

# Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations

*Endre Süli*

Mathematical Institute  
University of Oxford  
2022

Lecture 14

## The explicit scheme: stability

For  $M \geq 2$ , we define  $\Delta t := T/M$ , and for  $J \geq 2$  the spatial step is taken to be  $\Delta x := (b - a)/J$ . We let  $x_j := a + j\Delta x$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$  and  $t_m := m\Delta t$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$ .

On the space-time mesh  $\{(x_j, t_m) : 0 \leq j \leq J, 0 \leq m \leq M\}$  we consider the finite difference scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_j^{m+1} - 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{\Delta t^2} - c^2 \frac{U_{j+1}^m - 2U_j^m + U_{j-1}^m}{\Delta x^2} &= f(x_j, t_m) && \text{for } \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, J-1, \\ m = 1, \dots, M-1, \end{cases} \\ U_j^0 &= u_0(x_j) && \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, J, \\ U_j^1 &= U_j^0 + \Delta t u_1(x_j) && \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, J-1, \\ U_0^m &= 0 \text{ and } U_J^m = 0 && \text{for } m = 1, \dots, M. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

As in the case of the implicit scheme, the second numerical initial condition, appearing in  $(1)_3$ , stems from the observation that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{u(x_j, \Delta t) - U_j^0}{\Delta t} &= \frac{u(x_j, \Delta t) - u(x_j, 0)}{\Delta t} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_j, 0) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t) = u_1(x_j) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t),\end{aligned}$$

upon replacing  $u(x_j, \Delta t)$  by its numerical approximation  $U_j^1$  at the cost of ignoring the  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$  term.

Once the values of  $U_j^{m-1}$  and  $U_j^m$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, J$ , have been computed (or have been specified by the initial data, in the case of  $m = 1$ ), the subsequent values  $U_j^{m+1}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, J$ , for  $m = 1, \dots, M - 1$ , can be computed explicitly from (1), without having to solve systems of linear algebraic equations; hence the terminology *explicit scheme*.

## Stability of the explicit scheme

It will transpire from the analysis that will follow that the explicit scheme is, unlike the implicit scheme, which was shown to be unconditionally stable, now only conditionally stable: we shall prove its stability in a certain 'energy norm', whose precise definition will emerge during the course of our analysis, — the stability condition for the explicit scheme being that  $c\Delta t/\Delta x \leq 1$ .

We begin by noting that, for any  $j \in \{0, \dots, J\}$  and  $m \in \{1, \dots, M-1\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_j^{m+1} - U_j^{m-1} &= (U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m) + (U_j^m - U_j^{m-1}) \\
 &= (U_j^{m+1} + U_j^m) - (U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}), \\
 U_j^{m+1} - 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1} &= (U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m) - (U_j^m - U_j^{m-1}), \\
 U_j^{m+1} + 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1} &= (U_j^{m+1} + U_j^m) + (U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The left-hand side of equality (1)<sub>1</sub> can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{U_j^{m+1} - 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{\Delta t^2} - c^2 D_x^+ D_x^- U_j^m \\
 &= \frac{U_j^{m+1} - 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{\Delta t^2} + \frac{c^2 \Delta t^2}{4} D_x^+ D_x^- \frac{U_j^{m+1} - 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{\Delta t^2} \\
 &\quad - c^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \frac{U_j^{m+1} + 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, J-1$ .

Insertion of this into (1)<sub>1</sub> then yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( I + \frac{1}{4}c^2\Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U_j^{m+1} - 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{\Delta t^2} \\ &= c^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \frac{U_j^{m+1} + 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}}{4} + f(x_j, t_m) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, J-1$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M-1$ , where  $I$  signifies the identity operator, which maps any mesh function defined on the spatial mesh  $\{x_j : j = 1, \dots, J-1\}$  into itself.

We shall consider the inner products

$$(U, V) := \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \Delta x U_j V_j,$$

$$(U, V] := \sum_{j=1}^J \Delta x U_j V_j,$$

and the associated norms, respectively,  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|]$ , defined by  $\|U\| := (U, U)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\|U\|] := (U, U]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

We then take the  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  inner product of  $(1)_1$  with  $U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}$ , making use of  $(2)_2$  and the first equality in  $(2)_1$  on the left-hand side, and  $(2)_2$  and the second equality in  $(2)_1$  on the right-hand side.



Thus we obtain the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t} \right) \\
& - \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^m - U^{m-1}}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^m - U^{m-1}}{\Delta t} \right) \\
& = -c^2 \left( -D_x^+ D_x^- \frac{U^{m+1} + U^m}{2}, \frac{U^{m+1} + U^m}{2} \right) \\
& + c^2 \left( -D_x^+ D_x^- \frac{U^m + U^{m-1}}{2}, \frac{U^m + U^{m-1}}{2} \right) \\
& + (f(\cdot, t_m), U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall perform summations by parts in the first two terms on the right-hand side, using that, for any mesh-function  $V$  defined on  $\{x_j : j = 0, \dots, J\}$  and such that  $V_0 = V_J = 0$ , one has

$$(-D_x^+ D_x^- V, V) = (D_x^- V, D_x^- V) = \|D_x^- V\|^2.$$

Using these equalities with  $V = \frac{1}{2}(U^{m+1} + U^m)$  and  $V = \frac{1}{2}(U^m + U^{m-1})$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4}c^2\Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t} \right) \\
& - \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4}c^2\Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^m - U^{m-1}}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^m - U^{m-1}}{\Delta t} \right) \\
& = -c^2 \left( D_x^- \frac{U^{m+1} + U^m}{2}, D_x^- \frac{U^{m+1} + U^m}{2} \right) \\
& + c^2 \left( D_x^- \frac{U^m + U^{m-1}}{2}, D_x^- \frac{U^m + U^{m-1}}{2} \right) \\
& + (f(\cdot, t_m), U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}) \\
& = -c^2 \left\| D_x^- \frac{U^{m+1} + U^m}{2} \right\|^2 + c^2 \left\| D_x^- \frac{U^m + U^{m-1}}{2} \right\|^2 \\
& + (f(\cdot, t_m), U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies, following a minor rearrangement of terms, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t} \right) \\
& + c^2 \left\| D_x^- \frac{U^{m+1} + U^m}{2} \right\|^2 \\
& = \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^m - U^{m-1}}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^m - U^{m-1}}{\Delta t} \right) \\
& + c^2 \left\| D_x^- \frac{U^m + U^{m-1}}{2} \right\|^2 \\
& + (f(\cdot, t_m), U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The second term on the left-hand side of (4) is nonnegative, as is the second term on the right-hand side.

We would therefore like to ensure that first term on the left-hand side of (4) and the first term on the right-hand side are also nonnegative.

To do so, we shall make a small diversion to investigate this. Letting

$$V_j^m := \frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t}, \quad j = 0, \dots, J,$$

and noting that  $V_0^m = V_J^m = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) V^m, V^m \right) &= \|V^m\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 (D_x^+ D_x^- V^m, V^m) \\ &= \|V^m\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 (D_x^- V^m, D_x^- V^m) \\ &= \|V^m\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 \|D_x^- V^m\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The left-most expression in this chain of equalities will be nonnegative if and only of

$$\|V^m\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 \|D_x^- V^m\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Our objective is to show that this can be guaranteed by requiring that  $c\Delta t/\Delta x \leq 1$ .

Noting that for any nonnegative real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  one has  $(\alpha - \beta)^2 \leq 2\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|D_x^- V^m\|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^J \Delta x |D_x^- V_j^m|^2 = (\Delta x)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^J (V_j^m - V_{j-1}^m)^2 \\
 &\leq 2(\Delta x)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^J (V_j^m)^2 + (V_{j-1}^m)^2 = 4(\Delta x)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} (V_j^m)^2 \\
 &= 4(\Delta x)^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \Delta x (V_j^m)^2 = \left(\frac{2}{\Delta x}\right)^2 \|V\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we deduce that

$$\left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) V^m, V^m \right) \geq \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c \Delta t}{\Delta x} \right)^2 \right) \|V^m\|^2. \quad (5)$$

We shall therefore suppose that the following condition holds, referred to as a Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy (or CFL) condition:

$$\frac{c \Delta t}{\Delta x} \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

Assuming that (6) holds, we then have from (5) that

$$\left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t} \right) \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

We shall therefore proceed by assuming that (6) holds, and define the *nonnegative* expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^2(U^m) := & \left( \left( I + \frac{1}{4} c^2 \Delta t^2 D_x^+ D_x^- \right) \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t}, \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{\Delta t} \right) \\ & + c^2 \left\| D_x^- \frac{U^{m+1} - U^m}{2} \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

With this notation (4) becomes

$$\mathcal{N}^2(U^m) = \mathcal{N}^2(U^{m-1}) + (f(\cdot, t_m), U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}). \quad (8)$$

In the special case when  $f$  is identically zero (8) guarantees the stability of the explicit scheme under the CFL condition (6); indeed, (8) implies that

$$\mathcal{N}^2(U^m) = \mathcal{N}^2(U^0), \quad \text{for all } m = 1, \dots, M-1.$$

One can check that the mapping

$$U \mapsto \max_{m \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}} [\mathcal{N}^2(U^m)]^{1/2}$$

is a seminorm on the linear space of all mesh functions  $U$  defined on the space-time mesh  $\{(x_j, t_m) : j = 0, 1, \dots, J, \ m = 0, 1, \dots, M\}$  such that  $U_0^m = U_J^m = 0$  for all  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$ .

Thus we have show that, if the CFL condition (6) holds and  $f$  is identically zero, the explicit scheme (1) is (conditionally) stable in this seminorm.