A few things we missed and summary of theories of deep learning material covered

THEORIES OF DEEP LEARNING: C6.5, LECTURE / VIDEO 15 Prof. Jared Tanner Mathematical Institute University of Oxford



Mathematical Institute

Oxford Mathematics



A bit more Deep Learning you might like to know: dropout, resnets, attention, transformers, sparsifying nets, and we end with a summary.

2

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Dropout (Srivastava et al. 14')

Setting hidden layer entries to zero at random



Dropout is a method by which, during training, the activations are set to zero with some probability. Note, dropout is only used in the training phase, not in testing.



Figure 1: Dropout Neural Net Model. Left: A standard neural net with 2 hidden layers. Right: An example of a thinned net produced by applying dropout to the network on the left. Crossed units have been dropped.

Dropout has a number of valuable consequences: reducing correlation in training, inducing sparsity, avoiding overfitting, and can be used to evaluate uncertainty in a deep net.

http://jmlr.org/papers/volume15/srivastava14a/srivastava14a.pdf

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Dropout (Srivastava et al. 14')



Improved test error



Figure 4: Test error for different architectures with and without dropout. The networks have 2 to 4 hidden layers each with 1024 to 2048 units.

http://jmlr.org/papers/volume15/srivastava14a/srivastava14a.pdf

・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

4

э

Dropout (Srivastava et al. 14')

Sparse features





(a) Without dropout

(b) Dropout with p = 0.5.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Figure 7: Features learned on MNIST with one hidden layer autoencoders having 256 rectified linear units.

http://jmlr.org/papers/volume15/srivastava14a/srivastava14a.pdf

OXFORD Mathematical Institute

The skip connection building block



Figure 2. Residual learning: a building block.

If the block is attempting to learn a map $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the ResNet instead attempts to learn $\mathcal{F}(x) := \mathcal{H}(x) - x$ which is the residual. One can speculate this is easier to learn if H(x) is approximately an identity map.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Impact on training loss function vs without skip connections





Figure 4. Training on **ImageNet**. Thin curves denote training error, and bold curves denote validation error of the center crops. Left: plain networks of 18 and 34 layers. Right: ResNets of 18 and 34 layers. In this plot, the residual networks have no extra parameter compared to their plain counterparts.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf

(日)

Examples of ResNet architectures in more detail



	VGG-19	34-layer plain	34-layer residual			
	1 martine	irrigan.	in gen			
output	BKB CONV. 04					
	ana conv. 64					
else: 112						
		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	ANA 11110, 124	242 miles, 64, 72	747 BUILD, BA, 72			
	Pool. 72	Pool_ 72	DO01.72			
	ava eenv, aan	ava ropy, na	aua - 000, 64			
	343 0000, 366	And corro, 64	And conv. 64			
		L axa cojov, en l	axa conv. ea			
	3n3 mmo, 356	And marroy, did	And minty, 64			
		3 4 3 6 6010. 0.1	363 0000, 04			
		ava conv, na	axa conv, na			
	ana 1. /2	383 8803, 128, /2	3n3 mmy, 120, 72			
else: 2.M	1 3Y3 CODY 317	3 8 3 6 9 9 9 1 7 1 7 1	313 0001 178			
	200 mm 642	Aug				
	*	*	• •			
		ana conto. Lan	383 0000. 128			
	axa conv, siz	ava cono, tva				
		ana mayo, 134	313 0019, 134			
		BKB CODV. 128	BKB CODV. 128			
	1	ava copy, 198	ava conv. sea			
stere: 3.4	e e e <u>e e</u> 22	3 *3 ***** 256, /2	3x3 curry, 200, /2			
	BKB CONV. 512	BKB CODV. 250	3x3 conv, 250			
	Ana murio, 640	And marroy, 2466	3n3 mmv, 266			
	383 0009, 512	343 6000, 250	343 6000, 250			
	ana conv, saz	aka conv, sse	awa conv, son			
		303 0019, 250	363 100 200			
		343 0004 330	343 0004 730			
		*	* *			
		3-3-000 300	Tell control Theo			
		*	* >			
		*				
		ANA UNIO, ANU	***			
	+	3x3 conv. 256	3K3 conv. 256			
to be an	P001, 28	ava conv, size, /a	ava conv, sav, /v			
		3.63 00000, 53.2	And some, 512			
			BKB CODV. B 8 M			
		ana muyo, 64.2	ana many, 512			
		363 6609, 53.2	3x3 samy, 532			
		ava conv, sax	3×3 conv, 514			
and the set	f= 4006	ave Tours	ave poul			
	rc 4090	re 1000	re 1000			
	re 1000					

Figure 3. Example network architectures for imageNet. Left, the probability of the second sec

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf

8

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Recall ILSVRC ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge, Russakovsky et al. 2015.





・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト



э

OXFO

Improved	accuracy	for	ILSVRC
----------	----------	-----	--------

method	top-1 err.	top-5 err.
VGG [41] (ILSVRC'14)	-	8.43 [†]
GoogLeNet [44] (ILSVRC'14)	-	7.89
VGG [41] (v5)	24.4	7.1
PReLU-net [13]	21.59	5.71
BN-inception [16]	21.99	5.81
ResNet-34 B	21.84	5.71
ResNet-34 C	21.53	5.60
ResNet-50	20.74	5.25
ResNet-101	19.87	4.60
ResNet-152	19.38	4.49

method	top-5 err. (test)
VGG [41] (ILSVRC'14)	7.32
GoogLeNet [44] (ILSVRC'14)	6.66
VGG [41] (v5)	6.8
PReLU-net [13]	4.94
BN-inception [16]	4.82
ResNet (ILSVRC'15)	3.57

Table 4. Error rates (%) of **single-model** results on the ImageNet validation set (except † reported on the test set).

Table 5. Error rates (%) of **ensembles**. The top-5 error is on the test set of ImageNet and reported by the test server.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

See also Highway nets by Srivastava et al. 15 which vary the amount of x being passed through a skip connection.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.00387.pdf

Attention mechanism (Vaswani 17'), diagram

Key and Query quadratic form to highlight relations





Figure 2: (left) Scaled Dot-Product Attention. (right) Multi-Head Attention consists of several attention layers running in parallel.

Equations defining these actions are given on the next slide. https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762

11

Key and Query quadratic form to highlight relations



Patches of inputs of a fixed dimension are extracted and arranged into a matrix X, similar to CNNs. They queries, keys, and values are then computed with matrix-products $Q^T = W_Q X^T$, $K^T = W_K X^T$, and $V^T = W_V X^T$ then the re-activation attention layer is

$$H = \phi_1 \left(Q K^T d^{-lpha}
ight) V$$

and another nonlinear activation, $\phi_2(\cdot)$ is then applied to either H or maybe with a skip to H + X. Typically $\phi_1(\cdot)$ is softmax and ϕ_2 is ReLU or similar. The scaling α is typically 1/2, but also sometimes 1, and generally Q and V have layer-norm applied to enforce fixed mean and variance. Multi-head attention concatenates many of these and multiply by a weight matrix.

https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762

https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.06450

Transformer mechanism (Vaswani 17'), diagram

Encoder-decoder structure using attention





Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

The Transformer follows this overall architecture using stacked self-attention and point-wise, fully connected layers for both the encoder and decoder, shown in the left and right halves of Figure [], respectively.

```
https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762
```

13

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

GPT3 architecture (Brown 20'), diagram

GPT: Generative Pre-Trained Transformers



Model Name	$n_{\rm params}$	$n_{\rm layers}$	$d_{\rm model}$	$n_{\rm heads}$	$d_{\rm head}$	Batch Size	Learning Rate
GPT-3 Small	125M	12	768	12	64	0.5M	$6.0 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 Medium	350M	24	1024	16	64	0.5M	$3.0 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 Large	760M	24	1536	16	96	0.5M	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 XL	1.3B	24	2048	24	128	1M	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 2.7B	2.7B	32	2560	32	80	1M	$1.6 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 6.7B	6.7B	32	4096	32	128	2M	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 13B	13.0B	40	5140	40	128	2M	$1.0 imes 10^{-4}$
GPT-3 175B or "GPT-3"	175.0B	96	12288	96	128	3.2M	$0.6 imes 10^{-4}$

Table 2.1: Sizes, architectures, and learning hyper-parameters (batch size in tokens and learning rate) of the models which we trained. All models were trained for a total of 300 billion tokens.

Each layer of GPT3 (and earlier variants) is a transformer block. Energy usage to train and apply such large models is very costly. https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

14



- Deep nets for some state of the art tasks have vast numbers of trainable parameters:
 - ResNet101, image classification 45 million parameters
 - GPT-3, text generation 175 billion parameters
 - ► T5-XXL, language model 1.6 trillion parameters
- An approximation theory viewpoint suggest this isn't necessary at inference, see Optimal Approximation with Sparsely Connected Deep Neural Networks, Bolcskei et al. 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.01714
- Practise tell us the number of parameters can be reduced to 5% or fewer parameters without loss of accuracy.

Sparsifying and the lottery ticket hypothesis

OXFORD Mathematical Institute

Reducing the number of parameters initially improves accuracy



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.00554.pdf

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

At initialisation and dynamic pruning



- Starting with a sparse network: pruning at initialisation:
 - From any network, random or trained, determine a measure of importance for a parameter in the network and set the parameter to zero if below that threshold.
 - Examples include: magnitude of the parameters, gradient of the loss with respect to that parameter, or measure of information flow.
- Dynamic sparsifying the network:
 - Prune as above, but allow some entries to be reintroduced and then pruned again during training.
 - Most successful is to prune based on magnitude and re-introduce based on training gradient magnitude.
- This is an increasingly active area due to the every growing size of networks.



Summary of the material covered.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

18



- Structure of a deep net as repeated affine transforms and non-linear activations.
- Introduction to LeNet-5 with convolutional and fully connected layers.
- MNIST as an example of small dataset, along with the more complex imagenet dataset.
- Discussion of availability of computational resources and optimisation algorithms.

Why depth from a function approximation perspective



- Telgarsky 15' sawtooth function giving example function with exponentially many maxima as a function of depth, but linear in width.
- ► Yarotsky 16' extension of the sawtooth to generate local polynomial approximations within \(\epsilon\) needing log(1/\(\epsilon\)) depth.
- Poggio et al. 17' tree structure for approximation rate at the low effective dimensionality.
- ► Hein et al. 05' showing that MNIST, for example, has low effective dimension.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Controlling the exponential with depth behaviour: correlation and gradients



- Glorot et al. 10' observation of pre-activation hidden layers being approximately Gaussian and normalizing to have constant variance with depth.
- Poole et al. 16' quantifying the convergence of the Gaussian variance through a computable recursion relation and developing a theory for the correlation between inputs dependence on (σ_w, σ_b, φ(·)). Controlling geometric collapse or instability through selecting (σ_w, σ_b, φ(·)) according to derived formulae.
- Pennington et al. 18' introduced the edge of chaos and connecting the Poole et al. work with exploding and vanishing gradients. Random matrix theory to derive moments of the spectra of the DNN.

21

Character of the loss landscape and algorithms to minimize it



- Foundational theory on stochastic gradient descent (SGD), making use of back-propagation and mini-batches to improve scalability of the algorithms.
- Reducing the gap between the value of a global minimizer and SGD through decreasing stepsize, increasing batchsize, or other variance reduction methods.
- Accelerating through momentum and diagonal scaling.
- Ward et al. AdaGrad scalar diagonal scaling to have reliable training over a wide range of stepsize initializations.

Simply connected landscapes and architecture choices to aid them



- Venturi et al. 16' showed the minimizers of the loss landscape can have paths between them where the loss landscape remains of a similar value, nearly simply connected.
- Pennington et al. 17' showed how the distribution of eigenvalues of the loss landscape's Hessian can be computed as a function of the number of trainable parameters compared to the amount of data available. With enough data, and when close to a minimizer, then the landscape is locally convex.
- Li et al. 18' illustrated how the loss landscape width near minimizers is impacted by training batch-size, and how ResNets can improve the landscape characteristics.
- Loffe 15' introduced batchnorm to have trainable bulk scaling to aid optimisation.

CNN filters learned on natural images are interpretable and predictable



- Filters for early layers of CNNs show characteristic high-dimensional wavelet like structure.
- Deeper layers combine such filters and give greatest response for more structured inputs, leading to "memory" where some units in the CNN are maximized by objects within training classes.
- Such structure helps explain the efficacy of transfer learning.
- Mallat 12' introduced the Scattering Transform, which is a deep transform that only learns the final layers; activations are hand crafted to encourage desired invariants such as translation.



- Goodfellow et al. 14' introduced the generative adversarial network (GAN) structure using reversed DNNs to generate data characteristic of a training dataset.
- Bau et al. 20' Filters analogous to those in CNNs on natural images are learned for generating natural images. They can be modified in order to influence expected properties of the generated data, such as colour or frequency of objects such as trees or doors in buildings.
- Moosavi-Dezfooli et al. 16' introduced DeepFool to effectively generate adversarial misclassification.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Lecture 13-15: Generative models and lack of robustness 2 of 2 $_{\rm How \ DNNs \ can \ be \ reversed \ to \ generate \ data}$



- Engstrom et al. 18' showed that natural actions on objects, such as rotation or translation can also be used to generate misclassification; showing inherent lack of robustness in typical DNNs.
- Wong et al. 17' demonstrated that one can adapt the training to have certificates which ensure that the network is provably robust in some circumstances.
- Gopalakrishnan et al 18' showed how sparsifying a DNN can improve robustness, explainable by reducing an upper bound on the DNN's Lipshitz constant.
- Autoencoders and VAEs as alternative architectures that can be built from DNNs for tasks such as denoising or explainable generative data.

Generalization error, benefit of depth



- Exponential approximation ability with depth is well understood.
- Algorithms exist to effectively train DNNs and their variants, overcoming disadvantages that accompany the exponential nature of depth.
- DNNs are observed to generalize well, but theory is lacking to show a benefit of depth in terms of generalization; such a result may not exist, or may require a DNN that is regularized to show that with a fixed amount of trainable parameters, that depth has generalization benefits over width.
- This leads us to consider the amount of trainable parameters needed; width and depth are needed, but generate undesirably large amounts of trainable parameters.