

# B1.1 Logic

## Lecture 3

Martin Bays

Oxford, MT 2023

## 2. Valuations

In natural language, the **truth** or **falsity** of a sentence using logical connectives is determined by the truth or falsity of its subclauses:

“Socrates is dead or Socrates is a vampire” is true because “Socrates is dead” is true.

The propositional calculus abstracts this to a recursive definition of the **truth value**  $T$  ('true') or  $F$  ('false') of a formula  $\phi$  in terms of the truth values of the propositional variables occurring in  $\phi$ .

## 2.1 Definition

1. A **valuation**  $v$  is a function

$$v : \{p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots\} \rightarrow \{T, F\}.$$

2. Given a valuation  $v$  we extend  $v$  uniquely to a function

$$\tilde{v} : \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{prop}}) \rightarrow \{T, F\}.$$

( $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{prop}})$  denotes the set of all formulas of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{prop}}$ )

defined recursively as follows:

- (i) If  $\phi$  is a formula of length 1, i.e. a propositional variable, then  $\tilde{v}(\phi) := v(\phi)$ .
- (ii) If  $\phi$  is a formula of length  $n > 1$ , and  $\tilde{v}$  has been defined on formulas of length  $< n$ : by the Unique Readability Theorem, either  $\phi = \neg\psi_1$  for a unique  $\psi_1$ , or  $\phi = (\psi_1 \star \psi_2)$  for a unique pair  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  and a unique  $\star \in \{\rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow\}$ .

Then the  $\psi_i$  are formulas of length  $< n$ , and we define  $\tilde{v}(\phi)$  in terms of the  $\tilde{v}(\psi_i)$  by the **truth tables** on the following slide.

## Truth Tables

Define  $\tilde{v}(\phi)$  by the following truth tables:

Negation

$\psi$	$\neg\psi$
$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$

i.e. if  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$  then  $\tilde{v}(\neg\psi) = F$   
and if  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = F$  then  $\tilde{v}(\neg\psi) = T$

Binary Connectives

$\psi$	$\chi$	$\psi \rightarrow \chi$	$\psi \wedge \chi$	$\psi \vee \chi$	$\psi \leftrightarrow \chi$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$

so, e.g., if  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = F$  and  $\tilde{v}(\chi) = T$   
then  $\tilde{v}(\psi \vee \chi) = T$  etc.

**Remark:** These truth tables correspond roughly to our ordinary use of the words ‘not’, ‘if - then’, ‘and’, ‘or’ and ‘if and only if’, except, perhaps, the truth table for implication ( $\rightarrow$ ).

## 2.2 Example

Construct the full truth table for the formula

$$\phi := ((p_0 \vee p_1) \rightarrow \neg(p_1 \wedge p_2))$$

$\tilde{v}(\phi)$  only depends on  $v(p_0)$ ,  $v(p_1)$  and  $v(p_2)$ .

$p_0$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$(p_0 \vee p_1)$	$(p_1 \wedge p_2)$	$\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2)$	$\phi$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$

## 2.3 Example Truth table for

$$\phi := ((p_0 \rightarrow p_1) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0))$$

$p_0$	$p_1$	$(p_0 \rightarrow p_1)$	$\neg p_1$	$\neg p_0$	$(\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0)$	$\phi$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$

# 3. Logical Validity

## 3.1 Definition

- A valuation  $v$  **satisfies** a formula  $\phi$  if  $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ .
- A formula  $\phi$  is **logically valid** if  $\phi$  is satisfied by *every* valuation (e.g. Example 2.3, not Example 2.2). Such a  $\phi$  is also called a **tautology**.

*Notation:*  $\models \phi$

- A formula  $\phi$  is **satisfiable** if  $\phi$  is satisfied by *some* valuation. So:

$\phi$  is satisfiable iff  $\neg\phi$  is *not* a tautology.

- A formula  $\phi$  is a **logical consequence** of a formula  $\psi$  if, for *every* valuation  $v$ :

if  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$  then  $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ .

*Notation:*  $\psi \models \phi$

### 3.2 Lemma $\psi \models \phi$ if and only if $\models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ .

*Proof.* ' $\Rightarrow$ ': Assume  $\psi \models \phi$ .

Let  $v$  be any valuation.

- If  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$  then (by def.)  $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ ,  
so then  $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$  by tt  $\rightarrow$ .

('tt  $\star$ ' refers to the truth table of the connective  $\star$ )

- If  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = F$  then  $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$  by tt  $\rightarrow$ .

Thus, for every valuation  $v$ ,  $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$ ,  
so  $\models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ .

' $\Leftarrow$ ': Conversely, suppose  $\models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ .

Let  $v$  be any valuation s.t.  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$ .

Since  $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$ , also  $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$  by tt  $\rightarrow$ .

Hence  $\psi \models \phi$ . □



**3.3 Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be any (possibly infinite) set of formulas and let  $\phi$  be any formula.

Then  $\phi$  is a **logical consequence** of  $\Gamma$  if, for every valuation  $v$ :

If  $\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma$  then  $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ .

*Notation:*  $\Gamma \models \phi$

Note:

$$\begin{aligned} \models \phi &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \models \phi, \\ \psi \models \phi &\Leftrightarrow \{\psi\} \models \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 generalises to:

### 3.4 Lemma

$\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \models \phi$  if and only if  $\Gamma \models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Exercise. □

### 3.5 Example

$\models ((p_0 \rightarrow p_1) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0))$  (Ex. 2.3)  
Hence  $(p_0 \rightarrow p_1) \models (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_0)$  by 3.2  
Hence  $\{(p_0 \rightarrow p_1), \neg p_1\} \models \neg p_0$  by 3.4

### 3.6 Example

$$\phi \models (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$$

*Proof.* For any  $v$ :

if  $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$  then, by tt  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\tilde{v}((\psi \rightarrow \phi)) = T$   
(no matter what  $\tilde{v}(\psi)$  is). □