then the same proof shows that

$$\int_{\eta_R} f(z) e^{i\alpha z} dz \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad R \to \infty.$$

This is sometimes useful when integrating around the boundary of a sector of disk.

Note that if $\alpha < 0$ then the integral of $f(z)e^{i\alpha z}$ around a semicircle in the lower half plane tends to zero as $R \to \infty$ provided $|f(z)| \to 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$ in the lower half plane. This follows immediately from the above applied to f(-z).

Example. Calculate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$.

.

・ロト・<・・</

Example. Calculate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$.

This is an improper integral of an even function, thus it exists if and only if the limit of $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ exists as $R \to \infty$.

Example. Calculate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$.

This is an improper integral of an even function, thus it exists if and only if the limit of $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ exists as $R \to \infty$.

To compute this consider the integral along the closed curve η_R given by the concatenation $\eta_R = \nu_R \star \gamma_R$, where $\nu_R \colon [-R, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\nu_R(t) = t$ and $\gamma_R(t) = Re^{it}$ (where $t \in [0, \pi]$).


Example. Calculate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$.

This is an improper integral of an even function, thus it exists if and only if the limit of $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ exists as $R \to \infty$.

To compute this consider the integral along the closed curve η_R given by the concatenation $\eta_R = \nu_R \star \gamma_R$, where $\nu_R \colon [-R, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\nu_R(t) = t$ and $\gamma_R(t) = Re^{it}$ (where $t \in [0, \pi]$).

We will integrate over this $f(z) = \frac{e^{iz}-1}{z}$.



Example. Calculate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$.

This is an improper integral of an even function, thus it exists if and only if the limit of $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ exists as $R \to \infty$.

To compute this consider the integral along the closed curve η_R given by the concatenation $\eta_R = \nu_R \star \gamma_R$, where $\nu_R \colon [-R, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\nu_R(t) = t$ and $\gamma_R(t) = Re^{it}$ (where $t \in [0, \pi]$).

We will integrate over this $f(z) = \frac{e^{iz}-1}{z}$.

Note that the singularity at z = 0 is removable as

$$e^{iz} = 1 + iz + (iz)^2/2 + \dots$$
 so $\lim_{z \to 0} f(z) = i$.

▲□▶ < @ ▶ < @ ▶ < @ ▶ < @ ▶ < @ > @

Example. Calculate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$.

This is an improper integral of an even function, thus it exists if and only if the limit of $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ exists as $R \to \infty$.

To compute this consider the integral along the closed curve η_R given by the concatenation $\eta_R = \nu_R \star \gamma_R$, where $\nu_R \colon [-R, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\nu_R(t) = t$ and $\gamma_R(t) = Re^{it}$ (where $t \in [0, \pi]$).

We will integrate over this $f(z) = \frac{e^{iz}-1}{z}$.

Note that the singularity at z = 0 is removable as

$$e^{iz} = 1 + iz + (iz)^2/2 + \dots$$
 so $\lim_{z \to 0} f(z) = i$.

Thus we have $\int_{\eta_R} f(z) dz = 0$ for all R > 0.

$$0=\int_{\eta_R}f(z)dz=\int_{-R}^{R}f(t)dt+\int_{\gamma_R}\frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz-\int_{\gamma_R}\frac{dz}{z}.$$



$$N_{R} = V_{R} + \delta_{R}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めへぐ

•

$$0=\int_{\eta_R}f(z)dz=\int_{-R}^{R}f(t)dt+\int_{\gamma_R}\frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz-\int_{\gamma_R}\frac{dz}{z}.$$

Jordan's lemma ensures that the second term on the right tends to zero as $R \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{\gamma_R} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{iRe^{it}}{Re^{it}} dt = i\pi$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$0=\int_{\eta_R}f(z)dz=\int_{-R}^{R}f(t)dt+\int_{\gamma_R}\frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz-\int_{\gamma_R}\frac{dz}{z}.$$

Jordan's lemma ensures that the second term on the right tends to zero as $R \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{\gamma_R} rac{dz}{z} = \int_0^\pi rac{iRe^{it}}{Re^{it}} dt = i\pi$$

It follows that $\int_{-R}^{R} f(t) dt$ tends to $i\pi$ as $R \to \infty$.

$$0 = \int_{\eta_R} f(z) dz = \int_{-R}^{R} f(t) dt + \int_{\gamma_R} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz - \int_{\gamma_R} \frac{dz}{z}.$$

Jordan's lemma ensures that the second term on the right tends to zero as $R \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{\gamma_R} rac{dz}{z} = \int_0^\pi rac{i R e^{it}}{R e^{it}} dt = i \pi$$

It follows that $\int_{-R}^{R} f(t) dt$ tends to $i\pi$ as $R \to \infty$.

$$f(t) = \frac{\cos t + i \sin t}{t} \frac{1}{50}$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \pi.$$

To deal with the previous integral it would be more natural to consider the function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$ instead.

To deal with the previous integral it would be more natural to consider the function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$ instead.

The problem is that this function has a pole at 0 so our contour can not include 0. The solution is to modify the contour slightly and go around 0.



To deal with the previous integral it would be more natural to consider the function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$ instead.

The problem is that this function has a pole at 0 so our contour can not include 0. The solution is to modify the contour slightly and go around 0.

Explicitly, we replace the ν_R with $\nu_R^- \star \gamma_{\epsilon} \star \nu_R^+$ where $\nu_R^{\pm}(t) = t$ and $t \in [-R, -\epsilon]$ for ν_R^- , and $t \in [\epsilon, R]$ for ν_R^+ (and as above $\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) = \epsilon e^{i(\pi - t)}$ for $t \in [0, \pi]$).

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

VR

To deal with the previous integral it would be more natural to consider the function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$ instead.

The problem is that this function has a pole at 0 so our contour can not include 0. The solution is to modify the contour slightly and go around 0.

Explicitly, we replace ν_R with $\nu_R^- \star \gamma_{\epsilon} \star \nu_R^+$ where $\nu_R^{\pm}(t) = t$ and $t \in [-R, -\epsilon]$ for ν_R^- , and $t \in [\epsilon, R]$ for ν_R^+ (and as above $\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) = \epsilon e^{i(\pi - t)}$ for $t \in [0, \pi]$).

How can we calculate the value of the integral after this change? We have a general lemma:

Lemma

Let $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ be a meromorphic function with a simple pole at $a \in U$ and let $\gamma_{\epsilon}: [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{C}$ be the path $\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) = a + \epsilon e^{it}$, then



Lemma

Let $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ be a meromorphic function with a simple pole at $a \in U$ and let $\gamma_{\epsilon}: [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{C}$ be the path $\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) = a + \epsilon e^{it}$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z) dz = \operatorname{Res}_{a}(f) \cdot (\beta - \alpha) i.$$

Proof.

Since f has a simple pole at a, we may write

$$f(z)=\frac{c}{z-a}+g(z)$$

where g(z) is holomorphic near $and c = \operatorname{Res}_a(f)$.

As *g* is holomorphic at *a*, it is continuous at *a*, and so bounded. Let M, r > 0 be such that |g(z)| < M for all $z \in B(a, r)$. Then if $0 < \epsilon < r$ we have

$$ig| \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz ig| \leq \ell(\gamma_{\epsilon}) M = (eta - lpha) \epsilon \cdot M o 0$$

 γ_{ϵ} since in lemma

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

As *g* is holomorphic at *a*, it is continuous at *a*, and so bounded. Let M, r > 0 be such that |g(z)| < M for all $z \in B(a, r)$. Then if $0 < \epsilon < r$ we have

$$\left|\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz\right| \leq \ell(\gamma_{\epsilon}) M = (\beta - \alpha) \epsilon \cdot M \to 0$$

Also

$$\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{c}{z-a} dz = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{c}{\epsilon e^{it}} i\epsilon e^{it} dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (ic) dt = ic(\beta - \alpha).$$

 $\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) = a + \epsilon e^{it}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

As *g* is holomorphic at *a*, it is continuous at *a*, and so bounded. Let M, r > 0 be such that |g(z)| < M for all $z \in B(a, r)$. Then if $0 < \epsilon < r$ we have

$$\left|\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz\right| \leq \ell(\gamma_{\epsilon}) M = (\beta - \alpha) \epsilon \cdot M \to 0$$

Also

$$\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{c}{z-a} dz = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{c}{\epsilon e^{it}} i\epsilon e^{it} dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (ic) dt = ic(\beta - \alpha).$$

Since $\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} c/(z-a) dz + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} g(z) dz$ the result follows.

We return now to the calculation of the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ using the more 'obvious' function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ つへぐ

We return now to the calculation of the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ using the more 'obvious' function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$.

Since $\frac{\sin(x)}{x} \to 1$ as $x \to 0$ for small enough ϵ we have

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx \leq \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} 2dx = 4\epsilon$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の < @

We return now to the calculation of the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$ using the more 'obvious' function $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$.

Since $\frac{\sin(x)}{x} \to 1$ as $x \to 0$ for small enough ϵ we have

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx \leq \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} 2 dx = 4\epsilon$$

so the sum

$$\int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx \rightarrow \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx,$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の < @



$$0 = \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z) dz = \int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz.$$



$$0 = \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z)dz = \int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz$$
$$= 2i \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} + \underbrace{\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}}_{X} + \underbrace{\int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}}_{Z} + \underbrace{\int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}}_{Z} dz$$

/

use
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z) dz = \operatorname{Res}_{a}(f) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)i = -i n$$

here $\operatorname{Res}_{o} = 1$ $\beta = 0$, $\alpha = \pi$
for γ_{ϵ}

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z)dz = \int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz.$$
$$= 2i\int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz$$
$$\to 2i\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x}dx - i\pi + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z)dz = \int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz.$$
$$= 2i\int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz$$
$$\to 2i\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\sin(x)}{x}dx - i\pi + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz}}{z}dz.$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Then letting $R \to \infty$, it follows from Jordan's Lemma that the third term tends to zero so we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \pi$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 三▶ ◆ 三 ● ○ ○ ○ ○

(ase of simple poles: $f(z) = \frac{C_{-1}}{z_{-n}} + C_0 + C_1(z_{-n}) + C_2(z_{-n})^2 + \cdots$ $\lim_{z \to a} (z - a) f(z) = (z - 1) + \lim_{z \to a} (z - a) ((z - a)) (z - a) - ($ 1. e.g. if we have $\frac{h(z)}{g(z)}$, h, g holonorphic. simple pole = simple zero of g(z), g(z)=(z-a)g(z) $\lim_{z \to a} (z - a) \frac{h(z)}{g(z)} = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{h(z)}{g(z) - ga} = \frac{h(a)}{g'(a)} = \frac{g_1(a) + o}{g(a)}$

Recall if f has a pole of order k at z_0 then

$$f(z)=\sum_{n\geq -k}c_n(z-z_0)^n.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Recall if f has a pole of order k at z_0 then

$$f(z)=\sum_{n\geq -k}c_n(z-z_0)^n.$$

and

 $P_{z_0}(f) = c_{-k}(z - z_0)^{-k} + c_{-k+1}(z - z_0)^{-k+1} + \dots + c_{-1}(z - z_0)^{-1}$ is the principal part of *f* at *z*₀.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Recall if f has a pole of order k at z_0 then

$$f(z)=\sum_{n\geq -k}c_n(z-z_0)^n.$$

and

 $P_{z_0}(f) = c_{-k}(z-z_0)^{-k} + c_{-k+1}(z-z_0)^{-k+1} + \dots + c_{-1}(z-z_0)^{-1}$

is the principal part of f at z_0 .

 $\operatorname{Res}_{Z_0}(f) = C_{-1}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ の�?

is the residue of f at z_0 .

Recall if f has a pole of order k at z_0 then

$$f(z)=\sum_{n\geq -k}c_n(z-z_0)^n.$$

and

 $P_{z_0}(f) = C_{-k}(z-z_0)^{-k} + C_{-k+1}(z-z_0)^{-k+1} + \dots + C_{-1}(z-z_0)^{-1}$

is the principal part of f at z_0 .

 $\operatorname{Res}_{Z_0}(f) = c_{-1}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ の�?

is the residue of f at z_0 .

How do we calculate these?

In order to use the Residue Theorem we need to calculate residues of meromorphic functions. The integral formulas we have obtained for the residue are often not the best way to do this.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

In order to use the Residue Theorem we need to calculate residues of meromorphic functions. The integral formulas we have obtained for the residue are often not the best way to do this.

We discuss now a more direct method to calculate the residue in the case of functions which are given as the ratio of two holomorphic functions.

In order to use the Residue Theorem we need to calculate residues of meromorphic functions. The integral formulas we have obtained for the residue are often not the best way to do this.

We discuss now a more direct method to calculate the residue in the case of functions which are given as the ratio of two holomorphic functions.

Precisely let $F: U \to \mathbb{C}$ given to us as a ratio f/g of two holomorphic functions f, g on U. The singularities of the function F are therefore poles which are located precisely at the (isolated) zeros of the function g. $q(z_{\circ})=0$ $f_{\circ}(z_{\circ})=0$

$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^{\mu}} \begin{pmatrix} f(z) \\ g_1(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since g(0) = 0, there is a k > 0 such that

$$g(z) = c_k z^k (1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n z^n),$$

where $c_k \neq 0$ and the power series converges on $B(0, r) \subseteq U$ for some r > 0.

Since g(0) = 0, there is a k > 0 such that

$$g(z) = c_k z^k (1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n z^n),$$

where $c_k \neq 0$ and the power series converges on $B(0, r) \subseteq U$ for some r > 0.

We set $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$, then

$$\frac{1}{g(z)}=\frac{1}{c_kz^k}\big(1+zh(z)\big)^{-1},$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Since g(0) = 0, there is a k > 0 such that

$$g(z) = \frac{c_k z^k}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n z^n},$$

where $c_k \neq 0$ and the power series converges on $B(0, r) \subseteq U$ for some r > 0.

We set $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$, then

$$\frac{1}{g(z)}=\frac{1}{c_kz^k}\big(1+zh(z)\big)^{-1},$$

we expand

$$\frac{1}{1+zh(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^n h(z)^n$$
< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Specifically if $M = \max\{h(z) : z \in \overline{B}(0, r)\}$ we may take $\delta = \min(r, 1/2M)$.

Specifically if $M = \max\{h(z) : z \in \overline{B}(0, r)\}$ we may take $\delta = \min(r, 1/2M)$.

We can 'ignore' the terms after k as:

$$\sum_{m\geq k} (-1)^m z^m h(z)^m = z^k h_1(z)$$

(where h_1 is holomorphic) since then $\frac{1}{c_k z^k} \sum_{n \ge k} (-1)^n z^n h(z)^n$ is holomorphic.

Specifically if $M = \max\{h(z) : z \in \overline{B}(0, r)\}$ we may take $\delta = \min(r, 1/2M)$.

We can 'ignore' the terms after *k* as:

$$\sum_{m\geq k} (-1)^m z^m h(z)^m = z^k h_1(z)$$

(where h_1 is holomorphic) since then $\frac{1}{c_k z^k} \sum_{n \ge k} (-1)^n z^n h(z)^n$ is holomorphic.

Hence the principal part of the Laurent series of 1/g(z) is equal to the principal part of the function

$$\frac{1}{C_k z^k} \sum_{n=1}^k (-1)^{k-1} z^k h(z)^k$$

Since we know the power series for h(z), this allows us to compute the principal part of $\frac{1}{g(z)}$.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Since we know the power series for h(z), this allows us to compute the principal part of $\frac{1}{g(z)}$.

Finally, the principal part $P_0(F)$ of F = f/g at z = 0 is just the principal part of the function $f(z) \cdot P_0(g)$, which again we can compute if we know the power series expansion of f(z) at 0.

.



sinh(z) =
$$(e^{z} - e^{-z})/2$$
 vanishes on $\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, and these zeros are all
simple since $\frac{d}{dz}(\sinh(z)) = \cosh(z)$ has $\cosh(n\pi i) = (-1)^{n} \neq 0$.
 $e^{x+i\sqrt{1}} - e^{x-i\sqrt{1}} = 0 = e^{x+i\sqrt{1}} = e^{x-i\sqrt{1}} e^{x} e^{i\sqrt{1}} = e^{-x} e^{-i\sqrt{1}}$
 $e^{x} = e^{-x} = e^{-z} = 0 = e^{-z} e^{-z} = e^{-z} e^{-z} e^{-z} e^{-z}$
 $e^{x} = e^{-x} = e^{-z} = e^{-z} = e^{-z} e^{-z} = e^{-z} e^{-z} e^{-z}$
 $\int x = e^{-x} = e^{-z} e^{-z} = e^{-z} e^{-z} = e^{-z} e^{-z$

$$\frac{N_{3}}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = (z-a)^{k} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\sinh(z) = (e^z - e^{-z})/2$ vanishes on $\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, and these zeros are all simple since $\frac{d}{dz}(\sinh(z)) = \cosh(z)$ has $\cosh(n\pi i) = (-1)^n \neq 0$.

Thus f(z) has a pole or order 5 at zero, and poles of order 3 at π in for each $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We calculate the principal part of f at z = 0.

 $\sinh(z) = (e^z - e^{-z})/2$ vanishes on $\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, and these zeros are all simple since $\frac{d}{dz}(\sinh(z)) = \cosh(z)$ has $\cosh(n\pi i) = (-1)^n \neq 0$.

Thus f(z) has a pole or order 5 at zero, and poles of order 3 at π in for each $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We calculate the principal part of f at z = 0.

We will write $O(z^k)$ for holomorphic functions which have a zero of order at least k at 0.

$$z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{2}(z + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \frac{z^{5}}{5!} + O(z^{7}))^{3} = z^{5}(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6}))^{3}$$

$$z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{2}\left(z + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \frac{z^{5}}{5!} + O(z^{7})\right)^{3} = z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6})\right)^{3}$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{3z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{3z^{4}}{(3!)^{2}} + \frac{3z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6})\right)$$

$$\left(1+\frac{z^{2}}{3!}\right)^{3} = 1+\frac{3z^{2}}{3!}+\frac{3z^{4}}{(3!)^{2}}+O(z^{6})$$

$$z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{2}(z + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \frac{z^{5}}{5!} + O(z^{7}))^{3} = z^{5}(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6}))^{3}$$
$$= z^{5}(1 + \frac{3z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{3z^{4}}{(3!)^{2}} + \frac{3z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6}))$$
$$= z^{5}(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \frac{13z^{4}}{120} + O(z^{6}))$$

$$z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{2}\left(z + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \frac{z^{5}}{5!} + O(z^{7})\right)^{3} = z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6})\right)^{3}$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{3z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{3z^{4}}{(3!)^{2}} + \frac{3z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6})\right)$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \frac{13z^{4}}{120} + O(z^{6})\right)$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + z\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^{3}}{120} + O(z^{5})\right)\right)$$

$$z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{2}\left(z + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \frac{z^{5}}{5!} + O(z^{7})\right)^{3} = z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6})\right)^{3}$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{3z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{3z^{4}}{(3!)^{2}} + \frac{3z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6})\right)$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \frac{13z^{4}}{120} + O(z^{6})\right)$$
$$= z^{5}\left(1 + z\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^{3}}{120} + O(z^{5})\right)\right)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Using our previous notation, $h(z) = \frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^3}{120} + O(z^5)$

$$z^{2} \sinh(z)^{3} = z^{2} (z + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \frac{z^{5}}{5!} + O(z^{7}))^{3} = z^{5} (1 + \frac{z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6}))^{3}$$

$$= z^{5} (1 + \frac{3z^{2}}{3!} + \frac{3z^{4}}{(3!)^{2}} + \frac{3z^{4}}{5!} + O(z^{6}))$$

$$= z^{5} (1 + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \frac{13z^{4}}{120} + O(z^{6}))$$

$$= z^{5} \left(1 + z(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^{3}}{120} + O(z^{5}))\right)$$

$$\left(z, h(z)\right)^{3} = O(z^{6})$$

Using our previous notation, $h(z) = \frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^3}{120} + O(z^5)$ so to find the principal part we just need to consider the first two terms in the series $(1 + zh(z))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^n h(z)^n$: $3rd \quad \text{Krm}: \quad z^3 \cdot \left(\frac{z}{z} + -\right)^3 = O(z^4)$

$$1/z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{-5}\left(1+z(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}+O(z^{5}))\right)^{-1}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\frac{1}{z^2}\sinh(z)^3 = z^{-5}\left(1 + z\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^3}{120} + O(z^5)\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1 - z\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{13z^3}{120}\right) + z^2\frac{z^2}{2^2} + O(z^5)\right)$$

$$2^{2}h(z) = 2^{2}\left(\frac{z}{z} + \frac{13z^{3}}{120} + O(z^{5})\right)^{2}$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の < ()

$$1/z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{-5}\left(1+z\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}+O(z^{5})\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1-z\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}\right)+z^{2}\frac{z^{2}}{2^{2}}+O(z^{5})\right)$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{13}{120}\right)z^{4}+O(z^{5})\right)$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の < ()

$$1/z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{-5}\left(1+z\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}+O(z^{5})\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1-z\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}\right)+z^{2}\frac{z^{2}}{2^{2}}+O(z^{5})\right)$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{13}{120}\right)z^{4}+O(z^{5})\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{z^{5}}-\frac{1}{2z^{3}}+\frac{17}{120z}+O(z).$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の < ()

$$1/z^{2}\sinh(z)^{3} = z^{-5}\left(1+z\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}+O(z^{5})\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1-z\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{13z^{3}}{120}\right)+z^{2}\frac{z^{2}}{2^{2}}+O(z^{5})\right)$$
$$= z^{-5}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{13}{120}\right)z^{4}+O(z^{5})\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{z^{5}}-\frac{1}{2z^{3}}+\frac{17}{120z}+O(z).$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thus $P_0(f) = \frac{1}{z^5} - \frac{1}{2z^3} + \frac{17}{120z}$, and $\text{Res}_0(f) = \frac{17}{120}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This is an improper integral of an odd function, thus $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = 0$ for all R > 0. So the semicircular contour we used earlier is not appropriate here.

This is an improper integral of an odd function, thus $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = 0$ for all R > 0. So the semicircular contour we used earlier is not appropriate here.

We consider instead γ bounding a cyclical sector of angle $\pi/2$ with sides on the axes.



This is an improper integral of an odd function, thus $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = 0$ for all R > 0. So the semicircular contour we used earlier is not appropriate here. We consider instead γ bounding a cyclical sector of angle $\pi/2$ with sides on the axes.



This is an improper integral of an odd function, thus $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = 0$ for all R > 0. So the semicircular contour we used earlier is not appropriate here. We consider instead γ bounding a cyclical sector of angle $\pi/2$ with sides on the axes.

We have a simple pole at $e^{i\pi/4}$ with residue $\frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{4e^{3\pi i/4}} = \frac{-i}{4}$. We have



This is an improper integral of an odd function, thus $\int_{-R}^{R} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = 0$ for all R > 0. So the semicircular contour we used earlier is not appropriate here. We consider instead γ bounding a cyclical sector of angle $\pi/2$ with sides on the axes.

We have a simple pole at $e^{i\pi/4}$ with residue $\frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{4e^{3\pi i/4}} = \frac{-i}{4}$. We have

$$\int_0^R \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx + \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{Re^{it}}{1+R^4 e^{4it}} iRe^{it} dt + \int_R^0 \frac{ix}{1+x^4} idx = 2\pi i(-i/4).$$

$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{Re^{it}}{1+R^4 e^{4it}} iRe^{it} dt \to 0 \text{ and } \int_{R}^{0} \frac{ix}{1+x^4} idx = \int_{0}^{R} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx \text{ so}$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = \pi/4.$$

Integrals of the form $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) d\theta$ where *R* is a rational function.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Integrals of the form $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) d\theta$ where *R* is a rational function.

Integrate along the unit circle γ the function

$$\int_{\gamma} R(\frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{2},\frac{z-\frac{1}{z}}{2i})\frac{dz}{iz}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Integrals of the form $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) d\theta$ where *R* is a rational function.

Integrate along the unit circle γ the function

$$\int_{\gamma} R(\frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{2},\frac{z-\frac{1}{z}}{2i})\frac{dz}{iz}.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 2$, $q(x) \ne 0$ for all x.

Integrals of the form $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) d\theta$ where *R* is a rational function.

Integrate along the unit circle γ the function

$$\int_{\gamma} R(\frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{2},\frac{z-\frac{1}{z}}{2i})\frac{dz}{iz}$$

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 2$, $q(x) \ne 0$ for all x. Use the semi-circular contour. The integral converges.

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x) \sin x}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 1$, $q(x) \ne 0$ for all x.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x) \sin x}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 1$, $q(x) \ne 0$ for all x. Replace $\sin x$ by e^{ix} and use the semi-circular contour. To show convergence use Jordan's lemma. Consider the imaginary part.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x) \sin x}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 1$, $q(x) \ne 0$ for all x. Replace $\sin x$ by e^{ix} and use the semi-circular contour. To show convergence use Jordan's lemma. Consider the imaginary part.

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x) \sin x}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 1$ and the function has simple poles on some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

4 ロ ト 4 団 ト 4 国 ト 4 国 ト 三 - の Q ()

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x) \sin x}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 1$, $q(x) \ne 0$ for all x. Replace $\sin x$ by e^{ix} and use the semi-circular contour. To show convergence use Jordan's lemma. Consider the imaginary part.

Integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x) \sin x}{q(x)} dx$ where p(x), q(x) are polynomials and $\deg(q) \ge \deg(p) + 1$ and the function has simple poles on some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Replace $\sin x$ by e^{ix} and use the semi-circular contour with indentations around the poles. To show convergence use Jordan's lemma.
,

< ロ > < 回 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 回 > < < つ < < つ <

This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function

$$f(x)=\frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function

$$f(x)=\frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2}$$

0 is a simple pole with residue = -i so we integrate along the semi-circular contour with an indentation at 0.



This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function

$$f(x)=\frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2}$$

0 is a simple pole with residue = -i so we integrate along the semi-circular contour with an indentation at 0.

$$\int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{1 - e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{1 - e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{1 - e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz = 0$$

This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function

$$f(x)=\frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2}$$

0 is a simple pole with residue = -i so we integrate along the semi-circular contour with an indentation at 0.

$$\int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1-e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{1-e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz$$
$$\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1-e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz = -\pi i \cdot (-i) = -\pi,$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● の へ ()

This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function



0 is a simple pole with residue = -i so we integrate along the semi-circular contour with an indentation at 0.

$$\int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1-e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{1-e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz$$

$$\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1-e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz = -\pi i \cdot (-i) = -\pi, \qquad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} f(z) dz = \operatorname{Res}_{a}(f) \cdot (\beta - \alpha) i.$$

This is an improper integral of an even function. Use the identity $\cos 2x = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$. Consider the function

$$f(x)=\frac{1-e^{i2x}}{2x^2}$$

0 is a simple pole with residue = -i so we integrate along the semi-circular contour with an indentation at 0.

$$\int_{-R}^{-\epsilon} \frac{1 - e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz + \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{1 - e^{i2x}}{2x^2} dx + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{1 - e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz = O$$
$$\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz = -\pi i \cdot (-i) = -\pi, \quad \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{1 - e^{i2z}}{2z^2} dz \to 0, \text{ so } I = \pi/2.$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで