

B1.1 Logic

Lecture 6

Martin Bays

Oxford, MT 2023

6.6 The Deduction Theorem for L_0

For any $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ and
for any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$:

If $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$ then $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.

Proof:

We prove by induction on m :

*If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ is a proof in L_0 from $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}$
then $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_i)$ for all $i \leq m$.*

For $m = 0$, this holds trivially. So suppose
 $m > 0$.

IH: Holds for $m - 1$.

Then $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_i)$ for $i < m$,
and we must show $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$.

Case 1: α_m is an Axiom

Then $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$, indeed:

- | | | |
|---|--|------------------|
| 1 | α_m | [Axiom] |
| 2 | $(\alpha_m \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m))$ | [Instance of A1] |
| 3 | $(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$ | [MP 1,2] |

is a proof of $(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$ from hypotheses \emptyset .

Note generally that if $\Delta \vdash \psi$ and $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta$, then also $\Delta' \vdash \psi$.

Thus $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$.

Case 2: $\alpha_m \in \Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}$

If $\alpha_m \in \Gamma$ then same proof as above works (with justification on line 1 changed to ' $\in \Gamma$ ').

If $\alpha_m = \alpha$, then, by Example 6.3, $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$, hence $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$.

Case 3: α_m is obtained by MP from some earlier α_j, α_k , i.e. there are $j, k < m$ such that $\alpha_j = (\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha_m)$.

By IH, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_k) \\ \text{and } \Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_j), \\ \text{i.e. } \Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha_m)) \end{array}$$

So say

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r-1}, (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_k)$$

and

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}, (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha_m))$$

are proofs in L_0 from Γ .

Then

1	β_1	
\vdots	\vdots	
$r-1$	β_{r-1}	
r	$(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_k)$	
$r+1$	γ_1	
\vdots	\vdots	
$r+s-1$	γ_{s-1}	
$r+s$	$(\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha_m))$	
$r+s+1$	$((\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha_m)) \rightarrow$ $((\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_k) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)))$	[A2]
$r+s+2$	$((\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_k) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m))$	[MP $r+s, r+s+1$]
$r+s+3$	$(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$	[MP $r, r+s+2$]

is a proof of $(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_m)$ in L_0 from Γ . \square

6.7 Remarks

- Only needed instances of A1, A2 and the rule MP.

So any system that includes A1, A2 and MP satisfies the Deduction Theorem.

- Proof gives a precise **algorithm** for converting any proof showing $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$ into one showing $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.

- Converse is easy:

If $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ then $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$.

Proof:

\vdots	\vdots	proof from Γ
r	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	
$r+1$	α	$[\in \Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}]$
$r+2$	β	$[\text{MP } r, r+1]$

□

6.8 Example of use of DT

If $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ and $\Gamma \vdash (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)$
then $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$.

Proof:

By the deduction theorem ('DT'), it suffices
to show that $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \gamma$.

\vdots	\vdots	proof from Γ
r	$(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$	
$r+1$	\vdots	
\vdots	\vdots	proof from Γ
$r+s$	$(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)$	
$r+s+1$	α	$[\in \Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}]$
$r+s+2$	β	$[\text{MP } r, r+s+1]$
$r+s+3$	γ	$[\text{MP } r+s, r+s+2]$

□

From now on we may treat DT as an
additional inference rule in L_0 .

6.9 Definition

The **sequent calculus** SQ is the system where a **proof** (or **derivation**) of $\phi \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ from $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is a finite sequence of **sequents**,
i.e. expressions of the form

$$\Delta \vdash_{SQ} \psi$$

with $\Delta \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$,
such that $\Gamma \vdash_{SQ} \phi$ is the last sequent,
and each sequent is obtained from previous sequents according to the following rules:

Ass: If $\psi \in \Delta$ then infer $\Delta \vdash_{SQ} \psi$.

MP: From $\Delta \vdash_{SQ} \psi$ and $\Delta' \vdash_{SQ} (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$
infer $\Delta \cup \Delta' \vdash_{SQ} \chi$.

DT: From $\Delta \cup \{\psi\} \vdash_{SQ} \chi$
infer $\Delta \vdash_{SQ} (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$.

PC: From $\Delta \cup \{\neg\psi\} \vdash_{SQ} \chi$
and $\Delta' \cup \{\neg\psi\} \vdash_{SQ} \neg\chi$,
infer $\Delta \cup \Delta' \vdash_{SQ} \psi$.
(‘PC’ stands for *proof by contradiction*.)

Note: no axioms.

6.10 Example of a proof in SQ

1	$\neg\beta \vdash_{SQ} \neg\beta$	[Ass]
2	$(\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \vdash_{SQ} (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$	[Ass]
3	$(\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha), \neg\beta \vdash_{SQ} \neg\alpha$	[MP 1,2]
4	$\alpha, \neg\beta \vdash_{SQ} \alpha$	[Ass]
5	$(\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha), \alpha \vdash_{SQ} \beta$	[PC 3,4]
6	$(\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \vdash_{SQ} (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$	[DT 5]
7	$\vdash_{SQ} ((\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta))$	[DT 6]

So $\vdash_{SQ} A3$.

Notation: To avoid confusion, we sometimes write ' $\Gamma \vdash_{L_0} \phi$ ' for ' $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ in L_0 '

6.11 Theorem

L_0 and SQ are equivalent, i.e. for all Γ, ϕ :

$$\Gamma \vdash_{L_0} \phi \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{SQ} \phi.$$

Proof: Exercise