Optimization algorithms for training DNNs: momentum, AdaGrad, and Adam

Oxford
Mathematics

OXFORD

Mathematical
Institute

Given a loss function $\mathcal{L}(\theta; X, Y)$, gradient descent is given by

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - \eta \cdot \operatorname{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta, X, Y)$$

with η is referred to as the stepsize, or in DL the "learning rate."
In DL $\mathcal{L}(\theta; X, Y)$ is the sum of m individual loss functions for m
data point: $\mathcal{L}(\theta; X, Y) = m^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} l(\theta; x_\mu, y_\mu)$
For $m \gg 1$ gradient descent is computationally too costly and
instead one can break appart the m loss functions into
"mini-batches" and repeatedly solve

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - \eta |\Lambda_k|^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_\theta \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_k} l(\theta; x_\mu, y_\mu).$$

This is referred to as stochastic gradient descent as typically Λ_k is
chosen in some randomized method, usually as a partition of $[m]$
and a sequence of Λ_k which cover $[m]$ is referred to as an "epoch."

There are many improvements of SGD typically used in practise for deep learning; particularly popular is Polyak momentum:

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} + \beta(\theta^{(k)} - \theta^{(k-1)}) - \alpha \cdot \mathsf{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}\left(\theta^{(k)}\right)$$

or Nesterov's accelerated gradient:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\theta}^k &= \theta^{(k)} + \beta(\theta^{(k)} - \theta^{(k-1)}) \\
\theta^{(k+1)} &= \hat{\theta}^{(k)} - \alpha \cdot \mathsf{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}\left(\hat{\theta}^{(k)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

These acceleration methods give substantial improvements in the linear convergence rate for convex problems; linear convergence rates are: Normal GD $\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1}$, Polyak $\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}$ and NAG $\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}}$.

Preconditioning improves convergence rate of line-search methods is preconditioning. Let $g^{(k)}(\theta^{(k)}) =: \operatorname{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})$ be the gradient of the training loss function at iteration k and

$$B_k(i,i) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(g^{(j)}(\theta^{(j)})(i) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

the diagonal of the square-root of the sum of prior gradient outer-products. Adaptive sub-gradients (AdaGrad) is preconditioned GD via the diagonal matrix B

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - \eta |\Lambda_k|^{-1} (B^{(k)} + \epsilon I)^{-1} \operatorname{grad}_\theta \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_k} l(\theta; x_\mu, y_\mu).$$

$\epsilon I > 0$ added to avoid poor scaling of small values of $B^{(k)}(i,i)$.

http://jmlr.org/papers/volume12/duchi11a/duchi11a.pdf

AdaGrad preconditions with the inverse of

$$B_k(i, i) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(g^{(j)}(\theta^{(j)})(i) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

RMSProp (Hinton) gives more weight to the current gradient

$$B_k^{RMS}(i, i) = \gamma B_{k-1}^{RMS}(i, i) + (1 - \gamma) \left(g^{(k)}(\theta^{(k)})(i) \right)^2$$

for some $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ and updates as

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - \eta |\Lambda_k|^{-1} (B^{(k)} + \epsilon I)^{-1/2} \text{grad}_\theta \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_k} l(\theta; x_\mu, y_\mu).$$

AdaDelta (Zeiler 12') extends AdaGrad using a similar preconditioned as B_k^{RMS}, but also estimates the stepsize using an average difference in $\theta^{(k)} - \theta^{(k-1)}$.
https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.5701

Adaptive moment estimation (Adam) (Kingma et al. 15')

SGD with adaptive momentum

Algorithm 1: *Adam*, our proposed algorithm for stochastic optimization. See section 2 for details, and for a slightly more efficient (but less clear) order of computation. g_t^2 indicates the elementwise square $g_t \odot g_t$. Good default settings for the tested machine learning problems are $\alpha = 0.001$, $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.999$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$. All operations on vectors are element-wise. With β_1^t and β_2^t we denote β_1 and β_2 to the power t.

Require: α: Stepsize
Require: $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1)$: Exponential decay rates for the moment estimates
Require: $f(\theta)$: Stochastic objective function with parameters θ
Require: θ_0: Initial parameter vector
    $m_0 \leftarrow 0$ (Initialize 1st moment vector)
    $v_0 \leftarrow 0$ (Initialize 2nd moment vector)
    $t \leftarrow 0$ (Initialize timestep)
    while θ_t not converged **do**
        $t \leftarrow t + 1$
        $g_t \leftarrow \nabla_\theta f_t(\theta_{t-1})$ (Get gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep t)
        $m_t \leftarrow \beta_1 \cdot m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \cdot g_t$ (Update biased first moment estimate)
        $v_t \leftarrow \beta_2 \cdot v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \cdot g_t^2$ (Update biased second raw moment estimate)
        $\widehat{m}_t \leftarrow m_t/(1 - \beta_1^t)$ (Compute bias-corrected first moment estimate)
        $\widehat{v}_t \leftarrow v_t/(1 - \beta_2^t)$ (Compute bias-corrected second raw moment estimate)
        $\theta_t \leftarrow \theta_{t-1} - \alpha \cdot \widehat{m}_t/(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t} + \epsilon)$ (Update parameters)
    end while
    return θ_t (Resulting parameters)

---

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.6980.pdf

Figure 2: Training of multilayer neural networks on MNIST images. (a) Neural networks using dropout stochastic regularization. (b) Neural networks with deterministic cost function. We compare with the sum-of-functions (SFO) optimizer (Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2014)

`https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.6980.pdf`

Adaptive moment estimation (Adam) (Kingma et al. 15')

Training on CNNs for CIFAR-10

Figure 3: Convolutional neural networks training cost. (left) Training cost for the first three epochs. (right) Training cost over 45 epochs. CIFAR-10 with c64-c64-c128-1000 architecture.

`https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.6980.pdf`

Let $g^{(k)}(\theta^{(k)}) =: \text{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})$ be the gradient of the training loss function at iteration k, AdaGrad preconditions with

$$B_k(i, i) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(g^{(j)}(\theta^{(j)})(i) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

which is the diagonal of the square-root of the sum of prior gradient outer-products. AdaGrad is the gradient descent method

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - \eta |\Lambda_k|^{-1} (B^{(k)} + \epsilon I)^{-1} \text{grad}_\theta \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_k} l(\theta; x_\mu, y_\mu).$$

A simplified version, focusing on the per iteration (as opposed to per index) update is to let $B_k = b_k I$ where $b_{k+1}^2 = b_k^2 + \|g^{(k)}\|_2^2$.
https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.01811.pdf

Scalar AdaGrad update algorithm: Initialize with $\theta^{(0)}$ and $b_0 > 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_k^2 &= b_{b-1}^2 + \|\mathrm{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})\|_2^2 \\
\theta^{(k)} &= \theta^{(k-1)} - b_k^{-1} \mathrm{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathcal{L}(\theta) \in C_L^1$, that is L minimal for which
$\|\mathrm{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta_1) - \mathrm{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta_2)\|_2 \leq L \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|$ for all θ_1, θ_2, then scalar
batch AdaGrad satisfies $\min_{k=1,\ldots,T-1} \|\mathrm{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon$ for
either

$$
\begin{aligned}
T &= 1 + \left\lceil 2\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(0)})(b_0 + 2\mathcal{L}(\theta^{(0)})) \right\rceil \quad \text{if} \quad b_0 \geq L, \quad \text{or} \\
&= 1 + \left\lceil \epsilon^{-1} \left(L^2 - b_0^2 + 4(\mathcal{L}(\theta^{(0)}) + (3/4 + \log(L/b_0))L)^2 \right) \right\rceil
\end{aligned}
$$

if $b_0 < L$. In contrast, if b_k is a fixed constant b, then if $b < L/2$
GD can diverge, while if $b \geq L$ then $T = 2b\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(0)})$.

Iteration complexity for scalar batch AdaGrad following properties for any non-negative values $a_1, \ldots, a_T$ with $a_1 > 0$, (with a_k taking the place of $\|\mathrm{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})\|_2^2$)

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \frac{a_\ell}{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i} \leq \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} a_i\right) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \frac{a_\ell}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i}} \leq 2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{T} a_i}.$$

Also, for any fixed $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $L, b_0 > 0$, the iterates $b_{k+1}^2 = b_k^2 + a_k$ has the property that after $N = \lceil \epsilon^{-1}(L^2 - b_o^2) \rceil + 1$ iterations either $\min_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k \leq \epsilon$ or $b_N \geq L$. Lastly, letting k_0 be the first iterate such that $b_{k_0} \geq L$, then for all $k \geq k_0$ $b_k \leq b_{k_0-1} + 2\mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k_0-1)})$ (bounded above) and $\mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k_0-1)}) \leq \frac{L}{2}\left(1 + 2\log(b_{k_0-1}/b_0)\right)$ (not diverged).

Let $g^{(k)}$ be an unbiased estimator of the gradient $\text{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})$ of the training loss function at iteration k; that is $\mathbb{E}(g^{(k)}) = \text{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})$. Moveover, let there be a uniform bound $\mathbb{E}(\|g^{(k)}\|_2^2) \leq c_g^2$. Then consider the stochastic scalar AdaGrad update as

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_k^2 &= b_{b-1}^2 + \|g^{(k)}\|_2^2 \\
\theta^{(k)} &= \theta^{(k-1)} - b_k^{-1} g^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Unlike in the batch version of AdaGrad where b_k converges to a fixed stepsize, stochastic AdaGrad converges roughly at the rate $b_k \approx c_g k^{1/2}$. Morevover Ward et al. showed that

$$
\min_{\ell=0,\ldots,N-1} \left(\mathbb{E}\|\text{grad}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})\|^{4/3} \right)^{3/2} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{b_0 + c_g}{N} + \frac{c_g}{N^{1/2}} \right) \log(N c_g^2 / b_0^2).
$$

MNIST with batch gradients

Figure 1: Batch setting on MNIST. Top (bottom) row are plots of train (test) accuracy with respect to the initialization b_0. The left 6 figures are for logistic regression (LR) with snapshots at epoch 5, 20 and 60 in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd column respectively. The right 6 figures are for two fully connected layers (FC) with snapshots at epoch 5, 20 and 60 in the 4th, 5th and 6th column.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.01811.pdf

Scalar AdaGrad examples (Ward et al. 18')

MNIST with mini-batch gradients

Figure 2: Stochastic setting on MNIST. Left 6 figures by logistic regression and right 6 figures by two fully connected layer. Note that the scale of y-axis change. See Figure 1 for reading instruction.

`https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.01811.pdf`

Scalar AdaGrad examples (Ward et al. 18')

CIFAR-10 with mini-batch gradients

Figure 3: Stochastic setting on CIFAR10. Left 6 figures by LeNet and right 6 figures by ResNet. Note that the epoch (see title) is different from previous figures and no momentum is used. See Figure 1 for reading instruction.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.01811.pdf