

B1.1 Logic

Lecture 7

Martin Bays

Oxford, MT 2023

The following lemma is a key step in the proof of 6.11; it shows that L_0 implements the rule (*PC*) of the sequent calculus. It is the only place in the proof of the completeness theorem where (A3) is used.

6.12 Lemma

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Form}(L_0)$,

$$\vdash ((\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta) \rightarrow ((\neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha)).$$

Proof: Omitted.

7. Consistency, Completeness and Compactness

7.1 Definition

$\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is **inconsistent**

if for some formula α ,

$\Gamma \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha$.

Otherwise, Γ is **consistent**.

E.g. \emptyset is consistent by soundness of \mathcal{L}_0 , since for no α are both α and $\neg\alpha$ tautologies.

7.2. Lemma

If $\Gamma \not\vdash \phi$ then $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is consistent.

Proof: Suppose $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is inconsistent, say $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\} \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\} \vdash \neg\alpha$.

Then by the deduction theorem, $\Gamma \vdash (\neg\phi \rightarrow \alpha)$ and $\Gamma \vdash (\neg\phi \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$.

By 6.12 and MP twice, $\Gamma \vdash \phi$.

□

7.3 Lemma

Suppose Γ is consistent and $\Gamma \vdash \phi$.
Then $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\}$ is consistent.

Proof: Suppose not. Then for some α

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \alpha \\ \Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \neg\alpha \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow_{\text{DT}} \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \alpha) \\ \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash \phi \\ \Rightarrow_{\text{MP}} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash \alpha \\ \Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha \end{array},$$

contradicting consistency of Γ .

□

7.4 Definition

$\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is **maximal consistent** if

- (i) Γ is consistent, and
- (ii) for every ϕ , either $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ or $\Gamma \vdash \neg\phi$.

7.5 Theorem

Suppose Γ is consistent. Then there is a maximal consistent $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma$.

Proof:

$\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is countable, say

$$\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0) = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots\}.$$

Construct consistent sets

$$\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2 \subseteq \dots$$

as follows:

- $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma$.
- Given consistent Γ_n , let

$$\Gamma_{n+1} := \begin{cases} \Gamma_n \cup \{\phi_{n+1}\} & \text{if } \Gamma_n \vdash \phi_{n+1} \\ \Gamma_n \cup \{\neg\phi_{n+1}\} & \text{if } \Gamma_n \not\vdash \phi_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

Then Γ_{n+1} is consistent by 7.3 and 7.2.

Now let $\Gamma' := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$.

Then Γ' is consistent:

Any proof of $\Gamma' \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma' \vdash \neg\alpha$ would use only finitely many formulas from Γ' , so for some n , $\Gamma_n \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma_n \vdash \neg\alpha$ – contradicting the consistency of Γ_n .

Finally, Γ' is maximal consistent: for all n , either $\phi_n \in \Gamma'$ or $\neg\phi_n \in \Gamma'$, so in particular either $\Gamma' \vdash \phi_n$ or $\Gamma' \vdash \neg\phi_n$.

□

(Note that this proof did not use Zorn's Lemma; countability of the language was crucial for this.)

7.6 Lemma

Suppose Γ is maximal consistent.

Then for every $\psi, \chi \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$:

(a) $\Gamma \vdash \neg\psi$ iff $\Gamma \not\vdash \psi$.

(b) $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$ iff either $\Gamma \not\vdash \psi$ or $\Gamma \vdash \chi$.

Proof:

(a) ‘ \Rightarrow ’: by consistency.

‘ \Leftarrow ’: by maximality.

(b) ‘ \Rightarrow ’: Suppose $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$ but $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ and $\Gamma \not\vdash \chi$.
By MP, $\Gamma \vdash \chi$, contradicting consistency.

‘ \Leftarrow ’: Suppose $\Gamma \not\vdash \psi$. Then $\Gamma \vdash \neg\psi$ by (a).

$\Gamma \vdash (\neg\psi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi))$ (Problem sheet 2 Q3)

$\Rightarrow_{\text{MP}} \Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$.

Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \chi$.

$\Gamma \vdash (\chi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi))$ (Axiom A1)

$\Rightarrow_{\text{MP}} \Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$.

□

7.7 Theorem

*Suppose Γ is maximal consistent.
Then Γ is satisfiable.*

Proof:

Define a valuation v by

$$v(p_i) = T \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash p_i.$$

Claim: for all $\phi \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$:

$$\tilde{v}(\phi) = T \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash \phi.$$

Proof by induction on the length n of ϕ .

If $n = 1$, then $\phi = p_i$ for some i and we are done by the definition of v .

Suppose $n = \text{length}(\phi) > 1$.

IH: Claim true for all $n' < n$.

Case 1: $\phi = \neg\psi$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(\phi) = T & \text{ iff } \tilde{v}(\psi) = F & \text{tt } \neg \\ & \text{iff } \Gamma \not\vdash \psi & \text{IH} \\ & \text{iff } \Gamma \vdash \neg\psi & 7.6(a) \\ & \text{iff } \Gamma \vdash \phi \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\phi = (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(\phi) = T & \text{ iff } \tilde{v}(\psi) = F \text{ or } \tilde{v}(\chi) = T & \text{tt } \rightarrow \\ & \text{iff } \Gamma \not\vdash \psi \text{ or } \Gamma \vdash \chi & \text{IH} \\ & \text{iff } \Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \chi) & 7.6(b) \\ & \text{iff } \Gamma \vdash \phi \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{v}(\phi) = T$ for all $\phi \in \Gamma$, i.e. v satisfies Γ .

□

7.8 Corollary

Let $\Gamma \subset \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$. Then
 Γ is consistent if and only if Γ is satisfiable.

Proof:

\Rightarrow : By 7.5 + 7.7:

If Γ is consistent,
then by 7.5 it extends to a maximal
consistent set,
which by 7.7 is satisfiable,
hence also Γ is satisfiable.

\Leftarrow : By soundness:

Suppose Γ inconsistent,
say $\Gamma \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha$.
Then $\Gamma \models \alpha$ and $\Gamma \models \neg\alpha$ by soundness,
so Γ is not satisfiable.

□

7.9 The Completeness Theorem

If $\Gamma \models \phi$ then $\Gamma \vdash \phi$.

Proof:

Suppose $\Gamma \not\models \phi$.

\Rightarrow by 7.2, $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is consistent

\Rightarrow by 7.8, $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is satisfiable

\Rightarrow there is some valuation v such that

$\tilde{v}(\psi) = T$ for $\psi \in \Gamma$, but $\tilde{v}(\phi) = F$

$\Rightarrow \Gamma \not\models \phi$. \square

7.10 Corollary

(7.9 Completeness + 6.5 Soundness)

$$\Gamma \models \phi \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash \phi$$

7.11 The Compactness Theorem for \mathcal{L}_0

$\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is satisfiable iff every finite subset of Γ is satisfiable.

Proof: By 7.8, this is equivalent to:
 $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is consistent iff every finite subset of Γ is consistent.

But indeed, by finiteness of proofs,
 $\Gamma \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha$ iff already
 $\Gamma_0 \vdash \alpha$ and $\Gamma_0 \vdash \neg\alpha$ for some finite $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$.

□