Fourier Analysis B4.4 HT22

Lecture 16: The Hilbert transform revisited

We follow up on examples from lectures 7 and 8 about the Hilbert transform. The material should be covered in Week 8.

Lecture 16 (B4.4) HT22

The Hilbert transform was defined for each $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ in lecture 7 as

$$\mathcal{H}(\phi) := \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{pv}\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) * \phi \right)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \right) \frac{\phi(x - y)}{\pi y} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Hereby $\mathcal{H}\colon\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})\to\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ is linear and it is the most basic example of a singular integral operator. The distribution

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{pv}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

is tempered and of order 1. Its Fourier transform is $-i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$ and so we can use the extended convolution rule to define the Hilbert transform of a tempered distribution u whose Fourier transform \widehat{u} is a moderate C^{∞} function:

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \to x}^{-1} \left(-i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi) \right). \tag{1}$$

In fact, we can use this definition for all $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ for which $-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi)$ is a well-defined tempered distribution. But the question of its natural and maximal *domain* is subtle.

The Hilbert transform

An example where we can use (1) to define $\mathcal{H}(u)$ is when $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ since then Riemann-Lebesgue ensures \widehat{u} is continuous. However, its Hilbert transform will not be integrable in general. In fact, in lecture 7 we saw examples where the Hilbert transform of Schwartz test functions are not integrable (we used the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma).

In this connection we also record:

Example 1 For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b we calculate

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{1}_{(a,b)})(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{x-a}{x-b} \right|$$

and this also is not integrable on \mathbb{R} . Note that it is not bounded either. But you can check that it is in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for each $p \in (1, \infty)$.

You might recall why this is not surprising when p = 2.

Lecture 16 (B4.4) HT22

The Hilbert transform on L²

Using Plancherel's theorem we proved in lecture 8 that \mathcal{H} extends by continuity to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that hereby the extended map

$$\mathcal{H}\colon\thinspace L^2(\mathbb{R})\to L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

is unitary (isometric and onto). We can use (1) as definition again because $\widehat{u} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and so

$$-i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi)\in\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})\subset\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

Because $\mathbf{1}_{(a,b)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we therefore confirm our calculation that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{1}_{(a,b)}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lecture 16 (B4.4) HT22

The Hilbert transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}^2=-I$, that is, minus the identity on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We use that for $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}(\phi)} = -i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(\xi),$$
 (2)

5 / 11

and since both the Hilbert and Fourier transforms are continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, density of $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ allows us to extend (2) to $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. But then we get for $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{2}(\phi)}(\xi) = -i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{\mathcal{H}(\phi)}(\xi)$$

$$= -i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\left(-i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right)$$

$$= -\widehat{\phi}(\xi)$$

concluding the proof.

Lecture 16 (B4.4)

The Hilbert transform on L^p [Not examinable]

It can be shown that for each $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{H}(\phi)\|_{p} \le c_{p} \|\phi\|_{p} \tag{3}$$

6/11

holds for all $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$. We can therefore extend \mathcal{H} to $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ by continuity (recall the abstract extension theorem from lecture 8). Note that Example 1 shows that (3) cannot hold for p=1 nor for $p=\infty$.

Can we use the formula (1) to calculate $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$ when $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$?

Lecture 16 (B4.4) HT22

The Hilbert transform on L^p [Not examinable]

We can use the formula (1) as definition of $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$ when $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $p \in [1,2]$. This is so because in these cases $\widehat{\phi}$ is a regular distribution and so we can make sense of

$$-i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(\xi)$$

as a tempered distribution. We have already mentioned this for p=1 and for p=2. In the remaining cases $p\in(1,2)$ we have by Hausdorff-Young (that we quoted but didn't prove) that $\widehat{\phi}\in\mathsf{L}^q(\mathbb{R})$, where q is the Hölder conjugate exponent q=p/(p-1). Thus

$$-i\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\in\mathsf{L}^q(\mathbb{R})\subset\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

However, when p>2 the Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi}\in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ of $\phi\in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ can be a distribution of higher order making it impossible to directly use (1) as definition of $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$. But obviously the abstract extension theorem and (3) still allow us to define the Hilbert transform in this situation – we just cannot rely on the formula (1).

Lecture 16 (B4.4)

For this we rely on the formula

$$\frac{1}{x+i0} = -\pi i \delta_0 + \text{pv}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Recall that we calculated the Fourier transform of Heaviside's function in lecture 6, example 2:

$$\widehat{H} = -\mathrm{ipv}(\frac{1}{x}) + \pi \delta_0.$$

We will now calculate it in a different manner: put $H_{\varepsilon}(t) = e^{-\varepsilon t}H(t)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $H'_{\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon H_{\varepsilon} + \delta_0$ in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, so using the differentiation rule we get by Fourier transformation:

$$\widehat{H}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon + ix} = \frac{-i}{x - i\varepsilon}.$$

Because $H_{\varepsilon} \to H$ in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ we get by \mathscr{S}' continuity of the Fourier transform that

$$\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{x-\mathrm{i}0} = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{pv}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \pi\delta_0.$$

To arrive at the formula (4) we apply the reflection in origin operation $\widetilde{(\cdot)}$ on the previous identity.

Now let $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be *real-valued*. Define

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{x - t + \mathrm{i}y} \,\mathrm{d}t$$

for $z=x+\mathrm{i} y\in\mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} is the open upper half-plane in \mathbb{C} . It is not difficult to check that $\Phi\colon\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and that we can rewrite it as

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{t + \mathrm{i}y}, \phi(x - \cdot) \right\rangle \tag{5}$$

9/11

Consider its real and imaginary parts. They clearly are a pair of conjugate harmonic functions on \mathbb{H} .

Lecture 16 (B4.4) HT22

We have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\Phi(z)) = (P_y * \phi)(x)$$
 and $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi(z)) = (Q_y * \phi)(x)$

where P_y is the Poisson kernel obtained by an L¹ dilation of

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

and Q_{ν} is the conjugate Poisson kernel obtained by an L¹ dilation of

$$Q(x) = \frac{x}{\pi(1+x^2)}.$$

Note that $P(x) \ge 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} P(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$, so $(P_y)_{y>0}$ is an approximate identity and we have $P_y * \phi \to \phi$ uniformly on \mathbb{R} as $y \searrow 0$. What is the limit of $Q_v * \phi$? Complication: Q is *not* integrable on \mathbb{R} .

To find the limit as $y \searrow 0$ we return to (5) and (4):

$$\Phi(z) = (P_y * \phi)(x) + i(Q_y * \phi)(x) = \frac{i}{\pi} \left\langle \frac{1}{t + iy}, \phi(x - \cdot) \right\rangle
\rightarrow \frac{i}{\pi} \left\langle -\pi i \delta_0 + \text{pv}(\frac{1}{t}), \phi(x - \cdot) \right\rangle
= \phi(x) + i\mathcal{H}(\phi)(x)$$

pointwise in $x \in \mathbb{R}$.