

A model theoretic "Proof" for algebraic independence of e and π

Recall $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ are called algebraically independent if $g(x, y) \neq 0$ for all non-zero polynomials $g(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$

"Claim" e and π are algebraically independent
[This is, in fact, a big open problem in transcend. number theory]

"Proof": Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{+, \cdot; 0, 1\}$ be the language of rings,
 $\text{RCF} := \text{Th}(\langle \mathbb{R}; +, \cdot; 0, 1 \rangle)$ the $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -theory of real closed fields

$p(x) := \{n < x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the 1-type for "infinitely large" elements
note that " $<$ " is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ definable

in models of RCF : $(x < y \leftrightarrow \exists z \neq 0 \ y = x + z^2)$.

Obr.: $p(x)$ is omitted in a model R of RCF
iff R has an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -embedding into $\langle \mathbb{R}; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

Now let c, d be new constants and let

$$T = \text{RCF} \cup \{r < c < r' \mid r, r' \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } r < c < r'\} \\ \cup \{s < d < s' \mid s, s' \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } s < d < s'\} \\ \cup \{g(c, d) \neq 0 \mid g \in \mathbb{Q}[X, Y] \setminus \{0\}\}$$

Then T is finitely realizable (in \mathbb{R}), so,
by compactness, T has a model.

Note that $p(x)$ is a non-principal type
(for example, because it is omitted in \mathbb{R})

Now let R be a model of T omitting p (by OTT).

Then, by Obr., R can be considered as subfield of \mathbb{R}
and c and d have to be interpreted as e and π resp. ■

FLAW: If $g(e, \pi) = 0$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Q}[X, Y] \setminus \{0\}$ then
 $p(x)$ becomes a principal type of T , as c and d are
intuitively close to e resp. π , but not equal, so $\frac{1}{e-c}$ or $\frac{1}{\pi-d} \gg 0$.