

Separation Lemma

Let Σ, T be L -theories

and let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Sent}(L)$ closed under $\wedge$ and $\vee$.

Assume that, for each $A \models \Sigma$, $B \models T$

there is $\gamma \in \Gamma$ s.t. $A \models \gamma$ and $B \models \neg \gamma$.

Then there is $\gamma^* \in \Gamma$ with $\Sigma \models \gamma^*$ and $T \models \neg \gamma^*$

Proof: Fix $A \models \Sigma$.

Choose for each $B \models T$ some $\gamma_B \in \Gamma$ with $A \models \gamma_B$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Mod}(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{B \models T} \text{Mod}(\neg \gamma_B) \quad B \models \neg \gamma_B.$$

$\Rightarrow$ by compactness, there are $B_1, \dots, B_m \models T$

$$\text{with } \text{Mod}(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{Mod}(\neg \gamma_{B_i})$$

(observe that $\text{Mod}(T) \cap \bigcap_{B \models T} \text{Mod}(\gamma_B) = \emptyset$)

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{Mod}(\neg \gamma_{B_i}) = \text{Mod}(\neg \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \gamma_{B_i})$$

$\Rightarrow \gamma_A := \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \gamma_{B_i}$ separates A from $\text{Mod}(T)$:

$$A \models \gamma_A \text{ and } \text{Mod}(T) \subseteq \text{Mod}(\neg \gamma_A)$$

By compactness again, there are $A_1, \dots, A_n \models \Sigma$

$$\text{s.t. } \text{Mod } \Sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Mod}(\gamma_{A_i})$$

$$(\text{and } \text{Mod}(T) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Mod}(\neg \gamma_{A_i}))$$

$\Rightarrow \gamma^* := \bigvee_{i=1}^n \gamma_{A_i}$ will do the job. $\blacksquare$

Corollary

A class C of L -structures is finutely ax.^{ble} if both C and $C^c := \{A \mid A \notin C\}$ are ax.^{ble}

Pf.: " $\Rightarrow$ " clear

" $\Leftarrow$ " w.l.o.g. $C \neq \emptyset \neq C^c$

Let $C = \text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ and $C^c = \text{Mod}(T)$

Then Σ separating Σ from T axioms Σ

Def.: An L -theory T is model complete if

$T \cup \text{Diag}(A)$ is complete for any $A \models T$

($\Rightarrow$) $C \text{Diag}(A)$ is the deductive closure of $T \cup \text{Diag}(A)$

Examples. Th $(\mathbb{Q}, <) = \text{DLO}$

- Th $(\langle \mathbb{R}; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle) = \text{RCF}$ = theory of real closed fields
- ACF = the theory of algebraically closed fields

Non-Example - the theory of abelian groups (easy)

- Th $(\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, \cdot; 0, 1 \rangle)$ (hard $\rightarrow$ Hilbert's 10th Problem)

Def.: For L -structure $A \subseteq B$

say A is existentially closed in B (" $A \leq_{\exists} B$ ")

if for any $\exists$ - L_A sentence φ : ($B \models \varphi \Rightarrow A \models \varphi$)

Theorem For any L -theory T , $T \models A \in$:

- T is model complete
- $A, B \models T$ with $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \leq_{\exists} B$
- " " $\Rightarrow A \leq_{\exists} B$
- Every L -formula $\varphi = \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is modulo T equivalent to an $\exists$ - L -formula $\psi = \psi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$
i.e. $T \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftrightarrow \psi(v_1, \dots, v_n))$

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

Assume (i) and let $A, B \models T$ with $A \subseteq B$

$\Rightarrow$ there is an L_A -expansion B' of B with $B' \models T \cup \text{Diag}(A)$

$T \cup \text{Diag}(A)$ complete $\Rightarrow A' \equiv B'$

$\Rightarrow A \leq B$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): clear

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Assume (iii)

First show: every $\exists$ -L-formula $\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$

is mod T equ. to a $\forall$ -L-formula

Let $\bar{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ be new constants and let

$\Gamma = \text{Sent}_{\forall}(L_{\bar{c}})$.

let A', B' be $L_{\bar{c}}$ -structures with L -reducts $A, B \models T$

s.t. $\text{Th}_{\forall}(A') \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(B')$

$\Rightarrow$ there is $B'' \equiv B'$ with $A' \subseteq B''$ (Exercise)

$\stackrel{(\text{iii})}{\Rightarrow} A' \models \varphi(\bar{c})$ iff $B'' \models \varphi(\bar{c})$ iff $B' \models \varphi(\bar{c})$

Apply Sep. Lemma to $T \cup \{\varphi(\bar{c})\}$ and $T \cup \{\neg \varphi(\bar{c})\}$

to find $\varphi(\bar{c}) \in \Gamma$ with $\text{Mod}(T \cup \{\varphi(\bar{c})\}) \subseteq \text{Mod}(\varphi(\bar{c}))$

Hence $T \models \forall \bar{v} (\varphi(\bar{v}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\bar{v}))$ " $\neg \varphi(\bar{c})$

Rest: easy induction on φ

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume (iv), let $B^+ \models T \cup \text{Diag}(A)$ for $A \models T$

let φ be any L_A -sentence

to show: $A^+ \models \varphi$ iff $B^+ \models \varphi$

(for then $B^+ \equiv A^+$ and so $T \cup \text{Diag}(A)$ is complete)

$A^+, B^+ \models T \stackrel{(\text{iv})}{\Rightarrow}$ w.l.o.g. φ $\exists$ - L_A -sentence and $A \subseteq B$

so $A^+ \models \varphi \Rightarrow B^+ \models \varphi$

$A^+ \not\models \varphi \Rightarrow A^+ \models \neg \varphi \stackrel{(\text{iv})}{\Rightarrow} \neg \varphi$ equ. to $\exists \psi$ mod T

$\Rightarrow A^+ \models \psi \Rightarrow B^+ \models \psi \Rightarrow B^+ \models \neg \varphi$ $\square$

Corollary 1

T model complete iff every L -formula is mod T equiv. to a $\forall$ - L -formula

Corollary 2

If T is model complete then T is $\forall\exists$ -axiomatizable

(Converse clearly false, e.g. $T =$ the theory of fields)

$$\mathbb{R} \not\equiv \mathbb{C}$$

Example $T = \text{DLO}$ is model complete ($L = \{<\}$)

Pf.: $A, B \models T$ with $A \subseteq B$

$\varphi \in \text{Sent}_3(L_A)$ with $B^+ \models \varphi$

to show: $A^+ \models \varphi$

$\varphi = \exists v_1 \dots v_m \varphi(\bar{a}, \bar{v})$ (φ q.f.)

all φ can say is the position of $v_1, \dots, v_m$ relative to $a_1, \dots, a_m$

As $A \models \text{DLO}$ this can be realized in A $\square$

Def.: An L -theory T admits elimination

of quantifiers $\text{el}(QE)$ if every L -formula

$\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is modulo T equivalent to a quantifier-free (q.f.) L -formula $\psi(v_1, \dots, v_m)$

i.e. $T \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_m (\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_m) \leftrightarrow \psi(v_1, \dots, v_m))$

Note this is only possible if L contains constants or $\perp$ or $\top$.

Remark T has $QE \Rightarrow T$ is model-complete

Theorem Assume L contains constants.

For any L -theory T , $T \models A \iff E$:

(i) T admits QE

(ii) $T \cup \text{Diag } L$ is complete for each $L \subseteq A \models T$

(iii) For any $A, B \models T$ with a common L -substructure L

and for any simple $\exists$ - L_c -sentence φ (so $\varphi = \exists v \varphi(v)$),

$A \models \varphi$ iff $B \models \varphi$

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) clear

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Induction on complexity of formulas

crucial step: Any formula $\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \exists v \psi(v_1, \dots, v_n, v)$

is mod T equ. to a q.f. $\chi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$

Add new constants $\bar{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$

and let $\varphi(\bar{c}) = \exists v \psi(c_1, \dots, c_n, v)$

let $L_{\bar{c}} = L \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ and let $\Gamma = \{\text{q.f. } L_{\bar{c}}\text{-sent.}\}$

want to apply Sep. Lemma to $T \cup \{\varphi(\bar{c})\}$ and $T \cup \{\neg \varphi(\bar{c})\}$

suppose $A^{\bar{c}}, B^{\bar{c}}$ are $L_{\bar{c}}$ structures with $A, B \models T$

such that $\text{Th}(A^{\bar{c}}) \cap \Gamma = \text{Th}(B^{\bar{c}}) \cap \Gamma$

$\Rightarrow$ for any two closed $L_{\bar{c}}$ -terms σ, τ

$\sigma^{A^{\bar{c}}} = \tau^{A^{\bar{c}}} \iff \sigma^{B^{\bar{c}}} = \tau^{B^{\bar{c}}}$

$\Rightarrow$ may identify the $L_{\bar{c}}$ -substructures generated by $L_{\bar{c}}$ -terms in $A^{\bar{c}}$ and $B^{\bar{c}}$

(iii) $\Rightarrow A^{\bar{c}} \models \varphi(\bar{c}) \iff B^{\bar{c}} \models \varphi(\bar{c})$

Sep. Lemma $\Rightarrow$ there is $\chi(\bar{c}) \in \Gamma$ s.t.

$\text{Mod}(T \cup \{\varphi(\bar{c})\}) \subseteq \text{Mod}(\chi(\bar{c})) \iff T \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n \varphi(\bar{v}) \iff \chi(\bar{v})$

Example

ACF admits QE in $L = \{+, \cdot; 0, 1\}$

Pf.: Let $A, B \models \text{ACF}$ with common substructure L ($\Rightarrow L$ is a subring of A and B)

Let $\varphi = \exists v \underbrace{\varphi(v)}$ q. f. L_c -formula

so φ is a boolean comb. of polynomial equations over L

so $\bigvee_j \bigwedge_i f_{ij}(v) = 0 \wedge \bigwedge_k g_{ik}(v) \neq 0$ with $f_{ij} \in C[\bar{t}]$.

$A, B \models \text{ACF} \Rightarrow A^c \models \varphi$ iff $B^c \models \varphi$ ■

e.g. $A^c \models \exists v \bigwedge_j f_{ij}(v) = 0 \wedge \bigwedge_k g_{ik}(v) \neq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow$ no f_{ij} occurs [A is infinite so not $a \in A$ avoid the finitely many zeros of g_{ik}]

or there are f_{ij} 's and they all have a common irreducible factor h over L which divides none of the g_{ik} 's.

$\Rightarrow B^c \models \exists v \bigwedge_j f_{ij}(v) = 0 \wedge \bigwedge_k g_{ik}(v) \neq 0$ ■